PERCEPTION AND BUYING BEHAVIOR OF THAIS PEOPLE TOWARD BRANDS USING GREEN MARKETING

KULVADEE PHANITPOTCHAMARN



COPYRIGHT OF MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY

Thematic paper entitled PERCEPTION AND BUYING BEHAVIOR OF THAIS PEOPLE TOWARD BRANDS USING GREEN MARKETING

was submitted to the College of Management, Mahidol University for the degree of Master of Management on September, 7 2019

> Miss Kulvadee Phanitpotchamarn Candidate

Asst. Prof. Randall Shannon, Ph.D. Advisor Assoc. Prof. Astrid Kainzbauer, Ph.D. Chairperson

Duangporn Arbhasil, Ph.D. Dean College of Management Mahidol University Ronald Surachai Thesenvitz, Ph.D. Committee member

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thematic paper would not be accomplished without the guidance and suggestions and encouragement from my supervisor, Asst. Prof. Randall Shannon, Ph.D. I would like to express my deepest gratitude for your patience, advice and encouragement through the end of the research.

I would like to thank College of Management Mahidol University where I have acquired valuable knowledge and experiences. Moreover, special thanks to my family who always supported and motivated me throughout the years and my classmate from 20C that we passed through a difficult time together.

Furthermore, I would like to express my thankfulness to all my respondents who are willing to complete the questionnaire. I couldn't be this far without your support.

Kulvadee Phanitpotchamarn

PERCEPTION AND BUYING BEHAVIOR OF THAIS PEOPLE TOWARD BRANDS USING GREEN MARKETING

KULVADEE PHANITPOTCHAMARN 6049177

M.M. (MARKETING AND MANAGEMENT)

THEMATIC PAPER ADVISORY COMMITTEE: ASST. PROF. RANDALL SHANNON, Ph.D., ASSOC. PROF. ASTRID KAINZBAUER, Ph.D., RONALD SURACHAI THESENVITZ, Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

This research aims to study the perception and buying behavior of Thai people toward brands using green products and what are the important factors that persuade their buying decision and trigger their purchasing intention effectively. This study using quantitative method on online survey from 120 Bangkokians, focusing on green consumers who had experiences on buying green products. The findings of the study showed the significant number of attitudes on green consumer factor that people believe in news and social media that they perceived. So, it can describe that News and social media of polluted world was driven their attitude which influences to green purchasing. Environmental knowledge significantly influences to people who has age range between 25-35 more than 36-45 years old. Eventually, differences among educational level above bachelor's degree can be influenced by attitude on green purchasing, environmental knowledge and social responsible consumption behavior

KEY WORDS: Green consumer/ Green Marketing/ Buying behavior

35 pages

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Purpose of Study	2
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Theoretical Framework	4
2.2 Research Factors	5
2.2.1 Environmental Knowledge	5
2.2.2 Environmental Awareness	5
2.2.3 Eco-Label	6
2.2.4 Price	6
2.2.5 Social Norms	6
2.2.6 Socially Responsible Consumption	
Behavior (SRCB)	7
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	8
3.1 Research Design	8
3.2 Sample Size	8
3.3 Questionnaire Design	8
3.4 Data Analysis	9
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDING	10
4.1 Demographic Result	10
4.2.1 Environmental Knowledge	12
4.2.2 Environmental Awareness	13
4.2.3 Eco-Label Knowledge	13

Page

CONTENTS (cont.)

v

	Page
4.2.4 Price	13
4.2.5 Social Norms	14
4.2.6 Socially Responsible Consumption Behavior	14
REFERENCES	21
APPENDICES	23
Appendix A Questionnaire	24
Appendix B Result of Factor Analysis	29
Appendix C Scree Plot of Factor Analysis	30
Appendix D One-way Anova (Age)	31
Appendix E One-way Anova (Gender)	32
Appendix F One-way Anova (Monthly Household Income)	33
Appendix G One-way Anova (Education Level)	34

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
2.1	The Modified Model	4
4.1	Age	10
4.2	Monthly Household Income	11
4.3	Gender	11
4.4	Educational Level	11
4.5	Interesting Green Products Category From Green Consumer	12
4.6	Repeated Green Products From Green Consumer	12



LIST OF TABLES

Table	e	Page
4.1	Mean Score of Each Question	15
4.2	Mean Score of Each Factor	16
4.3	Result of Factor Analysis	17



CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

According to the rapid global climate change and global warming situation, many factors produce toxic that changes the rhythms of climate which effect to all living things including human on earth. Nowadays, plastic bags, foam boxes or any synthetic container become big issues because it produces greenhouse gases, methane, and ethylene when it was degraded by sunlight and significant effect on the world. Earth's long-term temperature rise in recent decades, ice sheets in Greenland and Antarctica are melting and sea levels continuously rising. Disgracefully, Thailand is in the top 10th rank in the world which caused to Great Pacific Garbage Patch. It's time to consider and reduce all of these things to save our planet.

Regarding past studies, green marketing can be defined as the effort by a company to design, promote, price and distribute products in a manner which promotes environmental protection (Polonsky, 2011). Green marketing and green consumer became the biggest opportunity for enterprise and invention the industrial world has ever seen (Cairncross, 1992: 177) and it can identify as opportunities by business firms as opportunities to improve their marketing niche. Moreover, there are some past studies said that environmental messages in advertisements and product labeling influence the purchasing decision of 70 percent of the respondent (Chase and Smith, 1992). Some study in Korea said that experience with green marketing influencing green product consumption which effects to future perception and action. Besides, demographic factors including age, gender and education levels have an impact towards attitude and intention of the consumer's attitudes and behavior when purchase green products and the effective advertising strategies can be increased willingness to pay a premium price for green consumption pattern.

1.1 Problem statement

As we know that green marketing is one of the key communication ways to consumers but the problem is some of them has a perception that they have to pay more on products with green labels and some of the consumer still ignore on green product. Although, green marketing is increasing to wider industries and become a commitment of many companies it seems not to impact enough to consumers' purchase intention.

1.2 Purpose of study

This research will focus on perception and buying behavior of Thai people toward brands that using green products and what is the important factors persuade their buying decision and trigger their purchasing intention effectively.



CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

Green consumers (SOURCE: MIT Sloan management review, Fall 2004):

Definition of green consumers is people who aim to protect themselves and environment with their purchasing power (Boztepe, 2012). Other past studied, (Boztepe, 2016) defined as people who willing to adopts environmentally friendly behaviors and/or who pay for green products over the standard. According to public opinion polls, roper survey said 41% of consumers answered that they did not buy green products because they worried about the diminished quality of eco-friendly versions. The polls try to segment on green customers for marketers to divide into five groups of consumers as following;

True Blue Greens as 9%: they are concerned in environmental values and try to drive the world to be better and this group is four times higher rate to stay away from companies that are not environmentally concerned.

Greenback Green as 6%: The difference of this group of consumers and the above group is they are not taking the time to be active environmentally conscious but they are more willing than the average to decide to purchase eco-friendly products.

Sprouts as 31%: One of the big group of customers that they believe in the cause of environmentally but in practice they would not buy if they have to pay more. On the other hand, Green products can persuade them by appealed to appropriately.

Grousers as 19%: They feel that cost of green products is higher.

Basic Browns as 33%: They do not care about environmental and social issues.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

Past research in India shows the relationship between eco-labeling is one of the important factors for green purchase intention and trust through customer information and knowledge. Moreover, eco-label seems to impact on green purchase intention proven by (D'Souza, 2004) purchase intention from green consumers can trigger the product level and (Amos et al., 2014) shows the helpfulness of eco-labels on products. Some research proved that customer's trust in eco-label and eco-brand. Moreover, the purchase behavior was significant influencing by their positive perception towards eco-brand (Rahbar, 2011). There are some past consistent findings of perceived consumer effectiveness (PCE) effect to the insight into ecologically conscious consumer behavior (Robert D, 1999)



Figure 2.1 The Modified Model Source: Nagendra Kumar Sharma, 2019

Some past study in Australia reported about the perception of customers on green advertising of eco-friendly FMCG, 29.6% of respondents were influenced by advertisements of green products and in this research reported by respondents who have a high level of awareness and empathy towards environmental issues. On the other hand, 25.9% of the respondents ignore the advertisements of green products (Hassan, 2016).

2.2 Research Factors

2.2.1 Environmental knowledge

Environmental knowledge stated as in impact factor through consumers' decision making process. People who have environmental knowledge defined as the people who have awareness and knowledge about environmental problems and also solutions to those problems (Zsóka et al., 2013, pp.127). Factual knowledge of environment is the measurement in this study to see the relationship between the factual knowledge of environment and the action-related knowledge (Tanner & Kast, 2003).

2.2.2 Environmental Awareness

Environmental awareness is the first step to bring off the responsive behavior. Defined as an individual's attitude, values and necessary skills to solve the problems related to the environment, not only having environmental knowledge. (Sengupta *et al.*, 2010). People who using the word "Go Green" means that they are practicing environmental awareness, it represented being mindful of the natural environment and making economic choices that aren't harmful to the earth.(https://study.com/academy/lesson/environmental-awareness-definition-historyimportance.html). Past study showed the positive relation between the awareness of green product and green purchase intention and behavior (Abid and Atif, 2015; Ali and Ahmad, 2012; Aman et al., 2012; Chaudhary and Bisai, 2018) while environmental concern can't predict green purchase intention and behavior. Moving to other past study which proven by (Suki, 2013) which confirm the finding of (D'Souza *et al.*, 2016), consumers' environmental concern and awareness of green product have not influence on their purchasing decision contrast to their knowledge of that products.

2.2.3 Eco-label

According to eco-labels communication is one of the ways to pass the information through consumers and leads to the knowledge of consumer (Houston, 2012). Move to (Brecard, 2014) also said in the same way that the eco-labels is the best communication for environmentally conscious consumers but there are some doubts from consumers' side that eco-labels are costly affairs due to certifications becomes subject to cost and they have to repay for it. However, consumers' decision on an environmentally concerned and reasoned decision can be influenced by eco-labels which providing appropriate and accurate information.

2.2.4 Price

Surprisingly, some past research prove by (Ma et al., 2013) that price contrast to green purchase intention and behavior. It means that high price doesn't effect. Contrastingly, there were a lot of studies show that price is matter. The price acts as a barrier to buy green products (Connell, 2010). Therefore, the lower the price the higher of green purchase intention (Eze and Ndubisi, 2013). However, there was a past research found the significant relation between the awareness of price and consumers' purchasing decision. (Suki, 2013)

2.2.5 Social norms

As we know that Thai are collectivist (Buriyameathagul, 2013). So, social norms might be one of factors either positive or negative relation to green purchase intention. Refer to 53 article reviews of (Joshi & Rahman, 2015) between 2000 to 2014 about the attitude and behavior inconsistencies in the context of green purchasing, they show 11 studies said social norms have a positive correlation with green purchase intention and actual purchasing. Moreover, some of past studies said that social norms have a stronger influence on green purchase decision-making process of green consumer. (Lee, 2010; Salazar *et al.*, 2013; Tsarenko et al., 2013).

2.2.6 Socially responsible consumption behavior (SRCB)

(Berkowitz & Lutterman, 1968) described the origins of socially responsible consumption (SRC) in a sociological construct which relates to social consciousness. People who are socially responsible consumers, they are not concerned only environmental but also social well-being (Engel & Blackwell, 1982). On the other hands, socially responsible consumption (SRC) has the same meaning to socially conscious consumption, socially responsible consumer behavior and ethical consumption. Research of (Ertz, 2016) shown the attitude toward SRCB, anticipated emotions, and frequency of past SRCB influence on SRCB desired, frequency of past SRCB also induce the intention of SRCB and becoming a SRCB which lead them to perceive self-actualization and identity expression. Different demographics are not as effective as attitudes. Although, many past researches showed that sex variable is often insignificant but females are consistently more socially responsible than males (Pedrini & Ferri, 2014; Luchs & Mooradian, 2014; Cherian & Jacob, 2012). (Robert, 1995) defined SRC as the purchase of products and services perceived to have a positive (or less negative) influence on the environment which aims to effect positive social changes. Extended definition of socially responsible consumer by (Mohr et al., 2001), a person based on their acquisition, usage and disposition of products on craving to reduce or eliminate any harmful effects and try to increase the beneficial impact on society in the long run (Webb et al., 2008). Moreover, (Gerard Paul Prendergast and Alex S.L. Tsang, 2018) indicated significant predictors of SRC from attitude towards the behavior, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control.

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research design

This research focus on Bangkokian who had experiences on green purchasing of fast-moving consumer goods such as water with a biodegradable bottle, products that use material alternatives to plastic or recycled material. We defined green purchasing as the intention and behavior to purchase of environmentally friendly products and keep away from products which damage the environment (Chan, 2001). We can say that green consumer who takes into account the public consequences of their private consumption and willing to use their purchasing power to bring world change (Moisander, 2007).

This research will be conducted by quantitative method on online survey. The aim of questionnaire is to study about which factors are effects to consumers' perception and behavior of green purchasing and how much the gaps between green products and conventional products that acceptable for green customer and person who willing to adopt to green purchasing.

3.2 Sample size

The sample size will be 100 respondents from green consumers and consumers who willing to be a green consumer in Bangkok.

3.3 Questionnaire design

The survey questionnaire was developed from past studies about green marketing and green consumer. The questionnaire contains 4 variables which effect green product purchasing intention. The questionnaire separated into 4 parts which contain questions as below;

Part I: Screening Questions to select only green consumers into this research.

Part II: General Questions by using ordinal scale including 2 questions, to see which green products categories that green consumers purchased and still buying.

Part III: Specific questions by using interval scales for 16 questions and four point likert scales from 1 to 4 represented as strongly disagree, disagree, agree and strongly agree.

Part IV: Demographic questions including gender, age, monthly household income and education level.

3.4 Data analysis

This study will be analyzed by statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) program software for calculate and test the variables that are related to the topics.

This research uses measurement tools as follows:

1.Descriptive analysis

CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDING

4.1 Demographic result

In this research collected data by online survey which targeted on Thai green consumers. 120 respondents in this research including 93 green consumers who had experience on buying green products with/without eco-label and 27 persons are non-green consumers which eliminated from this research.

Green consumers including 62 females, 30 males, and one person were alternative sex. The majority in this research are the people who have age range between 25-35 which account for 81.7%, following by 7.5% of people who are between 19-24 years old, 6.5% of people who are between 36-45, 4.3% of respondents who are above 45 years old and none of people who are below 18. Moving to monthly household salary, most of respondents have salary more than fifthly thousand Thai baht which account for 59.2%. Lastly, 88.8% are people who had an education level equal or higher than bachelor's degree.



Figure 4.1 Age

What is your monthly household income?



Figure 4.4 Educational level

Main findings

Firstly, this research found that if people had experiences to purchased green products. They would continue to buy in the same product category. On the other hand, data shown 4% of green consumers would not continue buying green products.

The top rank of product categories that green customers had experienced was food and beverage such as water with biodegradable bottles or paper straw which account for 58.1%. Following by skincare, hair care and oral care product. The third rank was household products such as fabric wash, laundry soaps and powder with green packaging equal to 22.9% and 13.5% respectively.





Figure 4.6 Repeated green products from green consumer

4.2.1 Environmental Knowledge

The survey shown mean score of environmental knowledge is 3.49 although there are 3 from 4 questions which respondents strongly disagreed to knowledge of plastic problems, climate change and substitutes of plastic. The majority of them had a high level of environmental knowledge which related to past studies (Taufique et al., 2016) adapted from (Polonsky et al., 2012) said that this knowledge level influence on making environment friendly consumption decision.

4.2.2 Environmental awareness

In this factor I used environmental attitudes scales (EAS) to measure their awareness. In this research showed the data that respondents have environmental awareness lower than their environmental knowledge which account for 2.45. In this study, data revealed that environmental awareness factor is the lowest concern.

4.2.3 Eco-label knowledge

Some of question in this factor that I selected from (Taufique et al., 2016). Mean score showed as 3.34 which is quite high nearly environmental knowledge. Most of them said that they know the meaning of recycled, biodegradable and they know the symbol when they saw through eco-label.

4.2.4 Price

According to Price is the attribute which reflect when consumers are making a green-purchasing decision. Most of respondents answer in this survey that "they are willing to pay more for green products in order to buy less environmentally harmful product" which mean score represent as 3.17. 40.9% of respondents will accept the additional charged if it's not over than 5% and 44.1% accept the higher additional charged which is not over than 10%. Some of them could accept additional charged that higher than 10% which are equal to 12.9%. On the other hand, result show 18.3% of green consumers disagree to pay more for green products. The result of this study similarly to (Dunlap and Scarce, 1991; Lung, 2010) said that more than 80 percent of Thai, Malaysian and Korean who are willing to pay premium price to buy green products without trade off quality

4.2.5 Social norms

The data shown that 62.4% respondents were not agreed that "I feel uncomfortable when friends buy green products while I don't" but 67.7% of green consumers agreed that they would buy green products when friends, family or

colleague tell them to buy green products align with peer pressure from surrounding people. Lastly, the advertisement about pollution issues also impact to their mind to purchase green products.

4.2.6 Socially responsible consumption behavior

Using SRCB scale (Antil, 1984) to measure green consumers, result shown the overall of mean score is 3.09 from 4. The majority of respondents agreed that "Manufacturers should be forced to use recycled material in their manufacturing and processing operation" and "I would be willing to stop buying products that caused of pollution even though it might be inconvenient." Contrastingly, people concern on benefit of products that they will get than the pollution which result from their production and use.

To be sum up, environmental knowledge is the most important factor which related to green purchasing, the more they have environmental knowledge, the more they adopted to be green consumers. According to mean score of environmental awareness shown as 2.45, which is the lowest, it means that high level of environmental knowledge doesn't relate to level of environmental awareness. Ecolabel means something to green consumers because the mean score shown as the second important factor following by price which included the question "I willing to pay more for green products in order to buy less environmentally harmful product". The majority of respondents tend to agree to strongly agree in this point with condition if the mark up price is not over 5-10%. Surprisingly, social norms which proven by (Lee, 2010; Salazar et al., 2013; Tsarenko et al., 2013), it's a factor that has a stronger influence on green purchase decision-making process of green consumer contrasted to this study, data from this research shown as it might not influence on green purchasing.

Table 4.1 mean score of each question. (Highest – lowest mean score)

Descriptive Statistics

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
I know the meaning of recycled (Taufique et al.,2016)	93	2	4	3.66	0.561
I know very well about plastic problems that we are facing	93	1	4	3.65	0.619
I know very well about climate change	93	1	4	3.54	0.652
I know very well about global warming means	93	2	4	3.46	0.635
Manufacturers should be force to use recycled material in their manufacturing and processing operation.	93	2	4	3.44	0.634
I know substitutes of plastic	93	1	4	3.3	0.719
I prefer to buy green products when I see the products with eco label	93	1	4	3.23	0.782
I willing to pay more for green products in order to buy less environmentally harmful product	93	1	4	3.17	0.775
I know the meaning of Biodegradability. (Taufique et al.,2016)	93		4	3.14	0.962
I've change my mind to be green consumer because of a lot of contents or advertisement about pollution issues.	93		4	3	0.921

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
I would be willing to stop buying products that caused of pollution even though it might be inconvenient. The benefit of modern	93	1	4	3	0.821
consumer products are more important to the society than the pollution which result from their production and use.	93	1	4	2.97	0.961
I would buy green products if commercial advertising mention the ecological disadvantages of products.	93	ସ୍ଟିଧ,	4	2.96	0.806
I would buy green product when friends, family or colleague tell me to buy green products. It is meaningless to buy a	93		4	2.83	0.916
higher price for green packaging instead of plastics packaging given lower price in the market. News and social medias	93		4	2.67	1.004
about polluted seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated	93		4	2.51	1.148
I don't think that recycling packaging worth as their claim	93	1	4	2.17	0.94
I feel uncomfortable when friends buy green products while you don't.	93	1	4	2.14	0.951
I don't care about peer pressure and I wouldn't purchase green product.	93		4	2.14	1.069
I could accept green products if the price is higher but not over than (%), please specify.	93	1	4	1.76	0.758
Valid N (listwise)	93				

 Table 4.1 mean score of each question. (Highest – lowest mean score) (cont.)

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Environmental knowledge	93	2	4	3.49	.494
Eco-label knowledge	93	2	4	3.34	.579
Price	93	1	4	3.17	.775
Social responsible consumption knowledge	93	2	4	3.09	.467
Social norms	93	1	4	2.53	.590
Environmental Awareness	93	1	4	2.45	.790
Valid N (listwise)	93				

 Table 4.2 mean score of each factor. (Highest – lowest mean score)

Factor Analysis

Moreover, the study analyzed research factors, after eliminated unnecessary data to be clear and clean then I re-named group to attitude on green purchasing, environmental knowledge, impact of surrounding environment, social responsible consumption behavior, eco-label knowledge and price.

Table 4.3 result of factor analysis

	Re	otated Comp	onent Matrix ^ª	a			
			Compor	nent			Re-named
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Re-nameu
News and social medias about polluted	.842						
seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated							
I don't care about peer pressure and I	.797						
wouldn't purchase green product. It is meaningless to buy a higher price	.637						
for green packaging instead of plastics	.037						Attitude on
packaging given lower price in the market.							green purchasing
The benefit of modern consumer	.617						
products are more important to the	.017						
society than the pollution which result							
from their production and use (Reverse question	0	टा 2	.0				
I know very well about climate change	7.3	.830					
I know very well about plastic problems		.805					
that we are facing		•					Environmental Knowledge
I know very well about global warming		.635					Knowledge
means							
l would buy green product when friends,			.798				
family or colleague tell me to buy green products.		$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$					
l would buy gr <mark>e</mark> en products if	9		.756				Impact of
commercial advertising mention the							surrounding
ecological disadvantages of products.		VVVV					environment
I've change my mind to be green			.697	<			
consumer because of a lot of contents							
or advertisement about pollution issues.		WA K					
Manufacturers should be force to use				.799			
recycled mater <mark>ia</mark> l in their manufacturing							Social
and processing operation.							responsible
I would be willing to stop buying			/	.662			consumption
products that caused of pollution even				.002			bahavior
though it might be inconvenient.			6				
I know the meaning of Biodegradability.					.879		
(Taufique et al.,2016)		<i>u</i> ,					Eco-label
I know the meaning of recycled	11211	1219			.707		knowledge
(Taufique et al.,2016)							-
I could accept green products if the price						.795	
is higher but not over than (%),							
please specify.							
I willing to pay more for green products						.674	Price
in order to buy less environmentally							
harmful product							

One-way ANOVA

When it comes to one-way ANOVA to see the difference between group of gender, we found out the difference between group of gender only in the attitude on green consumer factor, which is "News and social medias about polluted seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated." Moving to age range of respondents, there is the difference that showed Sig. below 0.04 of "I know very well about plastic problems

that we are facing". Look deep into *Bonferroni*, it showed the difference between group of age range which is 25-35 years old and 36-45 as Sig. equal to 0.023. We found the most difference are significant and taken into consideration between group of education level, the first point is "I know very well about plastic problems that we are facing", Sig. equal to 0.010. The second is "News and social media about polluted seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated" which showed significant number at 0.023 and the last is "I'm willing to stop buying products that caused of pollution even though it might be inconvenient." showed Sig. as 0.032. However, there is no difference among the varieties of monthly household income.

Conclusion

The influential factors shown on the analysis has ensured which factors should be highlighted. The significant number at attitude on green consumer factor showed that people believe on News and social media that they perceived. So, it can describe that News and social media of polluted world was driven their attitude which influences to green purchasing. Environmental knowledge significantly influences to people who has age range between 25-35 years old more than 36-45 years old. Eventually, differences among educational level above bachelor's degree can be influenced by attitude on green purchasing, environmental knowledge and social responsible consumption behavior.

Discussion

In this study described the top of influential factors which are knowledge of both environmental and eco-label, 93 of Thai green consumers had high environmental and eco-label knowledge with lower level of environmental awareness.

In terms of company, it would be easier to push green products into market, educate the meaning of green material to consumers to strengthen the value of products when you put eco-labeling on it. When they perceived the meaningful of their green purchasing, behavior would change their attitude to be more green consumers.

Moreover, awareness of brand image is significantly related to consumers' decision and awareness of brand image with green elements, it could trigger consumer to purchase green products (Suki, 2013). For marketers, it could help for promoting

green campaign to create awareness of brand and corporate image, and using positive emotion to influencing green buying behavior.

For government organization, should consider to be a leader of green behavior and promote with an intensive content targeting to consumers who are between 25-35 years old.

All in all, they knew that they pay a higher price for green products. So, marked up price should be one of consideration.

Limitations

Regards sample of this study, it might be too narrow to use for business plan. The findings came from only Bangkokian in Thailand who are above 18 years old with bachelor's degree as minimum level. Thus, the results might not be able to effectively represent the entire population.

For the further research, it would be useful if researchers can increase the scope of the study to be wider than just in Bangkok and more respondents with wider age range to see the perception in baby bloomer and young generation as well.



REFERENCES

- Aaron R. Brough, (2016) " Is Eco-Friendly Unmanly? The Green-Feminine Stereotype and Its Effect on SustainableConsumption"
- Aysel Boztepe. (2012) "Green Marketing and Its Impact on Consumer Buying Behavior" European Journal of Economic and Political Studies-5 (1)
- Buriyameathagul, (2013) "Characteristics of Culture in Thai Society and Virtual Communities", Silpakorn University Journal of Social Sciences, Humanities, and Arts Vol.13 (2) : 207-270, 2013
- Elham Rahbar, Nabsiah Abdul Wahid, (2011) "Investigation of green marketing tools' effect on consumers' purchase behavior", Business Strategy Series, Vol. 12 Issue: 2, pp.73-83,
- Erz, (2016) "Proposition of an Integrative Theory of Socially Responsible Consumption Behaviour", Electronic Green Journal, 1(39), Fall 2015, ISSN: 1076-7975
- Jui-che tu and tsai-feng kao (2013) "influences of framing effect and green message on advertising effect ", social behavior and personality, 2013, 41(7), 1083-1098.
- Khan Md Raziuddin Taufique, Chamhuri Siwar, Norshamliza Chamhuri, Farah Hasan Sarah, (2016) "Integrating General Environmental Knowledge and Eco-Label Knowledge in Understanding Ecologically Conscious Consumer Behavior"
- Malik, M., Nawaz Mir, F., Hussain, S., Hyder, S., Anwar, A., Khan, Z., Nawab, N., Shah, S. and Waseem, M. (2019), "Contradictory results on environmental concern while re-visiting green purchase awareness and behavior", Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 17-28.

- Nagendra Kumar Sharma and Gyaneshwar Singh Kushwaha " Eco-labels: A Tool for Green Marketing or Just a Blind Mirror for Consumers" Nik Ramli Nik Abdul Rashid, (2009) "Awareness of Eco-label in Malaysia's Green Marketing Initiative", International Journal of Business and Management, Vol.4, No.8.
- Robert D. Straughan, James A. Roberts, (1999) "Environmental segmentation alternatives: a look at green consumer behavior in the new millennium", of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 16 Issue: 6, pp.558-575.
- Rumman H, (2016) "Customer Perception of Green Advertising in The Context of Eco-Friendly FMCGs" Contemporary Management Research, Pages 169-182, Vol. 12,No. 2
- Yatish Joshi, Zillur Rahman ,(2015)" Factors Affecting Green Purchase Behaviour and Future Research Directions"
- Yeo jung kim, (2017) "predicting green advertising attitude and Behavioral intention in south korea", social behavior and personality, 2017, 45(8), 1345–1364 https://study.com/academy/lesson/environmental-awareness-definitionhistory-importance.html



Appendix A Questionnaire

"Perception and buying behavior of Thai people toward brands using green marketing"

This survey is a part of the research for a consulting practice to fulfill the requirements for the degree of Master of Management at the College of Management, Mahidol University. The Topic is about Perception and buying behavior of green consumer on fast moving consumers goods. In this survey "green consumer" defined as people who willing to adopts environmentally friendly behaviors and/or who pay for green products over the standard. The survey will take about 10 minutes to complete.

Part I: Screening Questions

1. Do you ever buy green product with/ without eco-label?

□ Yes

 \square No

Part II: General Questions by using ordinal scale including 2 questions

2. Which product categories that are using green packaging have you ever buy?

□ Household product such as fabric wash, Laundry soaps and powder.

□ Skin care, hair care and oral care. For instance, toothpaste, body lotion, shampoo and conditioner.

□ Food and beverage such as water with biodegradable bottles or paper straw.

□ Cosmetics

3. What is the green product category that you still buying?

□ Household product such as fabric wash, Laundry soaps and powder.

□ Skin care, Hair care and oral care. for instance, toothpaste, body lotion, shampoo and conditioner.

 \square Food and Beverage such as water with biodegradable bottles or paper straw.

 \Box Cosmetics

Part III: Specific Questions by using interval scales for 16 questions, 1 to 4 represented as following:

1.Strongly disagree

2.Disagree

3.Agree

4.Strongly agree

No.	Statement	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Agree 3	Strongly agree 4
1.	I know very well about global warming means	100	0		
2.	I know very well about plastic problems that we are facing	•			
3.	I know very well about climate change				
4.	I know substitutes of plastic				
5.	It is meaningless to buy a higher price for green packaging instead of plastics packaging given lower price in the market.		5		
6.	I don't think that recycling packaging worth as their claim	าลีย	HO		

No.	Statement	Strongly	Disagree	Agree	Strongly
		disagree			agree
		1	2	3	4

7	News and social media about polluted seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated.			
8	I know the meaning of recycled			
9	I know the meaning of Biodegradability.			
10	I prefer to buy green products when I see the products with eco label			
11	I'm willing to pay more for green products in order to buy less environmentally harmful product.	קטג	0	

12. I could accept green products if the price is higher but not over than

□ 0-5%

□ **6**-10%

□ 11-15%

 \square More than 15%

No.	Statement	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Agree 3	Strongly agree 4
13.	I would buy green product when friends, family or colleague tell me to buy green products.	าสัย	34		
14.	I feel uncomfortable when friends buy green products while you don't.				
15.	I would change my mind to be green consumer because of a lot of contents or advertisement about pollution issues.				

No.	Statement	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Agree 3	Strongly agree 4
16.	I don't care about peer pressure and I wouldn't purchase green product.				
17.	Manufacturers should be force to use recycled material in their manufacturing and processing operation.				
18.	I would buy green products if commercial advertising mention the ecological disadvantages of products.	व्य,			
19.	I'm willing to stop buying products that caused of pollution even though it might be inconvenient.				
20.	The benefit of modern consumer products is more important to the society than the pollution which is the result from their production and use.		5.0	e	

Part IV: Demographic questions

- 1. What is your gender?
 - $\square \ Male$
 - \square Female
 - □ Not Specify
- 2. What is your age range?
 - \square Below 18
 - □ 18 24
 - $\Box 25 34$
 - □ 35 44
 - □ Above 45
- 3. Monthly household income

0 - 25,000
25,001 - 50,000
50,001 - 75,000
75,000 - 100,000
Over 100,000

4. Education

- □ Associate degree
- □ Bachelor's degree
- □ Master's degree
- □ Doctorate degree

		Total Variance	e Explained					
Component	Ini	Initial Eigenvalues			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings			
		Total	Variance	%	Total	Variance	Cumulative %	
	1	3.198	19.987	19.987	2.273	14.208	14.20	
	2	2.533	15.829	35.816	2.153	13.456	27.66	
	3	1.734	10.835	46.651	2.075	12.971	40.63	
	4	1.470	9.189	55.840	1.547	9.671	50.30	
	5	1.099	6.872	62.712	1.542	9.635	59.94	
	6	1.019	6.371	69.083	1.463	9.142	69.08	
	7	.745	4.657	73.740				
	8	.727	4.544	78.284				
	9	.643	4.017	82.301				
	10	.573	3.581	85.882				
	11	.529	3.306	89.188				
	12	.483	3.019	92.207				
	13	.449	2.805	95.012				
	14	.328	2.048	97.059				
	15	.278	1.737	98.797				
	16	.193	1.203	100.000				

Appendix B Result of factor analysis





Appendix C Scree Plot of factor analysis

Appendix D One-way ANOVA (Age)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I know very well about	Between Groups	1.667	3	.556	1.395	.250
global warming means	Within Groups	35.451	89	.398		
	Total	37.118	92			
I know very well about	Between Groups	3.751	3	1.250	3.528	.018
plastic problems that we	Within Groups	31.539	89	.354		
are facing	Total	35.290	92			
I know very well about	Between Groups	2.257	3	.752	1.816	.150
climate change	Within Groups	36.862	89	.414		
	Total	39.118	92			
I know substitutes of plastic	Between Groups	.325	3	.108	.204	.893
	Within Groups	47.245	89	.531		
	Total	47.570	92			
It is meaningless to buy a	Between Groups	6.318	3	2.106	2.171	.097
higher price for green	Within Groups	86.348	89	.970		
packaging instead of	Total	92.667	92	.070		
				100		
	Between Groups	1.217	3	.406	.451	.717
claim	Within Groups	80.031	89	.899		
	Total	81.247	92			
News and social medias	Between Groups	3.532	3	1.177	.890	.449
and lakes are exaggerated	Within Groups	117.715	89	1.323		
	Total	121.247	92			
I know the meaning of	Between Groups	.865	3	.288	.912	.439
	Within Groups	28.125	89	.316		
al.,2016)	Total	28.989	92			
I know the meaning of	Between Groups	.237	3	.079	.083	.969
Biodegradabili <mark>ty.</mark> (Taufique	Within Groups	84.946	89	.954		
et al.,2016)	Total	85.183	92			
I prefer to buy green	Between Groups	1.477	3	.492	.800	.497
products when I see the	Within Groups	54.781	89	.616		
products with ec <mark>o</mark> label	Total	56.258	92	.010		
I willing to pay more for	Between Groups	1.535	3	.512	.848	.471
	Within Groups	53.712	89	.604	.040	.471
buy less environmentally	· ·			.604		
harmful product	Total	55.247	92	151		0.50
	Between Groups	.462	3	.154	.262	.853
higher but not over than	Within Groups	52.333	89	.588		
(%), please specify.	Total	52.796	92			
I would buy green product	Between Groups	.130	3	.043	.050	.985
	Within Groups	77.117	89	.866		
green products.	Total	77.247	92			
I feel uncomfortable when	Between Groups	4.402	3	1.467	1.658	.182
know very well about Fill is meaningless to buy a Fill igher price for green V ackaging instead of Fill lastics packaging given Adon't think that recycling ackaging worth as their Fill laim Fill lews and social medias Fill bout polluted seas, rivers Fill nd lakes are exaggerated Fill know the meaning of Fill cocycled (Taufique et Fill 1.,2016) Fill prefer to buy green Fill roducts with eco label Fill willing to pay more for Fill reen products in order to Fill wold buy green product Fill wold buy green product Fill wold buy green product Fill wold buy green products Fill when friends, family or Fill Olleague tell me to buy Fill reen consumer because Fill f a lot of contents or Fill don't care about peer Fill caterial in their	Within Groups	78.781	89	.885		
	Total	83.183	92			
I've change my mind to be	Between Groups	6.554	3	2.185	2.721	.049
green consumer because	Within Groups	71.446	89	.803		
	Total	78.000	92			
	Between Groups	1.859	3	.620	.534	.660
	Within Groups	103.324	89	1.161	.554	.000
purchase green product.	•			1.101		
	Total	105.183	92	70.4	0.010	
	Between Groups	2.353	3	.784	2.019	.117
material in their	Within Groups	34.572	89	.388		
manufacturing and	Total	36.925	92			
I would buy green products	Between Groups	2.030	3	.677	1.042	.378
if commercial advertising	Within Groups	57.798	89	.649		
	Total	59.828	92			
	Between Groups	5.080	3	1.693	2.648	.054
buying products that caused	Within Groups	56.920	89	.640		
of pollution even though it	•	62.000	92	.0+0.		
might be inconvenient.	Total					
	Between Groups	1.593	3	.531	.567	.638
more important to the	Within Groups	83.310	89	.936		
society than the pollution			50			
which result from their	Total	84.903	92			
production and use		1			1	

Appendix E One-way ANOVA (Gender)

		NOVA				
		Sum of	-16	Marca 0	-	0:
In any serviced about stabled warming many	Detween Crowne	Squares	df	Mean Square	F 1.022	Sig. .3
know very well about global warming means	Between Groups Within Groups	.832 36.286	2 90	.416 .403	1.032	.3
	Total	37.118	90	.403		
			92	0.05	107	
know very well about plastic problems that we are facing	Between Groups	.130	2 90	.065	.167	.8
	Within Groups Total	35.160 35.290	90	.391		
				0.05	(70	
know very well about climate change	Between Groups	.410	2	.205	.476	.6
	Within Groups Total	38.709 39.118	90 92	.430		
know substitutes of plastic	Between Groups	.655	2	.327	.628	.5
	Within Groups	46.915	90	.521		
	Total	47.570	92			
t is meaningless to buy a higher price for green packaging	Between Groups	1.796	2	.898	.889	.4
nstead of plastics packaging given lower price in the	Within Groups	90.871	90	1.010		
narket.	Total	92.667	92			
don't think that recycling packaging worth as their claim	Between Groups	1.506	2	.753	.850	.4
	Within Groups	79.741	90	.886		
	Total	81.247	92			
lews and social medias about polluted seas, rivers and	Between Groups	10.203	2	5.102	4.135	.0
akes are exaggerated	Within G <mark>r</mark> oups	111.044	90	1.234		
	Total	121.247	92			
know the meaning of recycled (Taufique et al.,2016)	Between Groups	.129	2	.065	.201	.8
know the meaning of recycled (Taunque et al.,2010)	Within Groups	28.860	90	.321	.201	.0
	Total	28.989	92	.021		
know the meaning of Biodegradability. (Taufique et	Between Groups	.963	2	.482	.515	.5
al.,2016)	Within Groups	84.219	90	.462	.515	.0
	Total	85.183	92	.550		
prefer to buy green products when I see the products with	Between Groups	.617	2	.309	.499	.6
aco label					.499	.0
	Within Groups	55.641	90	.618		
	Total	56.258	92			
willing to pay more for green products in order to buy less	Between Groups	2.410	2	1.205	2.052	.1:
environmentally harmful product	Within Groups	52.838	90	.587		
	Total	55.247	92			
could accept green products if the price is higher but not	Between Groups	.625	2	.312	.539	.5
over than (%), <mark>p</mark> lease specify.	Within Groups	52.171	90	.580		
	Total	52.796	92			
would buy green product when friends, family or colleague	Between Groups	1.442	2	.721	.856	.4
ell me to buy green products.	Within Groups	75.805	90	.842		
	Total	77.247	92			
fact up comfortable when friends have green products while		A 1		700	770	4
feel uncomfortable when friends buy green products while ou don't.	Between Groups Within Groups	1.415 81.768	2 90	.708 .909	.779	.4
	Total	81.768	90 92	.909		
					070	-
ve change my mind to be green consumer because of a lot of contents or advertisement about pollution issues.	Between Groups Within Groups	1.149 76.851	2 90	.575 .854	.673	.5
a comercis or advertisement about pollution issues.	Total	76.851 78.000	90 92	.854		
don't care about peer pressure and I wouldn't purchase	Between Groups	3.829	2	1.915	1.700	.1
reen product.	Within Groups	101.354	90	1.126		
	Total	105.183	92			
anufacturers should be force to use recycled material in	Between Groups	1.531	2	.766	1.947	.1
eir manufacturing and processing operation.	Within Groups	35.394	90	.393	-	
	Total	36.925	92			
would buy green products if commercial advertising	Between Groups	1.442	2	.721	1.111	.3
iention the ecological disadvantages of products.	Within Groups	58.386	90	.649		
5	Total	59.828	92			
would be willing to stop buying products that caused of	Between Groups	1.033	2	.517	.763	
ollution even though it might be inconvenient.	Within Groups	60.967	2 90	.517	.703	
	Total	62.000	90	.077		
he benefit of modern consumer products are more	Between Groups	1.753	2	.876	.949	.3
nportant to the society than the pollution which result from	Within Groups	83.151	90	.924		
neir production and use (Reverse question	Total	84.903	92			

Appendix F One-way ANOVE (Monthly household income)

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
know very well about	Between Groups	2.191	4	.548	1.380	.24
global warming means	Within Groups	34.927	88	.397		
	Total	37.118	92			
know very well about	Between Groups	.456	4	.114	.288	.88
plastic problems that we	Within Groups	34.835	88	.396		
are facing	Total	35.290	92			
know very well about	Between Groups	.863	4	.216	.496	.73
climate change	Within Groups	38.255	88	.435		
	Total	39.118	92			
know substitutes of plastic	Between Groups	2.720	4	.680	1.334	.26
	Within Groups	44.850	88	.510	1.004	.20
	Total	44.830	92	.510		
It is meaningless to buy a	Between Groups	1.705	92 4	.426	.412	.79
higher price for green	· •				.412	.79
packaging instead of	Within Groups	90.961	88	1.034		
plastics packaging given	Total	92.667	92			
I don't think that recycling	Between Groups	5.996	4	1.499	1.753	.14
packaging worth as their claim	Within Groups	75.251	88	.855		
	Total	81.247	92			
News and social medias	Between Groups	5.191	4	1.298	.984	.42
about polluted seas, rivers and lakes are exaggerated	Within Groups	116.056	88	1.319		
	Total	121.247	92			
I know the meaning of	Between Groups	.831	4	.208	.650	.62
recycled (Taufique et al.,2016)	Within Groups	28.158	88	.320		
al.,2010)	Total	28.989	92			
know the meaning of	Between Groups	1.400	4	.350	.368	.83
Biodegradability. (Taufique et al.,2016)	Within Groups	83.783	88	.952		
et al.,2010)	Total	85.183	92			
l prefer to buy <mark>gr</mark> een	Between Groups	1.186	4	.297	.474	.75
products when I see the products with eco label	Within Groups	55.072	88	.626		
products with eco label	Total	56.258	92			
I willing to pay m <mark>or</mark> e for	Between Groups	4.687	4	1.172	2.039	.09
green products in order to	Within Groups	50.561	88	.575		
buy less environmentally harmful product	Total	55.247	92			
l could accept green	Between Groups	1.100	4	.275	.468	.75
products if the price is	Within Groups	51.696	88	.587		
higher but not over than (%), please specify.	Total	52.796	92			
I would buy green product	Between Groups	1.445	4	.361	.419	.79
when friends, family or	Within Groups	75.802	88	.861		
colleague tell me to buy green products.	Total	77.247	92			
I feel uncomfortable when	Between Groups	2.154	4	.538	.585	.67
friends buy green products	Within Groups	81.029	88	.921		
while you don't.	Total	83.183	92			
've change my mind to be	Between Groups	2.654	4	.664	.775	.54
green consumer because	Within Groups	75.346	88	.856		
of a lot of contents or	Total	78.000	92			
advertisement about I don't care about peer	Between Groups	2.654	4	.663	.569	.68
pressure and I wouldn't	Within Groups	102.529	88	1.165	.305	.00
purchase green product.	Total	102.329	92	1.105		
Manufacturers should be	Between Groups	1.513	92	.378	.940	.44
force to use recycled	•		88		.540	.44
material in their	Within Groups	35.412		.402		
manufacturing and	Total	36.925	92			
would buy green products f commercial advertising	Between Groups	4.038	4	1.010	1.592	.18
nention the ecological	Within Groups	55.790	88	.634		
disadvantages of products.	Total	59.828	92			
would be willing to stop	Between Groups	4.064	4	1.016	1.543	.19
buying products that caused	Within Groups	57.936	88	.658		
of pollution even though it night be inconvenient.	Total	62.000	92			
The benefit of modern	Between Groups	3.157	4	.789	.850	.49
consumer products are						
more important to the	Within Groups	81.746	88	.929		
society than the pollution which result from their	Total	84.903	92			
		04.003	52			

		ANOVA				
		0			_	
	Deturne Orever	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
know very well about global warming means	Between Groups	1.321	3	.440	1.094	.3
	Within Groups	35.798	89	.402		
	Total	37.118	92			
know very well about plastic problems that ve are facing	Between Groups	4.237	3	1.412	4.048	.0
we are lacing	Within Groups	31.053	89	.349		
	Total	35.290	92			
know very well about climate change	Between Groups	2.888	3	.963	2.365	.0
	Within Groups	36.230	89	.407		
	Total	39.118	92			
know substitutes of plastic	Between Groups	1.989	3	.663	1.295	.2
	Within Groups	45.581	89	.512		
	Total	47.570	92			
t is meaningless to buy a higher price for	Between Groups	7.370	3	2.457	2.563	.0
green packaging instead of plastics	Within Groups	85.297	89	.958		
backaging given lower price in the market.	Total	92.667	92			
don't think that recycling packaging worth as	Between Groups	4.259	3	1.420	1.641	.1
			89	.865		
			92			
News and social medias about polluted			32	4.077	3.328	.0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				3.320	.0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		89	1.225		
			92			
		/	3	.171	.534	.6
al.,2016)			89	.320		
	Total	28.989	92			
	Between Groups	1.542	3	.514	.547	.6
Laufique et al.,2016)	Within Groups	83.640	89	.940		
	Total	85.183	92			
prefer to buy green products when I see the	Between Groups	1.986	3	.662	1.086	.3
products with eco label	Within Groups	54.272	89	.610		
	Total	56.258	92			
willing to pay more for green products in	Between Groups	1.574	3	.525	.870	.4
	Within Groups	53.674	89	.603		
product	Total	55,247	92			
could accept green products if the price is	Between Groups		3	.841	1.490	.2
			89	.565		
specify.			92			
would huv green product when friends			3	1.995	2,492	.0
			89	.801	2.432	.0
	2		92	.001		
(Taufique et al.,2016)Within Groups83,640 TotalI prefer to buy green products when I see the products with eco labelBetween Groups1,986 Within GroupsI willing to pay more for green products in 		4 404	4.000			
			3	1.421	1.603	.1
			89	.887		
			92			
		1.073	3	.358	.414	.7
	Within Groups	76.927	89	.864		
	Total	78.000	92			
don't care about peer pressure and I	Between Groups	5.181	3	1.727	1.537	.2
wouldn't purchase green product.	Within Groups	100.001	89	1.124		
		105.183	92			
Manufacturers should be force to use	Between Groups	1.225	3	.408	1.018	.3
ecycled material in their manufacturing and	-		89	.401		
processing operation.	Total	36.925	92			
would huv groop products if commercial	Between Groups	2.445	92	.815	1.264	.2
would buy green products if commercial advertising mention the ecological					1.204	.2
disadvantages of products.	Within Groups	57.383	89	.645		
	Total	59.828	92			
would be willing to stop buying products that	Between Groups	5.827	3	1.942	3.077	.0
caused of pollution even though it might be nconvenient.	Within Groups	56.173	89	.631		
nconverilent.	Total	62.000	92			
The benefit of modern consumer products	Between Groups	2.528	3	.843	.910	.4
are more important to the society than the						
collution which result from their production	Within Groups	82.375	89	.926		
and use (Reverse question	Total	84.903	92			

Appendix G One-way ANOVA (Education level)