A STUDY OF PERCEPTION OF THAI CUSTOMERS IN BANGKOK TOWARDS CHINESE ELECTRONIC PRODUCT



A THEMATIC PAPER SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF MANAGEMENT COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY 2021

COPYRIGHT OF MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY

Thematic paper entitled A STUDY OF PERCEPTION OF THAI CUSTOMERS IN BANGKOK TOWARDS CHINESE ELECTRONIC PRODUCT

was submitted to the College of Management, Mahidol University for the degree of Master of Management on

December 18, 2021

Mr. Fan Zhang Candidate

Assoc. Prof. Prattana Punnakitikashem, Ph.D. Advisor Assoc. Prof. Sooksan Kantabutra, Ph.D. Chairperson

Assoc. Prof. Vichita Ractham, Ph.D. Dean College of Management Mahidol University Asst. Prof. Pornkasem Kantamara, Ed.D. Committee member

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor, Associate, Prof. Prattana Punnakitikashem, Ph. D, for her always helping and providing advice during my research process. Her immense knowledge and friendly attitude help me a lot in the process. She guides me to a good direction of my research and I believe that this study would not be completed without all support from her.

Secondly, I would like to thank my family and friends. They are the emotional pillars of my research. Moreover, friends also help me a lot in my research process. As a foreigner doing market research in Thailand, Language is always the first problem that I meet. Fortunately, my friend helped me to translate the questionnaire to make it better and completed.

Lastly, I want to thank all people who helped me complete the questionnaire for getting the data to support the data analysis, and they provided me with the most objective data so that I could do all the other continued steps.

Fan Zhang

A STUDY OF PERCEPTION OF THAI CUSTOMERS IN BANGKOK TOWARDS CHINESE ELECTRONIC PRODUCT

FAN ZHANG 6349032

M.M. (MARKETING AND MANAGEMENT)

THEMATIC PAPER ADVISORY COMMITTEE: ASSOC. PROF. PRATTANA PUNNAKITIKASHEM, Ph.D., ASSOC. PROF. SOOKSAN KANTABUTRA, Ph.D. ASST. PROF. PORNKASEM KANTAMARA, Ed.D.

ABSTRACT

With the rapid development of globalization and changes in daily life, electronic products have become an inseparable part of people's lives. China's electronic products have achieved certain development in the past ten years, not only recognized in the local area, but also recognized by some other countries and regions. With the promotion of Thailand's economic policy and Thailand as one of the most important economic regions in Southeast Asia, it has also become the main export area of Chinese electronic products. Therefore, how Chinese electronic products can gain a foothold in the Thai market and achieve development has become the focus of this research.

The purpose of this study is to study the Thai customers' understanding of Chinese electronic products, the expectations of Thai customers for Chinese electronic products and how to strengthen the loyalty of Thai customers to Chinese brands. The study was conducted by quantitative research methods, and a random sampling method was used to distribute online questionnaires to collect survey data. Among them, a total of 126 questionnaires were answered and 111 samples were eligible for analysis. By determining the Thai customer's understanding, 90.1% of them like to use Chinese electronic products, the most famous brand is Xiaomi with a type of smart home technology. Almost all of them learn by social media and commercial advertisement channels and the main user is the age group of 25 to 40 and single group. Through exploring Thai customer's expectations, the study revealed that there were similar perceptions of gender and income in Chinese electronic products, with no significant differences. However, there are significant differences in some cognitive trends in the use of different product types, education, age and marital status. The people who have a bachelor or above level have higher agreement with price than people who got highschool status, people who age below 40 have higher agreement with price than people who are age between 41 and 65. Brand recognition and customer satisfaction as considerate variables were also identified in the survey; these two factors have a significant positive impact on the establishment of Chinese electronic brand loyalty, but product attributes and perceived quality do not.

The founding above of these perspectives would help Chinese electronic brands manage effectively, make future market planning and strategic decisions to focus more on developing and producing electronic products that are in line with the Thai market and target the right customer base.

KEY WORDS: Chinese Electronic/ Brand Loyalty/ Customer Satisfaction/ Brand Recognition,

103 pages

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Research Objective	4
1.5 The scope of study	4
1.6 Expected Benefit	4
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Dependent Variable	6
2.1.1 Brand loyalty	6
2.2 Independent Variable	6
2.2.1 Perceived quality	6
2.2.2 Brand recognition	7
2.2.3 Product attributes	8
2.2.4 Customer satisfaction	9
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	11
3.1 Research Methodology: Quantitative Method	11
3.2 Sample plan	11
3.3 Data collection	12
3.4 Data analysis	12
3.5 Chinese electronic market Questionnaire	13

CONTENTS (cont.)

CHAPTER IV	DATA ANALYSIS	18
4.1 Fi	inding	18
4.2 R	eliability Test	18
4.3 Fi	requencies Analysis	21
4.4 A	nalysis of Variance (ANOVA)	24
	4.4.1 Type of Chinese electronics using	25
	4.4.2 Gender	26
	4.4.3 Income	27
	4.4.4 Education status	27
	4.4.5 Age	31
	4.4.6 Marital status	33
4.5 R	egression Analysis- Linear Regression	35
4.6 D	viscussion	37
CHAPTER V	CONCLUSION	40
5.1 C	onclusion	40
5.2 R	ecommendation	42
5.3 L	imitation	43
5.4 Fi	uture Research	44
REFERENCES		45
APPENDICES		50
BIOGRAPHY		103

Page

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Q5 Please think and make judgments based on your experience	14
	to the following questions, and show your views in the form of	
	scores. (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-	
	Strongly Agree)	
4.1	Reliability test for dependent variable and independent variable	19
4.2	Frequency analysis of which type do Thai customers use the	21
	most	
4.3	Frequencies analysis of Gender	21
4.4	Frequencies analysis of Income	22
4.5	Frequencies analysis of Education	23
4.6	Frequencies analysis of Age	23
4.7	Frequencies analysis of Marital status	24
4.8	ANOVA Analysis based on Types using	25
4.9	Multiple Comparisons based on Type using Bonferroni Theory	26
4.10	ANOVA Analysis based on Education Status	27
4.11	Multiple Comparisons based on Education with Bonferroni	29
	Theory	
4.12	ANOVA Analysis based on Age group	31
4.13	Multiple Comparisons based on Age group with Bonferroni	32
	Theory	
4.14	ANOVA Analysis based on Marital Status	33
4.15	Multiple Comparisons based on Marital Status with Bonferroni	34
	Theory	

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

Table		Page
4.16	Model Summary-Predictors: (Constant), Customer Satisfaction,	35
	Product Attributes, Perceived Quality, Brand Recognition)	
4.17	ANOVA alpha Dependent variable: Customer loyalty	36
4.18	Coefficients alpha by setting Customer loyalty as dependent	36
	variable	



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Thailand imports from China, adapted from United Nations	2
	COMTRADE database, 2021	
1.2	Classification that Thailand imports from China, adapt from	2
	United Nations COMTRADE database, 2021	
2.1	Relationship between Dependent Variable and independent	10
	variables. (Dependent variable: Brand loyalty, Independent	
	Variable: Perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes,	
	customer satisfaction)	



viii

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

China is the largest manufacturer in the world and also earned a reputation as the world's factory. (China manufacturing: everything you need to know,2020). China's manufacturing industry wins all this because China has a large population and ordinary labor is cheaper. At the same time, the opening economic policy has made foreign companies and investors scramble to start business in China. With the investment and help of the state, China has become the world's leader in many manufacturing fields such as steel, chemicals, electronic products, robots, etc. (China manufacturing: everything you need to know,2020). From this we can see that China's manufacturing position in the world has been achieved ahead. But manufacturing in China represents China's productivity gains and support for various policies, and manufacturing does not equal the recognition of other countries.

Thailand was the second largest economy in ASEAN and one of the most dynamic countries in Southeast Asia. (The Asia Foundation,2021); it also shows Thailand's economic position in southeast Asia. On the basis of the Belt and Road Initiative and Thailand's 4.0 strategy, China and Thailand have achieved common development and further developed their import and export trade. According to the United Nations COMTRADE database on international trade shows that Thailand's import quota from China has gradually increased in these 10 years, reaching \$49.85 billion during 2020. (Figure 1.1 Thailand imports from China) This macro data shows China's import status as a Thai importer, thus demonstrating the importance of China and Thailand to each other in trade cooperation. So, this also is one of the reasons to guide this author on this topic.



Figure 1.1 Thailand imports from China, adapted from United Nations COMTRADE database, 2021.

According to the United Nations COMTRADE database on international trade (Figure 1.2 Classification that Thailand imports from China), Electronic-related products had occupied the highest market value among the products exported from China to Thailand, and it accounted for 15.45 billion in 2020. And this mainly includes wireless phones, TVs, cameras and other equipment.

Thailand Imports from China	Value	Year
Electrical, electronic equipment	\$15.45B	2020
Machinery, nuclear reactors, boilers	\$9.35B	2020
Plastics	\$2.58B	2020
Iron and steel	\$2.28B	2020
Articles of iron or steel	\$2.20B	2020
Vehicles other than railway, tramway	\$1.33B	2020
Miscellaneous chemical products	\$1.17B	2020
Organic chemicals	\$1.11B	2020
Optical, photo, technical, medical apparatus	\$1.10B	2020
Aluminum	\$1.03B	2020

Figure 1.2 Classification that Thailand imports from China, adapt from United Nations COMTRADE database, 2021.

Chinese electronic product means the electronic product that comes from China, and it will be a Chinese Brand, among them are brands such as Xiaomi, Hisense and Huawei. With the development of globalization, the improvement of productivity in China and the promotion of economic policies between China and Thailand, the trade between these two countries has achieved a certain degree of development; thus, there are more and more Chinese electronic products flowing into Thailand for local sales. Among them, the Chinese electronic product brand, Xiaomi, occupies a large market share in Thailand and has a representative significance. 'Xiaomi climbed to the top spot for the first time in the Thai market with a market share of 21% in the second quarter, based on a report by global analyst Canalys. It reached No.2 in global smartphone shipments for the first time with a market share of 16.7%. IoT products account for 10% of Xiaomi revenue in Thailand and smartphones make up 90%'. (Suchit Leesanguansuk, 2021)

With the development of internationalization and the deepening of friendly relations between China and Thailand, the author believes that the business of China and Thailand will develop further in the future. The author hopes to strengthen own understanding through this study, to study the perception of Thai customers towards Chinese electronic products, and learn about the shortcomings of Chinese electronic products, and provide advice on the development of Chinese electronic products.

1.2 Problem Statement

According to the experience of researchers, it was found that Chinese electronic products have not yet been recognized by most Thai customers, and Chinese electronic products have not yet fully met the needs of Thai customers. Emerging Chinese electronic product brands are unable to capture the preferences of Thai customers very well when developing the Thai market, and those electronic product functions tend to be Chinese style. However, due to differences of national conditions and history and culture between two countries, Thai customers and Chinese customers often have some different needs for electronic products. Therefore, this research will focus on helping Chinese electronic products to develop better and learn about the needs of Thai customers.

1.3 Research Questions

Q1: What are Thai customer's perspectives towards Chinese electronic products?

Q2: What do Thai customers expect from Chinese electronic products?

Q3: How should Chinese electronic products be strengthened to win more customer's loyalty in Thailand?

1.4 Research Objective

1). To determine Thai customers' understanding towards Chinese electronic products.

2). To explore the needs/expectation of Thai customers for Chinese electronic products.

3). To determine which elements that need to be improved or worth investing for Chinese electronic products to win more customer's loyalty in Thailand.

1.5 The Scope of Study

As this study focuses on enhancing learning about Chinese electronic products among Thai customers:

1. It will only survey Thais with experience in purchasing Chinese electronic products. People who do not have purchasing experience will be excluded from data analysis.

2. This survey is limited to surveys of Thais in Bangkok.

1.6 Expected Benefit

In the face of existing Chinese electronic brands and other emerging electronic brands to provide understanding, by understanding the main needs of Thai customers to help Chinese electronics brands to develop marketing strategies and direction to win greater market share. Thus, to provide references for development in the long-term development path of Chinese electronic products.



CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Dependent Variable

2.1.1 Brand loyalty

Brand loyalty is the positive association consumers attach to a particular product or brand. Customers who exhibit brand loyalty are devoted to a product or service, which is demonstrated by their repeat purchases despite competitors' efforts to lure them away (Julius Mansa,2021). Brand loyalty is the tendency of consumers to continuously purchase one brand's products over another. Consumer behavior patterns demonstrate that consumers will continue to buy products from a company that has fostered a trusting relationship. (Skyward Stuff,2014). This also means that consumers' psychological response to the preference of the brand , and also reflect the degree of trust and dependence on the brand. Loyalty is extremely beneficial to businesses as it leads to repeat purchases by consumers, higher revenues, and customer referrals. (Sky word, 2014).

In this study, Brand Loyalty refers to the Thai customer's brand loyalty towards Chinese electronic product; by using brand loyalty as the dependent variable to make surveys. At the same time, through data survey and analysis to research the relationship and impact of each variable to brand loyalty.

2.2 Independent Variable

2.2.1 Perceived quality

The marketing expert K.Grunos proposed the 'Customer perceived service quality model' in 1982 and he also mentioned about the evaluation of quality from customers; it is actually the comparison between the actual feeling in the process of receiving services and the expectation before receiving the services. However, Perceived quality also can be regarded as a consumer's judgment on the product. (A. Zeithaml,1988). Perceived quality can even be understood like the value to the customers. (Snoj, B., Pisnik Korda, A. and Mumel, D., 2004). In the other words, perceived quality is a psychological activity in which customers compare the actual quality and the expected quality after receiving a product or service. Johnson (1998)as cited by Szymanski and Henard(2001) states that a customer is more likely satisfied with a marketer's offering when they have higher capability-ties of fulfilling customers' needs and wants. Therefore, perceived quality has a certain influence on brand loyalty, showing a significant positive effect. (Khaton Fajar Setyawan, Mugiono, Ananda Sabil Hussein, 2020)

In summary, the perceived quality will be used as an independent variable for independent thinking. This research also develops to studying comparison between Thai customers' expectations of Chinese electronic products and their psychological after receiving the products and deeper mining whether the 'perceived quality' of Thai customers has an impact on brand loyalty, what is the effect and how strong of the effect.

2.2.2 Brand recognition

Brand recognition is the certification and trust of consumers in a brand. Consumers correctly identify the extent of a particular product or service through the identification, slogan, packaging, or advertising campaign of a company's products or services. (Tech Target Contributor, 2019). Brand recognition is also an important part of marketing, which usually refers to the ability of consumers to identify a brand by its attributes over another one. (Will.K 2021) Second, color, sound, etc. can also be used as a symbol of brand recognition; and those representative recognition are considered successful rather than expose the name of the company directly. Thus, brand recognition is very important. This represents some of the customer's understanding of the brand itself, and to give them the degree of knowledge and recognition, customers can directly or indirectly give the brand evaluation. When customers associate something iconic, they can relate directly to the brand itself, and even enhance the brand awareness. Some customers can immediately associate Xiaomi brands with some smart home technology products. This research will use data research to explore the link between brand recognition and brand loyalty, to study the relationships it presents, and to study how relative choices are made in the face of such relationships. Thus, brand recognition had a significant and positive effect on brand loyalty. (Majid Shiasi Arani, Hamid Shafiizadeh, 2019)

In this research, Brand recognition is also one of the independent variables, focusing on the study of Thai customers in China's electronic products brand recognition. Through the surveys conducted to confirm how well Thai customers recognize and understand Chinese electronic brands, and explore whether brand recognition could play a role in brand loyalty based on the data analysis. If so, this study will look at how brand recognition relates, what impact it has, and how to deal with this factor.

2.2.3 Product attributes

Product attributes are the properties that describe a product. They include details that are tangible and intangible, subjective and objective. All of this information enables shoppers to find, compare, and choose products. (Nate Holmes,2020) A property of a product could be its size, color, component, and so on. You can add properties to a family, bundle, or product when they're in Draft or Under Revision states. The child products, bundles, and families inherit the properties from their parents. (Dynamics 365, 2021) Product attribute is the collection of features and characteristics that mark out a product, which also contributes to its ability to meet consumers'' expectations. It is recommended that products that offer values for money not only influence customers'' purchase-behavior at the pre-purchase phase but also affect their satisfaction, referrals, and repeat-purchase behavior at the post-purchase phase (William B. Dodds, Kent B. et.al, 1991). Product attributes have a significant impact on loyalty. (Qomariah, N. 2017)

In this study, product attributes refer to the properties of Chinese electronic products themselves. For example, the product itself provides features, size, colors, weight, raw material use, country of origin and other factors. Explore the importance of this factor by studying its relationship to loyalty, and explore the relationship it presents to learn how to increase brand loyalty by improving product attributes.

2.2.4 Customer satisfaction

Customer satisfaction is normally used to measure whether a product or service that is provided by a company meets customer expectation. And, it is also considered as 'the number or percentage of customers who made feedback or reported about the consumption with the company, its services or product surpasses a specific satisfaction goal. (Farris, Paul W.; Neil T. 2010). Therefore, it also means that the actual feeling of satisfaction is different between person and person; it also depends on people's self-psychological and physical satisfaction. From other perspectives, people can use the framework as the expectation-non-confirmation paradigm when ensuring customer satisfaction. This model plays a role and is used as the main independent variable to predict satisfaction. (Oliver, 1980). However, Customer satisfaction with a product can create long term benefits for firms including positive word-of-mouth, cross buying, and customer loyalty (Anderson, Fornell, & Lehmann, 1994; Palmatier, Dant, Grewal, & Evans, 2006). Satisfaction is defined as the fulfillment of people's wishes, expectations, or needs, or the pleasure derived from this (Oxford). Dawes et al. (2015) stated that brand confidence will be greater, customer satisfaction is a precursor to loyalty, and the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty is proportional.

For this research, customer satisfaction is focusing on customer satisfaction towards Chinese electronic products; customer satisfaction will be one of the independent variables to make surveys, to understand the Thai customer satisfaction situation and the reasons with Chinese electronic products. By setting customer satisfaction as the independent variable of this study, we study and explore the relationship and influence between customer satisfaction and loyalty.



Figure 2.1 Relationship between Dependent Variable and independent variables. (Dependent variable: Brand loyalty, Independent Variable: Perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes, customer satisfaction)



CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology: Quantitative Method

This research will apply quantitative research methods and distribute online questionnaires to survey customers in Bangkok in order to collect data. Among them, the questionnaire will be divided into three parts, the first one screening question, second part is a variable question and the last part is for basic information survey. This research applied quantitative methods because data collected and data analyses from local markets are more realistic, objective and representative. (Udo Kelle,2008) This research is a study of local customers in Thailand, mainly to enhance the understanding of the Thai market and Thai customers. Therefore, through a large number of data surveys and analysis, it will be better to show the Thai local market and customer understanding and perception.

3.2 Sample Plan

This research conducted a survey on the population of Bangkok, Thailand. According to the *World Population Review* website, the current population of Bangkok is 10,722,815. (World Population Review,2021). Second, China as Thailand's most important source of exports, accounts for 24.7% of the total so use the same percentage of the base as a reference; count 24.7% of the population equal to 264,853,530. (Industry Outlook 2021-2023: Electronics) The simple size was considered to collect 100 Thai customers in Bangkok who have experience of purchasing Chinese electronic products based on a simplified formula by Yamane that was used for sample size calculation.

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Where n is the sample size, N as population size, and e equal to the level

of precision or sampling of error. For this research, the N (population) is 264,853,530 as mentioned above. By setting a level of precision(e) equal to 10% which comes up with the result as:

$$n = \frac{264,853,530}{1+264,853,530(0.01)^2} = 100$$

Therefore, 100 samples(n) were considered and taken for this research analysis.

3.3 Data Collection

In this survey, Author hopes to understand the Thai customers' thoughts on Chinese electronic product; and to dig deeply into the reason behind. The authors hope to collect enough valid data in two weeks from 14th October 2021 and analyze the collected data.

Since the COVID-19 virus is still having a strong impact on society nowadays, this study is considering issuing questionnaires through the online collection. The questionnaire will be distributed and collected online by setting up a Google questionnaire

However, in order to study specific people (Thai customers) and Thai markets and enhance the credibility of the data, the questionnaire is only distributed to Thais. In order to obtain more suitable data for this research, this questionnaire survey will only use valid data; this means that only collect the data on customers who ever purchased Chinese electronic products, and those people who have not the relevant experience will be excluded.

3.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative research methods are used through data collection in the form of questionnaires; after the data is collected, the collected data is analyzed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS).

First, the collected data will be analyzed using reliability test methods to confirm the reliability of the data. High reliability is a prerequisite for the quality of

the questionnaire. If the reliability of the questionnaire is relatively good, it proves that the data reliability of the questionnaire is relatively high. In the analysis of SPSS, this research will refer to Cronbach's alpha coefficient first. When the Cronbach's alpha coefficient is greater than 0.7, it proves that the data is highly reliable and worthy of use.

Secondly, the research will focus on analyzing data using descriptive statistics, including frequency analysis and descriptive analysis. The applicable data are understood and judged initially by using frequencies. And the next step is to use a descriptive analysis method to understand the trends in data presentation. In descriptive analysis, this research will use T-test and ANOVA to dissect data, provide results and make analysis.

The last is to perform regression analysis on the data, and strengthen the understanding of the subject through the method of regression analysis. By analyzing the correlation coefficient between the dependent variable and independent variables, to analyze the relationship and explore the meaning, influence, and the degree of influence. Thus, enhancing understanding of the local market through data analysis will help make market analysis and provide recommendations.

3.5 Chinese Electronic Market Questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to study the key factors that can influence Thai customer's Loyalty towards Chinese electronic products.

Questions are divided into 3 parts: Part 1-screening questions; Part 2-variables questions; Part 3-personal information questions.

First page checking: Have you ever used electronic products?

Q1. Do you like Chinese electronic products?

Yes	1	No	2
Q2. What kind of Chinese el	ectron	ic product do you lik	xe the best?
Computer product type	1	Communication p	oroduct type 2
Smart home technology typ	pe 3	Others	4

Q3. What is your favorite Chinese electronic brand?

Q4. Which channel made you know about Chinese electronic products?

Introduction of people around

Commercial area advertising

Office Website

Social Media

Recommendation from Online shopping platform

Programs and advertisements provided by radio and television (TV)

Others

Remark: Please consider the choice based on your usage experience while you work on this questionnaire.

Q5. Please think and make judgments based on your experience to the following questions, and show your views in the form of scores. (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree)

Table 3.1 Q5 Please think and make judgments based on your experience to the following questions, and show your views in the form of scores. (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree)

Loyalty	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	disagree				Agree
I intend to keep using the Chinese	1	2	3	4	5
electronic product					
I use Chinese electronic products	1	2	3	4	5
because it is the best choice for					
me					
I intend to keep purchasing the	1	2	3	4	5
Chinese electronic product					
I say positive things about	1	2	3	4	5
Chinese electronic product					
Chinese electronic products are	1	2	3	4	5
different from other brands					

Table 3.1 Q5 Please think and make judgments based on your experience to the following questions, and show your views in the form of scores. (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree) (cont.)

Perceived Quality	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	disagree				Agree
The quality of the product is as	1	2	3	4	5
expected					
I feel the same before and after I	1	2	3	4	5
purchase the product					
Chinese electronic products could	1	2	3	4	5
fulfill all of my needs					
Chinese electronic products are	1	2	3	4	5
reliable as expected					
Brand recognition	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	disagree				Agree
Chinese electronic brand is well	1	2	3	4	5
priced					
I think Chinese brands have the	1	2	3	4	5
expertise in producing the product					
I buy/use the electronic product	1	2	3	4	5
because it's a Chinese brand					
I can get the same benefit from	1	2	3	4	5
Chinese brand when compared to					
another brand					
I believe that Chinese electronic	1	2	3	4	5
brands are contributing to the					
society					

Table 3.1 Q5 Please think and make judgments based on your experience to the following questions, and show your views in the form of scores. (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree) (cont.)

Product Attributes	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	disagree				Agree
I buy/use electronic products	1	2	3	4	5
because of their function or					
design.					
I Choose Chinese electronics	1	2	3	4	5
because of its reasonable price					
I like to choose electronic	1	2	3	4	5
products that are made in China					
I think Chinese electronics are	1	2	3	4	5
new, innovative.					
Chinese electronic products are a	1	2	3	4	5
good match to my image and					
figure					
Customer Satisfaction	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
Customer Satisfaction	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neutral 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products.	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neutral 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese	Strongly disagree 1 1	Disagree 2 2	Neutral 3 3	Agree 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products.	Strongly disagree 1 1	Disagree 2 2	Neutral 3 3	Agree 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using	Strongly disagree 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product	Strongly disagree 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product I am satisfied with the quality of	Strongly disagree 1 1 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product I am satisfied with the quality of the product or service of the	Strongly disagree 1 1 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product I am satisfied with the quality of the product or service of the Chinese electronic brand	Strongly disagree 1 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product I am satisfied with the quality of the product or service of the Chinese electronic brand Compared with other electronic	Strongly disagree 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5 5 5
Customer Satisfaction I would recommend to others to use Chinese electronic products. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic product I am satisfied with the quality of the product or service of the Chinese electronic brand Compared with other electronic brands, I am more satisfied with	Strongly disagree 1 1 1 1 1 1	Disagree 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Neutral 3 3 3 3 3	Agree 4 4 4 4 4 4	Strongly Agree 5 5 5 5 5

Q6. Gender:

Male	1	Female	2
Alternative gender	3		
Q7. Income:			
Lower than 15,000	1	15,000-25,001	2
25,001-35,000	3	35,001-50,000	4
50,001-75,000	5	75,001-100,000	6
100,000-125,000	7	12,501-150,000	8
More than 150,000	8		

Q8. May I ask which college degree you are in?

Middle	1	High school	2
Bachelor	3	Master and above	4
Q9. May I ask about y	ou <mark>r a</mark> ge range	?	
18-24	1	25-40	2
41-60	3	More than 60	4
Q10. Are you single or	married?		
Single	1	Married	2
Others	3		

17

CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS

4.1 Finding

After a period of questionnaire survey on the local market in Bangkok, Thailand; there are a total of 126 questionnaire responses were obtained. There are 111 response quality data and will be used for analysis in this research. Because the rest of the data did not pass the screening question (Have you ever used Chinese electronic products), and the main problem investigation was not conducted, it was excluded as invalid data and did not participate in data analysis. Among 111 quality data, there are 100 people who like to use electronic products and the rest do not. In the presentation of the favorite Chinese electronic brand related question, it shows that Xiaomi is the most famous Chinese electronic brand in Thailand. However, social media channels and commercial area advertising are the 2 most commonly used to know about Chinese electronic products.

4.2 Reliability Test

Before all data analysis, this study begins with a reliability analysis to ensure that all of the variables contained in this research are effective. Therefore, it would use SPSS Statistics version 23 to do a reliability test. In this test, it will be focused on Cronbach's Alpha index. This research will only use the Cronbach's Alpha index of each variable that is higher than 0.07 to ensure its reliability.

Table 4.1 Reliability test for dependent variable and independent variable Reliability Statistics (Loyalty)

	Cronbach's	
	Alpha Based	
	on	
Cronbach's	Standardized	
Alpha	Items	N of Items
.845	.848	5

Reliability Statistics (Perceived

		Quality)					
1//	Cronbach	i's					
	Alpha Bas	ed					
	on						
Cronbach's	Standardiz	zed					
Alpha	Items	N of <mark>It</mark> e	ems				
.85	1 .:	355	4				
	Cronbach's Alpha .85	Cronbach Alpha Bas on Cronbach's Alpha Items .851	Cronbach'sAlpha BasedonCronbach'sStandardizedAlphaItems.851.855				

Reliability Statistics (Brand

Recognition)

078	Cronbach's	
	Alpha Based	
	on	
Cronbach's	Standardized	
Alpha	Items	N of Items
.764	.768	5

Table 4.1 Reliability test for dependent variable and independent variable (cont.) Reliability Statistics (Product

Attribute)

	Cronbach's	
	Alpha Based	
	on	
Cronbach's	Standardized	
Alpha	Items	N of Items
.841	.839	5

Reliability Statistics (Customer						
	5	Satisfaction)				
~/		Cronbach's				
		Alpha Based				
	<u> </u>	on				
	Cronbach's	Standardized				
	Alpha	Items	N of Items			
Z	.927	.929	5			

Table 4.1 shows reliability tests for dependent variables and independent variables. Based on the reliability analysis data, Table 4.1 shows that all of the Cronbach's Alpha is higher than 0.7, which means that all of the variables are acceptable and useful in this research and data analysis.

4.3 Frequencies Analysis

				Valid	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Valid	Computer type	11	9.9	9.9	9.9
	Communication product	22	20.7	20.7	30.6
	type	23	20.7	20.7	30.0
	Smart home	60	54.1	54.1	847
	Technology type	00	54.1	54.1	04.7
	Others	17	15.3	15.3	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.2 Frequency analysis of which type do Thai customers use the mostWhat kind of Chinese electronic product do you like the best?

Table 4.2 shows the frequency analysis of which type that Thai customer is using. For the Table 4.2 above, Chinese electronic products are divided into four types which are Computer type, Communication product type, Smart home technology type and Others type. Based on the frequencies analysis, it shows that Smart home technology type is the most famous type which is worth 54.1 % of the total number of surveys.

Table 4.3 Frequencies analysis of Gender

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Male	48	43.2	43.2	43.2
	Female	58	52.3	52.3	95.5
	Alternative Gender	5	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.3 shows frequency analysis of gender based on simple size, and it shows that gender is divided into three types which are male, female and alternative gender. Among them, the result of Table 4.3 shows that there are 48 males (43.2 %),58 females (52.3%) and 5 people to choose alternative gender (4.5%).

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	lower than 15,000	15	13.5	13.5	13.5
	15,000-25,000	33	29.7	29.7	43.2
	25,001-35,000	18	16.2	16.2	59.5
	3 <mark>5,001-50,000</mark>	21	18.9	18.9	78.4
	50,001-75,000	8	7.2	7.2	85.6
	75,001-100,000	10	9.0	<mark>9</mark> .0	94.6
	125,001-1 <mark>5</mark> 0,000	1	.9	.9	95.5
	More than 150,000	5	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.4 Frequencies analysis of Income

Income (Baht)

Table 4.4 shows frequency analysis of income based on simple size. From the Table 4.4 Frequencies analysis of Income, the income level distribution of the number of people surveyed, the income range of 15,000 to 25000 Thai baht is the largest proportion and is worth 29.7% of the total responses. And it was followed by people in the income range of 35,000 to 50,000 Thai baht as 18.9% of the total response.

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Middle school or	2	27	27	27
	below	3	2.1	2.1	2.1
	High school	3	2.7	2.7	5.4
	Bachelor	71	64.0	64.0	69.4
	Master or above	34	30.6	30.6	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.5 Frequencies analysis of Education

Education

For the educational distribution and based on the Table 4.5 Frequencies analysis of Education, there are 71 people (64%) in the bachelor degree stage, and there are 34 people (30.6%) in the master degree or above; which there are the highest two educational distribution and worth 94.4% of the total responses.

Table 4.6 Frequencies analysis of Age

	19			6.81	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	18-24	26	23.4	23.4	23.4
	25-40	67	60.4	60.4	83.8
	41-60	18	16.2	16.2	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Age

Table 4.6 shows frequency analysis of age groups. In the Table of 4.6 frequencies analysis of age group, the age between 25 to 40 is the highest frequency as 67 people and worth 60.4% of the total response; which also means that the age group between 25 to 40 is the main market for Chinese electronic product market.

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Single	86	77.5	77.5	77.5
	Married	23	20.7	20.7	98.2
	Others	2	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	111	100.0	100.0	

Table 4.7 Frequencies analysis of Marital status

Table 4.7 shows frequency analysis of marital status of sample size. With 111 samples in total and based on Table 4.7, there are 86 people who are single and count as 77.5% of the total responses; which also means that the key customers of Chinese electronic products are single.

4.4 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is an analysis tool used in statistics that splits an observed aggregate variability found inside a data set into two parts: systematic factors and random factors. The systematic factors have a statistical influence on the given data set, while the random factors do not. Analysts use the ANOVA test to determine the influence that independent variables have on the dependent variable in a regression study. (Will Kenton,2021). In this analysis, the authors will analyze whether the different options under the various factors have different effects on the descriptive question.

4.4.1 Type of Chinese electronics using

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I buy/use the	Between	15 761	2	5 755	3.337	.022
electronic product	Groups	13.704	5	5.255		
because it's a	Within	169 171	107	1 574		
Chinese brand.	Groups	108.471	107	1.374		
	Total	184.234	110			

Table 4.8 ANOVA Analysis based on Types using

ANOVA

Table 4.8 shows ANOVA analysis based on Chinese electronic product types using. In the type of Chinese electronics used, it is divided into four groups which are computer type, communications tool type, smart home technology type, and others type. Analysis of variance is used in the case of analyzing the data of all descriptive questions. According to the One-way ANOVA test Table 4.8 results, for people who are using different types of products in general present no difference result except for one following descriptive question between group of computer type and smart home technology type:

Table 4.9 Multiple Comparisons based on Type using Bonferroni TheoryMultiple Comparisons

Bonferroni

Dependent	(I) What kind	(J) What kind of				95	%
Variable	of Chinese	Chinese				Confidence	
	electronic	electronic	Mean			Interval	
	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I buy/use the	Computer type	Communication	.640	.460	1.000	60	1.88
electronic		product type	15				
product		Smart home	1.194*	.412	.027	.09	2.30
because it's a		Technology type					
Chinese		Others	1.021	.486	.227	28	2.33
brand.		é					

Table 4.9 shows Multiple comparisons based on Chinese product types using Bonferroni theory, and the conclusion is as follows:

I buy/use the electronic product because it's a Chinese brand. {Mean difference I (Computer type)-J (Smart home technology type) = 1.194sig.=0.027}.

Based on the data from Post Hoc with Bonferroni theory of Table 4.9, it is noticeable that people who use computer type products have a higher agreement with buy/used electronic products because it is a Chinese brand than group of smart home technology type. It means that these two groups have different thinking with Chinese brands based on the product that they use.

4.4.2 Gender

In the survey, the gender was divided into three groups which are male, female, and alternative gender. Analysis of variance could be used in the case of analyzing the data of all descriptive questions. Based on the One-way ANOVA test by setting all of the variables' questions as dependent list and Gender as factor, the results shows that all the sig.> 0.5 as no differences; which means that the different Gender has no difference on Chinese electronic product loyalty, perceived quality, brand recognition, product attribute and customer satisfaction.

4.4.3 Income

In the factors of income group, it divided into 9 groups, which are monthly income 'lower than 15,000 baht' '15001 to 25,000' '25,001-50,000' '50,001-75000' '75,001-100,000' '100,001-125,000' '125,001-150,000' and 'higher than 150,000 baht'. Based on the result of One-way ANOVA showing that all of the sig.> 0.05, no difference. It means that different income groups have similar effects thinking about Chinese electronic product loyalty, perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes and customer satisfaction.

4.4.4 Education status

Table 4.10 ANOVA	Analysis	based on	Education S	Status
------------------	----------	----------	-------------	--------

ANOVA

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I chose Chinese	Between	20.257	3	6 752	8 060	000
electronics because	Groups	20.237	3	0.732	8.909	.000
of its reasonable	Within	80.553	107	752		
price.	Groups			.755		
	Total	100.811	110			
I intend to keep	Between	9 651	3	3 217	3 816	012
purchasing Chinese	Groups	9.051	5	5.217	5.010	.012
electronic products.	Within	00.004	107	0.4.2		
	Groups 90.204	107	.843			
	Total	99.856	110			
ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I feel satisfied with	Between Groups	8.213	3	2.738	3.843	.012
using Chinese electronic products.	Within Groups	76.219	107	.712		
	Total	84.432	110			
Chinese electronic	Between Groups	8.290	3	2.763	3.729	.014
brands are well	Within Groups	79.295	107	.741		
priced.	Total	87.586	110			

Table 4.10 shows ANOVA analysis based on the Education factor. In terms of education status, it is separated into four groups: Middle school or below, High school, bachelor, and master or above. In line with One-way ANOVA analysis results of Table 4.10 showing that the different education status groups have difference effect (sig< 0.05) in some descriptive question as below:

Table 4.11 Multiple Comparisons based on Education with Bonferroni Theory
Multiple Comparisons

D C	•
Ront	arront
13031110	
Donn	JIIOIII

						95% Con	fidence
			Mean			Inter	val
Dependent		(J)	Differenc	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	Education	e (I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I Choose	Middle	High school	-1.000	.708	.966	-2.90	.90
Chinese	school or	Bachelor	-2.296*	.511	.000	-3.67	92
electronics	below	Master or	11				
because of its		Above	2 252*	522	000	2 76	05
reasonable			-2.333	.323	.000	-5.70	95
price.		8		10			
I intend to	High school	Middle					
keep		school or	-2.333*	.7 <mark>50</mark>	.014	-4.35	32
purchasing		below	2				
Chinese		Bachelor	-1.634*	.5 <mark>4</mark> 1	.019	-3.09	18
electronic		Master or	1.70.6*	552	016	2.10	22
products.		Above	-1.700	.555	.010	-5.19	22
I feel satisfied	High school	Middle		57	/		
with using		school or	-1.000	.689	.898	-2.85	.85
Chinese		below					
electronic		Bachelor	-1.399*	.497	.035	-2.74	06
products.		Master or	1 < 27*	500	010	2.00	27
		Above	-1.637	.508	.010	-3.00	27
Chinese	High school	Middle					
electronic		school or	-1.667	.703	.117	-3.56	.22
brands are well		below					
priced.		Bachelor	-1.685*	.507	.007	-3.05	32
		Master or	1	510	000	2.00	20
		Above	-1.686	.518	.009	-3.08	29
		110000					

1. I chose Chinese electronics because of its reasonable price.

(I=Middle school, J=Bachelor, mean difference= -2.296 Sig.=0.000<0.05)

(I=Middle school, J=master or above, Mean difference= -2.353 Sig.=0.000<0.05)

2. I intend to keep purchasing Chinese electronic products.

(I= High school, J=Middle school, mean difference= -2.333, sig= 0.014)

(I= High school, J= bachelor, mean difference= -1.634, sig= 0.019)

(I= High school, J= Master or above, mean difference= -1.706, sig= 0.016)

3. I feel satisfied with using Chinese electronic products.

(I= High school, J= bachelor, mean difference= -1.399, sig= 0.035)

(I= High school, J= Master or above, mean difference= -1.637, sig= 0.010)

4. Chinese electronic brands are well priced.

(I= High school, J= bachelor, mean difference= -1.685, sig= 0.007)

(I= High school, J= Master or above, mean difference= -1.686, sig= 0.009)

Table 4.11 shows Multiple Comparisons based on Education with Bonferroni Theory. Based on the data from Post Hoc with Bonferroni theory, Table 4.11 showing that people who got 'middle school or below degree' have different thinking from people who got 'Bachelor and Master or above degree'. The mean difference is -2.296 and -2.353; which means that people who got Bachelor, master or above degree are more likely to choose Chinese electronic products because of their reasonable price.

In terms of the second proposition, the high school degree group is showing totally different thinking while purchasing Chinese electronic products from the other three groups. In conclusion, the other three groups have a higher agreement with purchasing Chinese electronic products.

The last two propositions show that the high school degree group differs from the bachelor, master and above degree group in terms of Chinese electronic products using satisfaction and price perception. Such as the people who got a high school degree have higher disagreement with Chinese electronic products using satisfaction and price perception.

4.4.5 Age

Table 4.12 ANOVA Analysis based on Age group

ANOVA

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
The quality of the	Between Groups	5.871	2	2.935	4.381	.015
product is as	Within Groups	72.364	108	.670		
expected.	Total	78.234	110			
Chinese electronic	Between Groups	7.394	2	3.697	4.979	.009
brands are well	Within Groups	80.192	108	.743		
priced.	Total	87.586	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between Groups	7.101	2	3.550	4.092	.019
electronics because	Within Groups	93.710	108	.868		
of its rea <mark>so</mark> nable	Total	100.811	110			
price.						

Table 4.12 shows ANOVA analysis based on age group. According to the age group analysis of Table 4.12, it is divided into three groups that include the age range between 18 to 24, 25 to 40 and 41 to 60. On the basis of One-way ANOVA analysis with Bonferroni, the results show that there some different thinking based on the different age group, which means ANOVA sig.<0.05:

						95% Confidence	
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent		(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Age	Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
The quality of	41-60	18-24	684*	.251	.023	-1.29	07
the product is as		25-40	588*	.217	.024	-1.12	06
expected.			711.0				
Chinese	41-60	18-24	739*	.264	.018	-1.38	10
electronic brands		25-40	681*	.229	.011	-1.24	12
are well priced.							
I chose Chinese	<mark>25-</mark> 40	18-24	.181	.215	1.000	34	.70
electronics		41-60	.706*	.247	.015	.11	1.31
because of its		4					
reasonable price.		S	JP				

Table 4.13 Multiple Comparisons based on Age group with Bonferroni Theory Multiple Comparisons

Bonferroni

Table 4.13 shows multiple comparisons based on age group with Bonferroni theory, and result showing as below:

1. The quality of the product is as expected.

(I = 41-60, J = 18-24, mean difference = -0.684 sig = 0.023)

(I=41-60, J=25-40, mean difference= -0.588 sig=0.024)

2. Chinese electronic brands are well priced.

(I = 41-60, J = 18-24, mean difference = -0.739 sig = 0.018)

(I=41-60, J=25-40, mean difference= -0.681 sig=0.011)

3. I chose Chinese electronics because of its reasonable price.

(I=25-40, J=41-60, mean difference= 0.706, sig=0.015)

As the analysis results of Table 4.13, it shows that there are some difference acceptances between the age range group. On the issues of acceptance of expected quality and how well the Chinese electronic product is priced, the age range group between 41 to 60 has different acceptance with the other two groups which are age between 18 to 24 and 25 to 40. The other groups have a higher agreement with the expected quality of Chinese electronic products and think that they are well priced; which means that they are more likely to believe that the quality of Chinese electronic products is as expected and the Chinese electronic brand is well priced than the age group of 41-60.

Moreover, when seeing people decide to choose Chinese electronics because of the product's reasonable price issue, the age group of 25 to 40 has a different perception from the age group of 41 to 60 with mean difference as 0.706. It means that the people who are between 25 to 40 have more agreement with the issue, they think they choose Chinese electronic products because of their reasonable price.

4.4.6 Marital status

		Sum of		Mean		
	N.CO	Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between Groups	8.359	2	4.179	5.697	.004
brand is well priced.	Within Groups	79.227	108	.734		
	Total	87.586	110			
I would like to	Between Groups	5.839	2	2.919	3.694	.028
continue use	Within Groups	85.350	108	.790		
Chinese electronic	Total	91.189	110			
product.						

 Table 4.14 ANOVA Analysis based on Marital Status

ANOVA

Table 4.14 shows ANOVA analysis based on marital status. Depending on the marital status group analysis of Table 4.14, it is divided into three groups: single, married and others. And through One-way ANOVA analysis with Bonferroni theory, to test whether the different marital status have different perception with each descriptive question. However, the analysis shows that there are only two differences based on all of the descriptive questions:

Table 4.15 Multiple Comparisons based on Marital Status with Bonferroni Theory

Multiple Comparisons

Bonferroni

						95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	(I)	(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	Status	Status	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Single	Married	.671*	.201	.003	.18	1.16
electronic		Others	.453	.613	1.000	-1.04	1.94
brands are well							
priced.							
			8				
I would like to	Others	Single	1.244	.636	.159	30	2.79
continue using		Married	1.609*	.655	.047	.01	3.20
Chinese							
electronic		NV.	19 M.				
products.		12	189		A/		
	0	R		/6	5/		

Table 4.15 shows multiple comparisons based on marital status with Bonferroni theory, and the result is as below:

1. Chinese electronic brands are well priced.

(I=Single, J= Married, mean difference=0.671, sig=0.003)

2. I would like to continue using Chinese electronic products.

(I=Others, J=Married, mean difference= 1.609, sig=0.047)

According to the analysis and table result of Table 4.15, it shows that the issue of feeling whether the Chinese electronic brand is well priced, it shows that people who is single has different perception with people who is married with mean difference as 0.671, the people who are single more agree with Chinese electronic brand is well priced than people who are married.

When comparing the group between others status and married group, these two groups have different attitude that whether would like to continue use Chinese electronic product. The group of people of other status is more willing to continue to use Chinese electronic products than the married group with mean difference as 1.609.

4.5 Regression Analysis- Linear Regression

Linear regression is a statistical analysis method that uses regression analysis in mathematical statistics to determine the quantitative relationship between two or more variables. In this section, the research will analyze whether the independent variables have an effect on dependent variables, which means that to analyze perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes, and customer satisfaction have effect on Customer loyalty in the case of Chinese electronic products.

Table 4.16 Model Summary-Predictors: (Constant), Customer Satisfaction,Product Attributes, Perceived Quality, Brand Recognition)

Model Summary

		1 Kan		Std. Error of the
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Estimate
1	.825 ^a	.681	.669	.43092

a. Predictors: (Constant), Customer Satisfaction, Product Attributes, Perceived Quality, Brand Recognition

Table 4.16 shows model summary-predictors. For Table 4.16, the higher R Square, the better model and the higher explanation for the case. In the Table 4.16 model summary, R-Square is 0.681 and equal to 68.1%, it meaning that all the independent variables (Perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes, and customer satisfaction) that setting in the model can explain the dependent variable (Loyalty) for 68.1%.

		Sum of				
Mode	el	Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	41.961	4	10.490	56.494	.000 ^b
	Residual	19.683	106	.186		
	Total	61.644	110			

ANOVA^a

 Table 4.17 ANOVA alpha Dependent variable: Customer loyalty

a. Dependent Variable: customer loyalty

b. Predictors: (Constant), Customer Satisfaction, Product Attributes, Perceived Quality, Brand Recognition

Secondly, the following Table 4.17 shows ANOVA alpha based on dependent variable as customer loyalty and the **ANOVA**^a showing the sig as .000^b, which means that the regression model is acceptable and useful for the analysis.

 Table 4.18 Coefficients alpha by setting Customer loyalty as dependent variable

 Coefficients

I S		Unstandardized S Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Mod	el	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.608	.209		2.909	.004
	Perceived Quality	.154	.098	.160	1.576	.118
	Brand Recognition	.232	.106	.239	2.192	.031
	Product Attributes	.010	.081	.010	.118	.906
	Customer Satisfaction	.426	.100	.471	4.277	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Customer loyalty

Table 4.18 shows coefficients alpha by setting customer loyalty as a dependent variable. Immediately after that, the table of Table 4.18 showing the relationship between the dependent variable and all of the independent variables. In

this case, it shows that based on the responses' data, only brand recognition and customer satisfaction have an effect on loyalty; because the sig< 0.05. It also means that perceived quality (sig=0.118>0.05) and product attributes(sig=0.906>0.05) have no influence on dependent variable Customer loyalty. Furthermore, the higher beta, the more positive effect and influence of independent variables on dependent variables. Link to the table above, the standardized coefficient (beta) showing that customer satisfaction has the highest index, which means that the level of customer satisfaction has the highest index, which means that the level of customer loyalty. So, it represents that improving the Thai customer's satisfaction is the most effective way to build the Customer loyalty on Chinese electronic brand and product, and brand recognition is following by.

4.6 Discussion

In this research, it uses the regression analysis to measure the customer loyalty as the dependent variable to estimate the independent variables, and it includes perceived quality, product attributes, brand recognition and customer satisfaction. Table 4.18 shows that only customer satisfaction and brand recognition have an effect on customer loyalty based on the case and data collected. And this is different from what the authors perceived before the research. Such as the independent variable as customer satisfaction, it showed the highest positive impact to dependent variable as loyalty among the four independent variables (perceived quality, brand recognition, product attributes and customer satisfaction).

In some previous examples, customer satisfaction and brand recognition have a positive influence on customer loyalty which are the same standpoint from the previous example and perception from the author. Such as Anderson ever mentioned about customer satisfaction with a product can create long term benefit including loyalty; and Dawes et stated that customer satisfaction is a precursor to loyalty and the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty is proportional. (Anderson, Fornell, & Lehmann, 1994; Palmatier, Dant, Grewal, & Evans, 2006). The analysis result from Regression shows that customer satisfaction has highest standardized coefficients beta which means that it has the highest positive effect on customer loyalty. Which means that building Thai customer satisfaction with Chinese electronics is the most important point to build customer loyalty to Chinese electronics brands; and it also is the worthiest point to invest in because of its effectiveness.

Majid Shiasi Arani also mentioned brand recognition has a significant and positive effect on brand loyalty because some customers give the brand the degree of knowledge and recognition based on customer's understanding. (Majid *Shiasi Arani*, Hamid Shafiizadeh,2019), while it tends to have the same result based on this research. The results of this study present the same conclusion, brand recognition has a positive impact on building customer loyalty. When Thai customers become receptive and have brand recognition of Chinese electronics brand products, it will make them tend to be loyal to the brand

Product attributes and perceived quality were beneficial to building customer loyalty, but the results of this research trends to be different; product attributes and perceived quality are important to Thai customers but they have no direct effect on customer loyalty during the case. William B mentioned product attributes could also affect people's loyalty behavior and Qomariah N thinks that product attributes have a significant impact on loyalty. Khaton Fajar Setyawan also mentioned that perceived quality has a certain influence on brand loyalty and showing positive effect. But these past studies present different results from this research, because of the particularity of Thai market base and product to lead to different results.

Based on the analysis of variance, there are some different results depending on the different groups of people. The author finds that people have different perceptions towards certain survey questions due to different degrees of education. As the data showing above, customers with high school degrees tend to be different from those with bachelor degrees or above during the perception of price and satisfaction of Chinese electronic products. The people who had a bachelor degree or above have higher agreement of the price and satisfaction of Chinese electronic products. The highly educated class is more widely used in electronic products, and the authors argue that higher incomes among highly educated customers in their daily lives make them more likely to be satisfied with product prices. There are more interesting things, the customers over the age of 40 have obvious differences with the age between 18 to 24 and 25 to 40. And the age group of 18 to 24 and 25 to 40 are consistent, showing the same result and consistency in view of quality expectation and price setting of Chinese electronic products. The authors believe this may be due to customers under the age of 40 learning more about electronics in their daily lives and different living environment, including technology, Chinese electronic brand, and as well as compare to other brands. Hence, it shows the different views of each age group. On the contrary, electronic products as an emerging product, only in the last 10 years to penetrate the market, customers over 40 years of age do not have a deep understanding of emerging products and their growing environment lead to different consumer values that will indirectly affect their judgment of product price and quality.

It is also interesting that people who are single have significant differences with people who are married due to the pricing issue. The single people have a higher tolerance with the pricing of Chinese electronic products. Consequently, it reminds the author of the Life Course Paradigm and theory which include marriage as one of the anticipated events. (The life course paradigm: Social change and individual development,1995) Married and single people have different perceptions of Chinese electronic product pricing based on different life courses. This is because when people get married, they need to consider more in their life, and a higher spending for the family. As a result, married people pay more attention to product prices and expect lower prices to get the products they want.

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION

5.1 Conclusion

As Chinese electronic products are world-renowned, the Thai market is a relatively important market in Asia and Chinese electronics are still in the development stage in the Thai market. This study focuses on learning about perception of Thai markets towards Chinese electronic products to help Chinese electronics and brands find key elements and identify development paths. Through determining Thai customers' understanding towards Chinese electronic products, explore the needs/expectation of Thai customers and determine which elements that need to be improved or worth investing so as to strengthen the understanding of the Thai market to conduct research.

The study was conducted using quantitative methods. The data were collected by using random sampling to distribute online questionnaires to the Thai people. Among the data received, there are a total of 126 data were received; there are 15 out of 126 samples were excluded because of never use of Chinese electronic products and excluded from data analysis as non-conforming samples. In addition, 111 data samples were used as qualified samples and participated in data analysis. From the 111 valid data samples, the majority of people like to use Chinese electronic products, with 100 people accounting for 90.1%. The most popular product type is smart home products and accounted for 54.1%. The most famous Chinese electronics brand is Xiaomi. On their way to accept and get to know Chinese electronics, the majority of them know about Chinese electronic products through social media, accounting for 50.5%

The research found that there are similar perceptions of Chinese electronic products in terms of gender and income, and there is no obvious difference. However, for different product types of use, education status, age and marital status in some cognitive trends are significantly different. For people who use different types of Chinese electronics, those who prefer computer product types are more likely to use Chinese electronic brands than those who use smart homes. This also means that China Electronics is more developed and popular in computer product types than smart home products. The different levels of education status also affect people's perception of products or brands to some extent due to the case of Chinese electronics.

The research found that people with an education level of bachelor and above have a completely different understanding of pricing and satisfaction with Chinese electronic products than those with a high school education level. People with college education and above think the price of Chinese electronics is reasonable, acceptable, and have a higher satisfaction with the product, which also leads to a greater willingness to continue purchasing Chinese electronics. In addition, the bachelor and master or above degrees in the study were two different groups of people, but tended to be consistent and showed complete differences with the high school level.

For people of different ages, those over 40 and under are significantly different. There was no significant difference between 18 to24 years and 25-40s. But these two groups showed significant differences when compared to people aged 41-60. The groups of age between 18 to 24 and 25 to 40s expressed more positive reactions and attitudes in terms of price and product quality expectations. This is due to higher price and expectations of people aged 41-60. Thai customers who are under the age of 40 have a higher agreement towards price and quality perception which means that Chinese electronics brands should pay more attention to customers under 40 when doing segmentations.

On the basis of different marital status, there are also different trends, the married people on the price and attitude of whether to continue to use Chinese electronic products issues that show more negative attitudes and trends. Because marital situations lead to different roles and more considerations, this may lead them to have lower prices, expectations and attitude for products. Since the single population is larger and tends to be more positive in price and attitude, Chinese electronic brands can pay more attention to single customers.

Finally, based on the resulting sample data analysis and this research, the

author found that customer satisfaction has the greatest positive impact on customer loyalty. Which means that customer satisfaction will be the most critical development factor in the development of Thai customer loyalty for Chinese electronic brands. While brand recognition and customer satisfaction had a positive impact on loyalty. But product attributes and perceived quality could not have an impact on customer loyalty.

5.2 Recommendation

After a series of data surveys and analysis, this study will be based on the results of the study to recommend Chinese electronic brands.

For Chinese electronic brands, there are some more detailed recommendations for making STP (Segment, Targeting, Positing) or some other related market development strategy as follows:

Firstly, for the distribution of the Thai market, most people tend to use smart home products. With the development of society, people's demand for smart home products is increasing. For those electronic brands which are capable of developing smart home technology, they can consider focusing on the development of smart home technology and it is the current trend.

Secondly, the people who use Chinese electronic products are mainly concentrated in the young age group, and they have a higher degree of recognition on the current product price and quality, which means that their attention is not only on the price and quality level, but also others. When Chinese electronic brands target people who are under 40 years old, Chinese electronics should base on the trend of development, improve product functions, design, strengthen brand awareness, improve customer satisfaction and other ways to win more market share. For example, according to the most popular animation to design the product's pattern and color.

Thirdly, for the positioning process of users who are over 40 years, Chinese electronic brands should pay attention to product pricing strategy and product quality improvement. Because research shows that customers who are over the age of 40 have higher quality expectations and lower price demand. As a result, Chinese electronics brands can sell lower prices or higher quality products to this consumer group or even do some promotion activity in the face of consumers who are over 40 years old.

Next, data shows that the users of Chinese electronic products mainly got bachelor, master and above degree. As an additional idea, Chinese electronic brands may consider modestly to increase their investment in education-related electronics. For example, the professional learning tablet, the large touch screen for teaching, or a device for recording learning materials or searching research. On the one hand, the research result shows that users of high education (bachelor, master or above) have higher degree of recognition to price and quality of Chinese electronic product; on the other hand, the highly educated population is the main user of Chinese electronic product based on the random survey, the user of Chinese electronic product achieved 64% for bachelor degree and 30.6% for master degree or above. Therefore, the development of related products will be used as an ancillary recommendation

Lastly, based on the regression results, show that customer satisfaction and brand recognition have a positive effect on customer loyalty. When the Chinese electronic brand builds customer loyalty, they should pay the most attention to cultivate customer satisfaction and brand recognition. For example, to improve the quality of brand service, product quality and other strategies to indirectly improve customer satisfaction, such as improve product quality, Recycling products with quality problems and even extend the warranty period to ensure customer satisfaction. For brand recognition, pay attention to brand product positioning, emphasize brand characteristics, optimize product design, and assume corporate social responsibility, so that the public will recognize the brand. Such as Xiaomi brand positioning different products to respond to the market, highlighting product characteristics through the use of white, and simple, technological design; to give customers recognition to the brand.

5.3 Limitation

This study is conducted by using quantitative research method only and distributes questionnaires survey randomly, the number of responses from online is limited, with only 111 valid data. Second, because the survey is only provided to the Bangkok area, and Bangkok as the capital and most developed city of Thailand, the

economic environment, local culture and living environment is different from other cities, so it cannot represent the perception of all other cities. Therefore, the finding cannot be generalized.

5.4 Future Research

For future research, a Mix method or qualitative method study could be an alternative research program. Through qualitative research, such as interviewing customers, merchants and some other parties to have better and deeper understanding and perception of the needs of the market and market environment. As a quantitative study, sample size collection can be maximized as well as data collection could be included from different regions, cities or even different countries. At the same time, for the purpose of consummate this research; a new study can also be processed by a qualitative method. For example, to investigate the reasons why perceived quality and product attributes do not have a positive impact on Thai customers' loyalty to Chinese electronics products.

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A., & Joachimsthaler, E (2000) Brand leadership. Retrieved from https://www.scribd.com/read/224458510/Brand-Leadership-Building Assets-In-an-Information-Economy
- Arani. M. S., Shafiizadeh. H (2019) Investigation of brand familiarity and bra-nd recognition and their relationship with loyalty, repurchase intention, and bra-nd recommendation with mediating role of brand reputation (Case Study: Este-ghlal Hotel). Retrieved from http://revistagt.fpl.edu.br/ get/article/view/1780
- Attitudes, personality, and behavior. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://books.google.co.th/books?id=dmJ9EGEy0ZYC&printsec=fron tcover&dq=attitude&hl=en&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjEl vit5tnuAhWAxDgGHe2gCYAQ6AEwAnoECAQQAg#v=onepage& q&f=false
- Cherry, K. (2020) How can our attitudes change and influence behaviors? Retrieved from https://www.verywellmind.com/attitudes-how-they-form-changeshape-behavior 2795897#:~:text=In%20psychology%2C%20an% 20attitude%20refers,enduring%2C%20t-hey%20can%20also%20change.
- C. Marliana, Licen. J, Darsono. I (2006) An Examination Of Perceived Quality, Satisfaction, And Loyalty Relationship : Applicability of Comparative and Noncomparative Evaluation. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/277224870_An_Examination_Of_Perceived_Quality_Satisfaction _And_Loyalty_Relationship_Applicability_of_Comparative_and_Noncompar ative_Evaluation
- Dynamics365 (2021) Use properties to describe a product (Sales Hub). Retrieved from https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dynamics365/sales-enterprise/useproperties-describe-product

- Elder, G. H., Jr. (1995). The life course paradigm: Social change and individual development. In P. Moen, G. H. Elder, Jr., & K. Lüscher (Eds.), Examining lives in context: Perspectives on the ecology of human development (pp. 101–139). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/10176-003
- Farris, Paul W.; Neil T. Bendle; Phillip E. Pfeifer; David J. Reibstein (2010) Retrieved from https://books.google.co.th/books?hl=zh-CN&lr=&id=7Ptw4nBoGmk C&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=Marketing+Metrics:+The+Definitive+Guide+to+Me asuring+Marketing+Performance&ots=2aqe6XejVy&sig=cS7E7lbpN4gMLv WOGuZtp4rJhC4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Marketing%20Metrics%3A% 20The%20Definitive%20Guide%20to%20Measuring%20Marketing%20Perfo rmance&f=false
- Faruk.A.K(2021) The moderating impact of taste award on the interplay between perceived taste, perceived quality and brand trust. Retrieved from https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0969698921002642?token=E93 C31C9EC192173232F1E0A0132EFD64FA61E91411A085B239CDC3E6 CA45CEAFC63C559B86E97C43C47AC2F8874E494&originRegion=euwest-1&originCreation=20211011152753
- Gui. R (2014) The Relationship between Reputation, Customer Satisfaction, Trust, and Loyalty. Retrieved from https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/ download?doi=10.1.1.996.6819&rep=rep1&type=pdf
- Holmes. N (2020) Managing Product Attributes: A Guide. Retrieved from https://www.widen.com/blog/product-attributes-management
- Kelle. U (2008) Combining qualitative and quantitative methods in research practice: purposes and advantages. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/ doi/abs/10.1177/1478088706070839
- Kenton.W (2021) Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), Investopedia. Retrieved from https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/anova.asp

- Kristen K. Swanson (2004) Tourists' and Retailers' Perceptions of Souvenirs. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Factor-analysis-forproduct-attributes-scale_tbl2_240284988
- Leesa-Nguansuk...S (2021) Xiaomi beefs up market share. Retrieved from https://www.bangkokpost.com/business/2179963/xiaomi-beefs-up-market-share
- Lee. G.C and Yew leh. F.C (2011) Dimensions of Customer-Based Brand Equity: A Study on Malaysian Brands. Retrieved from https://ibimapublishing.com/ articles/JMRCS/2011/821981/
- Lee. J. M. (2019) The Relationships among Brand Assets, Customer Satisfactio-n, Brand Trust, and Brand Loyalty related to Golf Products. Retrieved from https://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO201934352408432.pdf
- Li. R, Laroche. M, Marie. R (2021) More than a mere cup of coffee: When perceived luxuriousness triggers Chinese customers' perceptions of quality and selfcongruity. Retrieved from https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/ S0969698921003258?token=EDACBB1D4548F62AD71898AA1661D75 A0D679D069B9BCF2576621ECB77557FFBA1F3A40778C33A8BDD7 DE3014C5906B0&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20211011152435
- McQuitty, S., Finn, A., & Wiley, J (2000) Systematically varying consumer satisfaction and its implications for product choice. Academy of Marketing Science Review. Retrieved from https://www.amsweb.org/page/OriginalAMSR/ articles/mcquitty10-2000.pdf
- Mullen. A (2020) China manufacturing: everything you need to know. Retrieved from https://www.scmp.com/economy/china-economy/article/3114176/chinamanufacturing-everything-you-need-know

- Qomariah, N. (2017) Impact of Customer Value, Brand Image and Product Attributes to Satisfaction and Loyalty Tourism Visitors in Jember Regency. Retrieved from https://www.richtmann.org/journal/index.php/ mjss/article/view/ 10098
- Setyawan. K. F, Mugiono, Hussein. A. S (2020). The Effect Between Brand Awareness, Perceived Quality, And Brand Loyalty Through A Brand Image (Study On Pt. East West Seed Indonesia Charge Brand Cap Brick Seed Products, Banyuwangi). Retrieved from https://www.ijbel.com/wpcontent/uploads/2020/12/IJBEL23-203.pdf
- Snoj, B., Pisnik Korda, A. and Mumel, D. (2004) The relationships among perceived quality, perceived risk and perceived product value", Journal of Product & Brand Management, Vol. 13 No. 3. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420410538050
- Susan E. DeFranzo (2010) Attitude Surveys, The Likert Scale and Semantic Differentials. Retrieved from https://www.snapsurveys.com/blog/attitude-surveys-the-likert-scale-and-semantic-differentials/
- Susman. D (2021) Attitudes and Behavior in Psychology. Retrieved from https://www.verywellmind.com/attitudes-how-they-form-change-shapebehavior-2795897
- Szymanski and Henard (2001) Customer satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the empirical evidence. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/ article/10.1177% 2F0092070301291002
- Tippakorn Rungkasiri (2012) Attitudes and Perception of Thai Consumers in Bangkok Metropolitan Towards Made-in-China Products. Retrieved from https://so01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/CBSReview/article/view/3956
- Thomas Parks (2021) The Asia foundation. Retrieved from https://asiafoundation.org/where-we-work/thailand/

- Trading Economics (2021) Thailand imports from China. Retrieved from https://tradingeconomics.com/thailand/imports/china
- Valarie A. Zeithaml (1988) Consumer Perceptions of Price, Quality and Value: A Means-End Model and Synthesis of Evidence. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282671247_Consumer_Perceptio ns_of_Price_Quality_and_Value_A_MeansEnd_Model_and_Synthesis_of _Evidence
- William B. Dodds, Kent B. Monroe, Dhruv Grewal (1991) Effects of Price, Brand, and Store Information on Buyers' Product Evaluations. Retrieved from https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/002224379102800305
- Yongspisanphob W. (2021) Industry Outlook 2021-2023: Electronics https://www.krungsri.com/en/research/industry/industry-outlook/Hi-tech-Industries/Electronics/IO/io-Electronics-21



Appendix A

Table A ANOVA analysis based on Type using

ANOVA

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I intend to keep using	Between	2.214	3	.738	.916	.436
the Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	86.219	107	.806		
	Total	88.432	110			
I use Chinese electronic	Between	1.647	3	.549	.477	.699
product because it is the	Groups	908				
best choice for me.	Within Groups	123.128	107	1.151		
1/ 5	Total	124.775	110	2		
I intend to keep	Between	2.914	3	.971	1.072	.364
purchasing the Chinese	Groups	Ö				
electronic product.	Within Groups	96.942	107	.906		
	Total	99.856	110			
I say positive things	Between	1.988	3	.663	.833	.479
about Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	85.111	107	.795		
	Total	87.099	110	1~1		
Chinese electronic	Between	4.046	3	1.349	1.509	.216
product is different	Groups	J 1				
from other brands.	Within Groups	95.648	107	.894		
	Total	99.694	110			
The quality of the	Between	2.134	3	.711	1.000	.396
product is as expected.	Groups					
	Within Groups	76.100	107	.711		
	Total	78.234	110			
I feeling the same	Between	1.098	3	.366	.486	.692
before and after I	Groups					
purchase the product.	Within Groups	80.541	107	.753		
	Total	81.640	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	4.817	3	1.606	1.451	.232
product could fulfill all	Groups					
of my needs.	Within Groups	118.426	107	1.107		
	Total	123.243	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	2.671	3	.890	.984	.403
product is reliability as	Groups					
expected.	Within Groups	96.806	107	.905		
	Total	99.477	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	1.821	3	.607	.757	.521
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	85.765	107	.802		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	8.660	3	2.887	3.605	.016
have the expertise in	Groups	71 21 -				
producing the product.	Within Groups	85.682	107	.801		
	Total	94.342	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	15.764	3	5.255	3.337	.022
product because it's a	Groups					
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	168.471	107	1.574		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	6.797	3	2.266	1.943	.127
benefit from Chinese	Groups					
brand when compared	Within Groups	124.789	107	1.166		
to another brand.	Total	131.586	110			
I believe that Chinese	Between	5.847	3	1.949	1.566	.202
electronic brand is	Groups	NG - 11				
contributing to the	Within Groups	133.144	107	1.244		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	5.548	3	1.849	2.002	.118
product because its	Groups	19.0				
function or design.	Within Groups	98.848	107	.924		
	Total	104.396	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between	4.618	3	1.539	1.712	.169
electronic because its	Groups					
reasonable price.	Within Groups	96.193	107	.899		
	Total	100.811	110			
I like to choose	Between	2.973	3	.991	.816	.488
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	130.018	107	1.215		
	Total	132.991	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I think Chinese	Between	9.117	3	3.039	2.325	.079
electronic is new,	Groups					
innovative.	Within Groups	139.874	107	1.307		
	Total	148.991	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	7.708	3	2.569	2.382	.073
product is good match	Groups					
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	115.392	107	1.078		
	Total	123.099	110			
I would recommend to	Between	1.576	3	.525	.598	.618
others to use Chinese	Groups	71.21 -	~			
electronic product.	Within Groups	93.991	107	.878		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	2.296	3	.765	.997	.397
with using Chinese	Groups			2		
electronic product.	Within Groups	82.137	107	.768		
	Total	84.432	110			
I would like to continue	Between	4.403	3	1.468	1.809	.150
use Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	86.787	107	.811		
	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	4.804	3	1.601	1.909	.132
quality of the product or	Groups					
service of the Chinese	Within Groups	89.754	107	.839		
electronic brand.	Total	94.559	110			
Compared with other	Between	8.489	3	2.830	2.698	.049
electronic brands, I am	Groups	14.9				
more satisfied with	Within Groups	112.214	107	1.049		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110			

Table B Multiple Comparisons based on Type using with Bonferroni TheoryMultiple Comparisons

Bonferroni

	(I) What kind of	(J) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I intend to	Computer type	Communication	.486	.329	.855	40	1.37
keep using		product type					
the Chinese		Smart home	.465	.294	.702	33	1.26
electronic		Technology type					
product.		Others	.476	.347	1.000	46	1.41
	Communication	Computer type	486	.329	.855	-1.37	.40
	product type	Smart home	021	.220	1.000	61	.57
		Technology type					
		Others	010	.287	1.000	78	.76
	Smart home	Computer type	465	.294	.702	-1.26	.33
	Technology type	Communication	.021	.220	1.000	57	.61
		product type					
		Others	.011	.247	1.000	65	.67
	Others	Computer type	476	.347	1.000	-1.41	.46
		Communication	.010	.287	1.000	76	.78
		product type					
		Smart home	011	.247	1.000	67	.65
		Technology type	1 1				
I use Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.332	.393	1.000	73	1.39
electronic		product type					
product		Smart home	.420	.352	1.000	53	1.37
because it is		Technology type					
the best		Others	.342	.415	1.000	77	1.46
choice for	Communication	Computer type	332	.393	1.000	-1.39	.73
me.	product type	Smart home	.088	.263	1.000	62	.79
		Technology type					
		Others	.010	.343	1.000	91	.93
	Smart home	Computer type	420	.352	1.000	-1.37	.53
	Technology type	Communication	088	.263	1.000	79	.62
		product type					
		Others	077	.295	1.000	87	.71
	Others	Computer type	342	.415	1.000	-1.46	.77
		Communication	010	.343	1.000	93	.91
		Smart home	077	.295	1.000	- 71	87
		Technology type	.077	,5	1.000	., 1	.07

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	(J) what kind of	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Unner
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I intend to	Computer type	Communication	.439	.349	1.000	50	1.38
keep	1 71	product type					
purchasing		Smart home	.558	.312	.462	28	1.40
the Chinese		Technology type					
electronic		Others	.444	.368	1.000	55	1.43
product.	Communication	Computer type	439	.349	1.000	-1.38	.50
	product type	Smart home	.119	.233	1.000	51	.75
		Technology type					
		Others	.005	.304	1.000	81	.82
	Smart home	Computer type	558	.312	.462	-1.40	.28
	Technology type	Communication	119	.233	1.000	75	.51
		product type					
		Others	114	.262	1.000	82	.59
	Others	Computer type	444	.368	1.000	-1.43	.55
		Communication	005	.304	1.000	82	.81
		product type					
		Smart home	.114	.262	1.000	59	.82
		Technology type	5				
			2		× 11		
I say positive	Computer type	Communication	.336	.327	1.000	54	1.21
things about		product type	10				
Chinese		Smart home	.394	.293	1.000	39	1.18
electronic		Technology type		6			
product.		Others	.139	.345	1.000	79	1.07
	Communication	Computer type	336	.327	1.000	-1.21	.54
	product type	Smart home	.058	.219	1.000	53	.65
		Technology type					
		Others	197	.285	1.000	96	.57
	Smart home	Computer type	394	.293	1.000	-1.18	.39
	Technology type	Communication	058	.219	1.000	65	.53
		product type	255	245	1 000	01	10
		Others	255	.245	1.000	91	.40
	Others	Computer type	139	.345	1.000	-1.07	.79
		Communication	.197	.285	1.000	57	.96
		Smort home	255	245	1 000	40	01
		Technology type	.255	.243	1.000	40	.91
		reemiology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.522	.347	.811	41	1.45
electronic		product type					
product is		Smart home	.550	.310	.474	28	1.38
different from		Technology type					
other brands.		Others	.765	.366	.234	22	1.75
	Communication	Computer type	522	.347	.811	-1.45	.41
	product type	Smart home	.028	.232	1.000	60	.65
		Technology type					
		Others	.243	.302	1.000	57	1.06
	Smart home	Computer type	550	.310	.474	-1.38	.28
	Technology type	Communication	028	.232	1.000	65	.60
		product type					
	11 201/	Others	.215	.260	1.000	48	.91
	Others	Computer type	765	.366	.234	-1.75	.22
		Communication	243	.302	1.000	-1.06	.57
		product type					
		Smart home	215	.260	1.000	91	.48
	0	Technology type					
The quality of	Computer type	Communication	.075	.309	1.000	76	.91
the product is		product type					
as expected.		Smart home	189	.277	1.000	93	.55
		Technology type		7 R	-//-		
		Others	.139	.326	1.000	74	1.02
	Communication	Computer type	075	.309	1.000	91	.76
	product type	Smart home	264	.207	1.000	82	.29
		Technology type					
		Others	.064	.270	1.000	66	.79
	Smart home	Computer type	.189	.277	1.000	55	.93
	Technology type	Communication	.264	.207	1.000	29	.82
		product type	220	222	056	20	0.5
	0.1	Others	.328	.232	.956	29	.95
	Others	Computer type	139	.326	1.000	-1.02	./4
		communication	064	.270	1.000	79	.00
		Smort home	229	222	056	05	20
		Technology type	328	.232	.930	95	.29
		reennology type					
							I

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I feeling the	Computer type	Communication	.245	.318	1.000	61	1.10
same before		product type					
and after I		Smart home	.036	.285	1.000	73	.80
purchase the		Technology type					
product.		Others	.225	.336	1.000	68	1.13
	Communication	Computer type	245	.318	1.000	-1.10	.61
	product type	Smart home	209	.213	1.000	78	.36
		Technology type					
		Others	020	.277	1.000	77	.73
	Smart home	Computer type	036	.285	1.000	80	.73
	Technology type	Communication	.209	.213	1.000	36	.78
		product type					
		Others	.188	.238	1.000	45	.83
	Others	Computer type	225	.336	1.000	-1.13	.68
		Communication	.020	.277	1.000	73	.77
		product type					
		Smart home	188	.238	1.000	83	.45
		Technology type			01		
Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.217	.386	1.000	82	1.25
electronic		product type		/ R	n //		
product could		Smart home	.517	.345	.823	41	1.44
fulfill all of		Technology type	6	1947 A			
my needs.	1	Others	.706	.407	.515	39	1.80
	Communication	Computer type	217	.386	1.000	-1.25	.82
	product type	Smart home	.299	.258	1.000	39	.99
		Technology type					
		Others	.488	.336	.897	42	1.39
	Smart home	Computer type	517	.345	.823	-1.44	.41
	Technology type	Communication	299	.258	1.000	99	.39
		product type					
		Others	.189	.289	1.000	59	.97
	Others	Computer type	706	.407	.515	-1.80	.39
		Communication	488	.336	.897	-1.39	.42
		product type					
		Smart home	189	.289	1.000	97	.59
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.518	.349	.843	42	1.46
electronic		product type					
product is		Smart home	.526	.312	.569	31	1.36
reliability as		Technology type					
expected.		Others	.439	.368	1.000	55	1.43
	Communication	Computer type	518	.349	.843	-1.46	.42
	product type	Smart home	.008	.233	1.000	62	.64
		Technology type					
		Others	079	.304	1.000	90	.74
	Smart home	Computer type	526	.312	.569	-1.36	.31
	Technology type	Communication	008	.233	1.000	64	.62
		product type					
		Others	087	.261	1.000	79	.62
	Others	Computer type	439	.368	1.000	-1.43	.55
		Communication	.079	.304	1.000	74	.90
		product type					
		Smart home	.087	.261	1.000	62	.79
		Technology type	5		01		
					· //		
			V.A				
Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.237	.328	1.000	65	1.12
electronic		product type		$/ \epsilon$	-//-		
brand is well		Smart home	.071	.294	1.000	72	.86
priced.		Technology type					
		Others	.396	.346	1.000	54	1.33
	Communication	Computer type	237	.328	1.000	-1.12	.65
	product type	Smart home	166	.220	1.000	76	.42
		Technology type	150	20.6	1 000		
		Others	.159	.286	1.000	61	.93
	Smart home	Computer type	071	.294	1.000	86	.72
	Technology type	Communication	.166	.220	1.000	42	.76
		product type	225	216	1 000	24	
		Others	.325	.246	1.000	34	.99
	Others	Computer type	396	.346	1.000	-1.33	.54
		Communication	159	.286	1.000	93	.61
		product type	225	216	1 000		
		Smart home	325	.246	1.000	99	.34
		rechnology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of		[95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I think	Computer type	Communication	1.024*	.328	.014	.14	1.91
Chinese		product type					
brands have		Smart home	.879*	.294	.021	.09	1.67
the expertise		Technology type					
in producing		Others	.898	.346	.065	03	1.83
the product	Communication	Computer type	-1.024*	.328	.014	-1.91	14
	product type	Smart home	145	.219	1.000	73	.45
		Technology type					
		Others	125	.286	1.000	89	.64
	Smart home	Computer type	879*	.294	.021	-1.67	09
	Technology type	Communication	.145	.219	1.000	45	.73
		product type					
		Others	.020	.246	1.000	64	.68
	Others	Computer type	898	.346	.065	-1.83	.03
		Communication	.125	.286	1.000	64	.89
		product typ <mark>e</mark>					
		Smart home	020	.246	1.000	68	.64
		Technology type			01		
					× 1		
		- XX. 428.	16				
I buy/use the	Computer type	Communication	.640	.460	1.000	60	1.88
electronic		product type		7/ E	-//-		
product		Smart home	1.194*	.412	.027	.09	2.30
because it's a		Technology type	6	1997 A.			
Chinese		Others	1.021	.486	.227	28	2.33
brand.	Communication	Computer type	640	.460	1.000	-1.88	.60
	product type	Smart home	.554	.308	.449	27	1.38
		Technology type					
		Others	.381	.401	1.000	70	1.46
	Smart home	Computer type	-1.194*	.412	.027	-2.30	09
	Technology type	Communication	554	.308	.449	-1.38	.27
		product type					
		Others	173	.345	1.000	-1.10	.75
	Others	Computer type	-1.021	.486	.227	-2.33	.28
		Communication	381	.401	1.000	-1.46	.70
		product type					
		Smart home	.173	.345	1.000	75	1.10
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of		[[95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	(J) what kind of	Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I can get the	Computer type	Communication	.921	.396	.131	14	1.99
same benefit		product type					
from Chinese		Smart home	.482	.354	1.000	47	1.43
brand when		Technology type					
compared to		Others	.594	.418	.950	53	1.72
another	Communication	Computer type	921	.396	.131	-1.99	.14
brand.	product type	Smart home	439	.265	.601	-1.15	.27
		Technology type					
		Others	327	.345	1.000	-1.26	.60
	Smart home	Computer type	482	.354	1.000	-1.43	.47
	Technology type	Communication	.439	.265	.601	27	1.15
		product type					
		Others	.112	.297	1.000	69	.91
	Others	Computer type	594	.418	.950	-1.72	.53
		Communication	.327	.345	1.000	60	1.26
		product type					
		Smart home	112	.297	1.000	91	.69
		Technology type	5				
			1		· 1		
		NN 838.	V.C				
I believe that	Computer type	Communication	.593	.409	.900	51	1.69
Chinese		product type		7 R	-///		
electronic		Smart home	.720	.366	.311	26	1.70
brand is		Technology type	6	1 m /			
contributing		Others	.872	.432	.276	29	2.03
to the society.	Communication	Computer type	593	.409	.900	-1.69	.51
	product type	Smart home	.127	.274	1.000	61	.86
		Technology type					
		Others	.279	.357	1.000	68	1.24
	Smart home	Computer type	720	.366	.311	-1.70	.26
	Technology type	Communication	127	.274	1.000	86	.61
		product type					
		Others	.152	.306	1.000	67	.98
	Others	Computer type	872	.432	.276	-2.03	.29
		Communication	279	.357	1.000	-1.24	.68
		product type					
		Smart home	152	.306	1.000	98	.67
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I buy/use the	Computer type	Communication	004	.352	1.000	95	.94
electronic		product type					
product		Smart home	008	.315	1.000	86	.84
because its		Technology type					
function or		Others	.615	.372	.607	38	1.61
design.	Communication	Computer type	.004	.352	1.000	94	.95
	product type	Smart home	004	.236	1.000	64	.63
		Technology type					
		Others	.619	.307	.280	21	1.45
	Smart home	Computer type	.008	.315	1.000	84	.86
	Technology type	Communication	.004	.236	1.000	63	.64
		product type					
		Others	.623	.264	.121	09	1.33
	Others	Computer type	615	.372	.607	-1.61	.38
		Communication	619	.307	.280	-1.45	.21
		product type					
		Smart home	623	.264	.121	-1.33	.09
		Technology type	5		01		
			1				
		- XV. 618 .					
I Choose	Computer type	Communication	.312	.348	1.000	62	1.25
Chinese		product type		7 e	-//-		
electronic		Smart home	202	.311	1.000	-1.04	.63
because its		Technology type	6	1 m /			
reasonable		Others	.064	.367	1.000	92	1.05
price.	Communication	Computer type	312	.348	1.000	-1.25	.62
	product type	Smart home	514	.233	.176	-1.14	.11
		Technology type					
		Others	248	.303	1.000	-1.06	.57
	Smart home	Computer type	.202	.311	1.000	63	1.04
	Technology type	Communication	.514	.233	.176	11	1.14
		product type					
		Others	.266	.261	1.000	43	.97
	Others	Computer type	064	.367	1.000	-1.05	.92
		Communication	.248	.303	1.000	57	1.06
		product type					
		Smart home	266	.261	1.000	97	.43
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	(I) what kind of	(J) what kind of	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Unner
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
L like to	Computer type	Communication	178	404	1 000	- 91	1.26
choose	computer type	product type			1.000	.71	1.20
electronic		Smart home	- 009	362	1.000	- 98	.96
product that		Technology type					
made in		Others	.444	.427	1.000	70	1.59
China.	Communication	Computer type	178	.404	1.000	-1.26	.91
	product type	Smart home	187	.270	1.000	91	.54
		Technology type					
		Others	.266	.353	1.000	68	1.21
	Smart home	Computer type	.009	.362	1.000	96	.98
	Technology type	Communication	.187	.270	1.000	54	.91
		product type					
		Others	.453	.303	.826	36	1.27
	Others	Computer type	444	.427	1.000	-1.59	.70
		Communication	266	.353	1.000	-1.21	.68
		product type					
		Smart home	453	.303	.826	-1.27	.36
		Technology type			<u> </u>		
			20				
I think	Computer type	Communication	.660	.419	.709	47	1.79
Chinese		product type		/ 6	m //		
electronic is		Smart home	.415	.375	1.000	59	1.42
new,		Technology type	6	. 22			
innovative.		Others	1.064	.442	.107	13	2.25
	Communication	Computer type	660	.419	.709	-1.79	.47
	product type	Smart home	245	.280	1.000	-1.00	.51
		Technology type					
		Others	.404	.366	1.000	58	1.39
	Smart home	Computer type	415	.375	1.000	-1.42	.59
	Technology type	Communication	.245	.280	1.000	51	1.00
		product type					
		Others	.649	.314	.247	20	1.49
	Others	Computer type	-1.064	.442	.107	-2.25	.13
		Communication	404	.366	1.000	-1.39	.58
		product type					
		Smart home	649	.314	.247	-1.49	.20
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Computer type	Communication	.206	.381	1.000	82	1.23
electronic		product type					
product is		Smart home	.227	.341	1.000	69	1.14
good match		Technology type					
to my image		Others	.904	.402	.159	18	1.98
and figure.	Communication	Computer type	206	.381	1.000	-1.23	.82
	product type	Smart home	.022	.255	1.000	66	.71
		Technology type					
		Others	.698	.332	.227	19	1.59
	Smart home	Computer type	227	.341	1.000	-1.14	.69
	Technology type	Communication	022	.255	1.000	71	.66
		product type					
		Others	.676	.285	.117	09	1.44
	Others	Computer type	904	.402	.159	-1.98	.18
		Communication	698	.332	.227	-1.59	.19
		product type					
		Smart home	676	.285	.117	-1.44	.09
		Technology type			A 1		
			20				
I would	Computer type	Communication	.340	.344	1.000	58	1.26
recommend		product type		1/ 12	n //		
to others to		Smart home	.252	.307	1.000	57	1.08
use Chinese		Technology type	6	. 22	1		
electronic		Others	.465	.363	1.000	51	1.44
product.	Communication	Computer type	340	.344	1.000	-1.26	.58
	product type	Smart home	088	.230	1.000	71	.53
		Technology type					
		Others	.125	.300	1.000	68	.93
	Smart home	Computer type	252	.307	1.000	-1.08	.57
	Technology type	Communication	.088	.230	1.000	53	.71
		product type					
		Others	.214	.258	1.000	48	.91
	Others	Computer type	465	.363	1.000	-1.44	.51
		Communication	125	.300	1.000	93	.68
		product type					
		Smart home	214	.258	1.000	91	.48
		Technology type					
	(I) What kind of	(I) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
----------------	--------------------	--------------------	------------	-------	-------	--------	----------
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I feeling I am	Computer type	Communication	.443	.321	1.000	42	1.31
satisfied with		product type					
using Chinese		Smart home	.465	.287	.651	31	1.24
electronic		Technology type					
product.		Others	.535	.339	.706	38	1.45
	Communication	Computer type	443	.321	1.000	-1.31	.42
	product type	Smart home	.022	.215	1.000	56	.60
		Technology type					
		Others	.092	.280	1.000	66	.85
	Smart home	Computer type	465	.287	.651	-1.24	.31
	Technology type	Communication	022	.215	1.000	60	.56
		product type					
		Others	.070	.241	1.000	58	.72
	Others	Computer type	535	.339	.706	-1.45	.38
		Communication	092	.280	1.000	85	.66
		product type					
		Smart home	070	.241	1.000	72	.58
		Technology type			01		
			1		· //		
I would like	Computer type	Communication	.751	.330	.150	14	1.64
to continue		product type	S. 1.		. //		
use Chinese		Smart home	.589	.295	.291	20	1.38
electronic		Technology type		~			
product.		Others	.626	.348	.453	31	1.56
	Communication	Computer type	751	.330	.150	-1.64	.14
	product type	Smart home	162	.221	1.000	76	.43
		Technology type					
		Others	125	.288	1.000	90	.65
	Smart home	Computer type	589	.295	.291	-1.38	.20
	Technology type	Communication	.162	.221	1.000	43	.76
		product type					
		Others	.036	.247	1.000	63	.70
	Others	Computer type	626	.348	.453	-1.56	.31
		Communication	.125	.288	1.000	65	.90
		product type					
		Smart home	036	.247	1.000	70	.63
		Technology type					

	(I) What kind of	(J) What kind of				95% Co	nfidence
	Chinese electronic	Chinese electronic	Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent	product do you	product do you	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	like the best?	like the best?	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I am satisfied	Computer type	Communication	.617	.336	.414	29	1.52
with the		product type					
quality of the		Smart home	.598	.300	.293	21	1.41
product or		Technology type					
service of the		Others	.829	.354	.127	12	1.78
Chinese	Communication	Computer type	617	.336	.414	-1.52	.29
electronic	product type	Smart home	018	.225	1.000	62	.59
brand.		Technology type					
		Others	.212	.293	1.000	58	1.00
	Smart home	Computer type	598	.300	.293	-1.41	.21
	Technology type	Communication	.018	.225	1.000	59	.62
		product type					
		Others	.230	.252	1.000	45	.91
	Others	Computer type	829	.354	.127	-1.78	.12
		Communication	212	.293	1.000	-1.00	.58
		product type					
		Smart home	230	.252	1.000	91	.45
		Technology type			<u> </u>		
Compared	Computer type	Communication	.953	.375	.076	06	1.96
with other		product type	20				
electronic		Smart home	.826	.336	.093	08	1.73
brands, I am		Technology type		// /	. //		
more satisfied		Others	1.027	.396	.065	04	2.09
with China	Communication	Computer type	953	.375	.076	-1.96	.06
Electronics.	product type	Smart home	127	.251	1.000	80	.55
		Technology type	c1 14				
		Others	.074	.328	1.000	81	.95
	Smart home	Computer type	826	.336	.093	-1.73	.08
	Technology type	Communication	.127	.251	1.000	55	.80
		product type					
		Others	.201	.281	1.000	56	.96
	Others	Computer type	-1.027	.396	.065	-2.09	.04
		Communication	074	.328	1.000	95	.81
		product type					
		Smart home	201	.281	1.000	96	.56
		Technology type					

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Table C ANOVA analysis based on Gender

Total

Sum of Mean df F Squares Square Sig. I intend to keep using Between .877 2 .439 .541 .584 the Chinese electronic Groups product. Within Groups 87.555 108 .811 Total 88.432 110 4.399 Between 2 2.200 1.973 .144 I use Chinese electronic product because it is the Groups best choice for me. Within Groups 108 120.376 1.115 124.775 Total 110 Between 2.239 2 1.120 1.239 .294 I intend to keep purchasing the Chinese Groups electronic product. Within Groups 97.617 108 .904 Total 99.856 110 I say positive things Between .009 .011 .989 .018 2 about Chinese Groups Within Groups electronic product. 87.081 108 .806 Total 87.099 110 Chinese electronic Between 1.158 2 .579 .635 .532 product is different Groups from other brands. .912 Within Groups 108 98.536 Total 99.694 110 The quality of the Between .000 2 .000 .000 1.000 product is as expected. Groups 78.234 Within Groups 108 .724 Total 78.234 110 I feeling the same Between .095 2 .047 .063 .939 before and after I Groups purchase the product. .755 Within Groups 81.545 108 Total 81.640 110 .877 Chinese electronic Between 2 .438 .387 .680 product could fulfill all Groups of my needs. Within Groups 122.367 108 1.133

123.243

110

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	.398	2	.199	.217	.805
product is reliability as	Groups					
expected.	Within Groups	99.079	108	.917		
	Total	99.477	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	.286	2	.143	.177	.838
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	87.300	108	.808		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	.109	2	.055	.063	.939
have the expertise in	Groups	71.11				
producing the product.	Within Groups	94.233	108	.873		
	Total	94.342	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	1.808	2	.904	.535	.587
product because it's a	Groups			2		
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	182.426	108	1.689		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	.139	2	.070	.057	.945
benefit fro <mark>m</mark> Chinese	Groups					
brand when compared	Within Groups	131.447	108	1.217		
to another brand.	Total	131.586	110			
I believe that Chinese	Between	.550	2	.275	.215	.807
electronic brand is	Groups	NI - J.		. 5/		
contributing to the	Within Groups	138.441	108	1.282		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	.076	2	.038	.039	.961
product because its	Groups Within Groups	104 320	108	966		
function or design.	Total	104.320	110	.700		
I Choose Chinese	Between	.177	2	.088	.095	.910
electronic because its	Groups					
reasonable price.	Within Groups	100.634	108	.932		
ľ	Total	100.811	110			
I like to choose	Between	1.172	2	.586	.480	.620
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	131.819	108	1.221		
	Total	132.991	110			
I think Chinese	Between	2.695	2	1.347	.995	.373
electronic is new,	Groups		100	1.075		
mnovauve.	Within Groups Total	146.296 148 991	108	1.355		
	10111	170.771	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	.796	2	.398	.351	.705
product is good match	Groups					
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	122.303	108	1.132		
	Total	123.099	110			
I would recommend to	Between	.572	2	.286	.325	.723
others to use Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	94.996	108	.880		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	.195	2	.098	.125	.883
with using Chinese	Groups	71.21	-			
electronic product.	Within Groups	84.237	108	.780		
	Total	84.432	110			
I would like to continue	Between	.607	2	.303	.362	.697
use Chinese electronic	Groups			2		
product.	Within Groups	90.583	108	.839		
	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	2.078	2	1.039	1.213	.301
quality of the product	Groups		100			
or service of the	Within Groups	92.481	108	.856		
brand.	Total	94.559	110			
Compared with other	Between	.498	2	.249	.224	.800
electronic brands, I am	Groups	NN 9 1				
more satisfied with	Within Groups	120.205	108	1.113		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110			
	018	าลีย	N			



Table D ANOVA analysis based on Income

Sum of Mean df F Squares Square Sig. 4.199 .734 .644 I intend to keep using Between 7 .600 the Chinese electronic Groups product. Within Groups 84.233 103 .818 Total 88.432 110 Between 1.911 7 .273 .229 .978 I use Chinese electronic product because it is the Groups best choice for me. Within Groups 122.863 103 1.193 124.775 Total 110 Between 3.648 7 .521 .558 .788 I intend to keep purchasing the Chinese Groups electronic product. Within Groups 96.208 103 .934 Total 99.856 110 I say positive things Between .791 3.166 7 .452 .555 about Chinese Groups electronic product. Within Groups 83.933 103 .815 Total 87.099 110 Chinese electronic Between 1.535 7 .219 .230 .977 product is different Groups from other brands. .953 Within Groups 103 98.158 Total 99.694 110 The quality of the Between 3.377 .482 .664 .702 7 product is as expected. Groups 74.857 Within Groups 103 .727 Total 78.234 110 I feeling the same Between 3.694 .528 .697 .674 7 before and after I Groups purchase the product. Within Groups 77.945 103 .757 81.640 110 Total Chinese electronic Between 4.259 7 .608 .527 .813 product could fulfill all Groups Within Groups of my needs. 118.984 103 1.155 Total 123.243 110 Between 3.035 Chinese electronic 7 .434 .463 .859 Groups product is reliability as Within Groups 96.442 103 .936 expected. 99.477 Total 110

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	4.469	7	.638	.791	.596
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	83.117	103	.807		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	4.452	7	.636	.729	.648
have the expertise in	Groups					
producing the product.	Within Groups	89.890	103	.873		
	Total	94.342	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	16.726	7	2.389	1.469	.186
product because it's a	Groups	21.21				
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	167.508	103	1.626		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	5.113	7	.730	.595	.759
benefit from Chinese	Groups			5 A 1		
brand when compared	Within Groups	126.473	103	1.228		
to another brand.	Total	131.586	110			
I believe that Chinese	Between	8.674	7	1.239	.979	.450
electronic brand is	Groups					
contributing to the	Within Groups	130.317	103	1.265		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	4.644	7	.663	.685	.684
product because its	Groups	R. 8. 19				
function or design.	Within Groups	99.752	103	.968		
	Total	104.396	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between	3.644	7	.521	.552	.793
electronic because its	Groups	1910				
reasonable price.	Within Groups	97.167	103	.943		
	Total	100.811	110			
I like to choose	Between	12.327	7	1.761	1.503	.174
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	120.664	103	1.171		
	Total	132.991	110			
I think Chinese	Between	12.490	7	1.784	1.346	.236
electronic is new,	Groups	126 501	102	1 205		
mnovauve.	wimin Groups Total	130.501 148 991	103 110	1.325		
Chinese electronic	Between	13.091	7	1.870	1.751	.105
product is good match	Groups					
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	110.008	103	1.068		
	Total	123.099	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I would recommend to	Between	2.684	7	.383	.425	.885
others to use Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	92.884	103	.902		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	3.360	7	.480	.610	.747
with using Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	81.073	103	.787		
	Total	84.432	110			
I would like to continue	Between	2.090	7	.299	.345	.931
use Chinese electronic	Groups	7121	~			
product.	Within Groups	89.100	103	.865		
	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	1.787	7	.255	.283	.959
quality of the product	Groups			2		
or service of the	Within Groups	92.771	103	.901		
Chinese electronic	Total	94.559	110			
brand.						
Compared with other	Between	2.405	7	.344	.299	.953
electronic brands, I am	Groups					
more satisfied with	Within Groups	118.298	103	1.149		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110			



Table E ANOVA analysis based on Education

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I intend to keep using	Between	3.813	3	1.271	1.607	.192
the Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	84.620	107	.791		
	Total	88.432	110			
I use Chinese electronic	Between	.301	3	.100	.086	.967
product because it is the	Groups					
best choice for me.	Within Groups	124.474	107	1.163		
	Total	124.775	110			
I intend to keep	Between	9.651	3	3.217	3.816	.012
purchasing the Chinese	Groups			1		
electronic product.	Within Groups	90.204	107	.843		
	Total	99.856	110			
I say positive things	Between	3.806	3	1.269	1.630	.187
about Chin <mark>ese</mark>	Groups	GIE?				
electronic product.	Within Groups	83.293	107	.778		
	Total	87.099	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	5.961	3	1.987	2.268	.085
product is different	Groups		6	S.//		
from other brands.	Within Groups	93.732	107	.876		
	Total	99.694	110			
The quality of the	Between	2.683	3	.894	1.267	.290
product is as expected.	Groups					
	Within Groups	75.551	107	.706		
	Total	78.234	110			
I feeling the same	Between	2.966	3	.989	1.345	.264
before and after I	Groups	70 (72)	107	705		
purchase the product.	within Groups	/8.6/3	107	./35		
	Total	81.640	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	8.050	3	2.683	2.493	.064
of my needs.	Within Groups	115.193	107	1.077		
	Total	123.243	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	2.209	3	.736	.810	.491
product is reliability as	Groups					
expected.	Within Groups	97.269	107	.909		
	Total	99.477	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	8.290	3	2.763	3.729	.014
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	79.295	107	.741		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	4.169	3	1.390	1.649	.182
have the expertise in	Groups	300				
producing the product.	Within Groups	90.173	107	.843		
18	Total	94.342	110	1		
I buy/use the electronic	Between	5.079	3	1.693	1.011	.391
product because it's a	Groups					
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	179.155	107	1.674		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	2.069	3	.690	.570	.636
benefit from Chinese	Groups	1000				
brand when compared	Within Groups	129.517	107	1.210		
to another brand.	Total	131,586	110	6.7/		
	Total	1011000		~//		
I believe that Chinese	Between	4.949	3	1.650	1.317	.273
electronic brand is	Groups	12 9	5			
contributing to the	Within Groups	134.042	107	1.253		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	7.885	3	2.628	2.914	.038
product because its	Groups					
function or design.	Within Groups	96.511	107	.902		
	Total	104.396	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between	20.257	3	6.752	8.969	.000
electronic because its	Groups					
reasonable price.	Within Groups	80.553	107	.753		
	Total	100.811	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I like to choose	Between	12.967	3	4.322	3.853	.012
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	120.024	107	1.122		
	Total	132.991	110			
I think Chinese	Between	13.977	3	4.659	3.692	.014
electronic is new,	Groups					
innovative.	Within Groups	135.014	107	1.262		
	Total	148.991	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	8.534	3	2.845	2.657	.052
product is good match	Groups	3 ~ 8				
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	114.566	107	1.071		
18	Total	123.099	110	1		
I would recommend to	Between	9.315	3	3.105	3.852	.012
others to use Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	86.253	107	.806		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	8.213	3	2.738	3.843	.012
with using Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	76.219	107	.712		
9	Total	84.432	110	s.//		
I would like to continue	Between	6.382	3	2.127	2.684	.050
use Chinese electronic	Groups	7 2 5				
product.	Within Groups	84.808	107	.793		
	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	5.678	3	1.893	2.279	.084
quality of the product	Groups					
or service of the	Within Groups	88.880	107	.831		
Chinese electronic brand.	Total	94.559	110			
Compared with other	Between	3.912	3	1.304	1.195	.315
electronic brands, I am	Groups					
more satisfied with	Within Groups	116.791	107	1.092		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110			

Table F Multiple Comparisons based on Education with Bonferroni Theory	
Multiple Comparisons	
Bonferroni	

						95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I intend to keep	Middle	High school	1.333	.726	.415	62	3.29
using the	school or	Bachelor	.225	.524	1.000	-1.18	1.63
Chinese	below	Master or	.206	.536	1.000	-1.23	1.65
electronic		Above	1				
product.	High school	Middle	-1.333	.726	.415	-3.29	.62
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.108	.524	.221	-2.52	.30
		Master or	-1.127	.536	.226	-2.57	.31
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	225	.524	1.000	-1.63	1.18
		school or					
		below	10				
		High school	1.108	.524	.221	30	2.52
		Master or	019	.185	1.000	52	.48
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	206	.536	1.000	-1.65	1.23
	Above	school or					
		below	4 12				
		High school	1.127	.536	.226	31	2.57
		Bachelor	.019	.185	1.000	48	.52
I use Chinese	Middle	High school	.333	.881	1.000	-2.03	2.70
electronic	school or	Bachelor	.052	.636	1.000	-1.66	1.76
product because	below	Master or	.010	.650	1.000	-1.74	1.76
it is the best		Above					
choice for me.	High school	Middle	333	.881	1.000	-2.70	2.03
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	282	.636	1.000	-1.99	1.43
		Master or	324	.650	1.000	-2.07	1.42
		Above					

	-					95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
	Bachelor	Middle	052	.636	1.000	-1.76	1.66
		school or					
		below					
		High school	.282	.636	1.000	-1.43	1.99
		Master or	042	.225	1.000	65	.56
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	010	.650	1.000	-1.76	1.74
	Above	school or	04				
		below					
		High school	.324	.650	1.000	-1.42	2.07
		Bachelor	.042	.225	1.000	56	.65
		<u> </u>					
I intend to keep	Middle	High school	2.333*	.750	.014	.32	4.35
purchasing the	school or	Bachelor	.700	.541	1.000	76	2.15
Chinese	below	Master or	.627	.553	1.000	86	2.11
electronic		Above	10				
product.							
	High school	Middle	-2.333*	.750	.014	-4.35	32
		school or					
		Deshalar	1 (24*	5.4.1	010	2.00	10
		Bachelor	-1.034	.541	.019	-3.09	18
		Master or	-1./06	.553	.016	-3.19	22
		Above	0				
	Bachelor	Middle	700	.541	1.000	-2.15	.76
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.634*	.541	.019	.18	3.09
		Master or	072	.191	1.000	59	.44
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	627	.553	1.000	-2.11	.86
	Above	school or					
		below					
		High school	1.706^{*}	.553	.016	.22	3.19
		Bachelor	.072	.191	1.000	44	.59

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I say positive	Middle	High school	1.333	.720	.402	60	3.27
things about	school or	Bachelor	.216	.520	1.000	-1.18	1.61
electronic	below	Master or	.225	.531	1.000	-1.20	1.65
product.		Above					
	High school	Middle	-1.333	.720	.402	-3.27	.60
	6	school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1 117	520	204	-2.52	28
		Master or	-1 108	531	237	-2.54	32
		Above	-1.100	.551	.231	-2.34	.52
	Dachalor	Middle	216	520	1.000	1.61	1 10
	Bacheloi		210	.320	1.000	-1.01	1.10
		balayy					
		Delow	1.117	500	20.4	20	2.52
		High school	1.11/	.520	.204	28	2.52
		Master or	.010	.184	1.000	49	.50
	2	Above	2)		\bigcirc		
	Master or	Middle	225	.531	1.000	-1.65	1.20
	Above	below					
		High school	1.108	.531	.237	32	2.54
	5	Bachelor	010	.184	1.000	50	.49
Chinese	Middle	High school	1.000	.764	1.000	-1.05	3.05
electronic	school or	Bachelor	272	.552	1.000	-1.76	1.21
product is	Delow	Above	.010	.304	1.000	-1.51	1.55
different from	High school	Middle	-1.000	.764	1.000	-3.05	1.05
other brands.		school or					
		below	1 070	550	120	2.76	21
		Master or	-1.272	.332 564	.158 491	-2.70	.21
		Above	.,,,,	1001		2101	
	Bachelor	Middle	.272	.552	1.000	-1.21	1.76
		school or					
		High school	1.272	.552	.138	- 21	2.76
		Master or	.282	.195	.908	24	.81
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	010	.564	1.000	-1.53	1.51
	Above	school or below					
		High school	.990	.564	.491	53	2.51
		Bachelor	282	.195	.908	81	.24

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
The quality of	Middle	High school	.333	.686	1.000	-1.51	2.18
the product is	school or	Bachelor	- 484	495	1.000	-1.81	85
as expected	below	Master or	520	506	1.000	1.01	.00
us expected.	below	Master or	520	.300	1.000	-1.00	.04
		Above					
	High school	Middle	333	.686	1.000	-2.18	1.51
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	817	.495	.612	-2.15	.51
		Master or	853	.506	.569	-2.21	.51
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	.484	.495	1.000	85	1.81
		school or					
		below					
		High school	.817	. <mark>495</mark>	.612	51	2.15
		Master or	036	.175	1.000	51	.44
		Above	5				
	Master or	Middle	.520	.506	1.000	84	1.88
	Above	school or					
		below	1.5				
		High school	.853	.506	.569	51	2.21
I feeling the	Middle	High school	1.000	.175	037	44	2.88
anna hafara	school or	Bachelor	.061	.505	1.000	-1.30	1.42
	below	Master or	.225	.516	1.000	-1.16	1.61
and after I	~	Above	1 61 10				
purchase the	High school	Middle	-1.000	.700	.937	-2.88	.88
product.		school or					
		Bachelor	- 030	505	306	-2.30	42
		Master or	775	.505	.820	-2.16	.42
		Above		1010	1020	2.10	
	Bachelor	Middle	061	.505	1.000	-1.42	1.30
		school or					
		below	020	505	207	10	2 20
		High school Master or	.939	.505	.390 1.000	42	2.30
		Above	.104	.179	1.000	52	.05
	Master or	Middle	225	.516	1.000	-1.61	1.16
	Above	school or					
		below					
		High school	.775	.516	.820	61	2.16
		Bachelor	164	.179	1.000	65	.32

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Middle	High school	2.000	.847	.120	28	4.28
electronic	school or	Bachelor	.394	.612	1.000	-1.25	2.04
product could	below	Master or	412	625	1.000	-1 27	2.09
fulfill all of my		Abova	.412	.025	1.000	-1.27	2.07
needs		Above	• • • • •	0.45	100	1.00	•
needs.	High school	Middle	-2.000	.847	.120	-4.28	.28
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.606	.612	.060	-3.25	.04
		Master or	-1.588	.625	.075	-3.27	.09
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	394	.612	1.000	-2.04	1.25
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.606	.612	.060	04	3.25
		Master or	017	216	1.000	- 56	60
		Abova	.017	.210	1.000	.50	.00
		Above	410	(25	1.000	2.00	1.07
	Master or	school or	412	.625	1.000	-2.09	1.27
	Above	below					
		High school	1.588	.625	.075	09	3.27
		Bachelor	017	.216	1.000	60	.56
Chinese	Middle	High school	1.000	.778	1.000	-1.09	3.09
electronic	school or	Bachelor	.230	.562	1.000	-1.28	1.74
product is	below	Master or	.137	.574	1.000	-1.41	1.68
reliability as	TT' 1 1 1	Above	1.000	770	1.000	2.00	1.00
expected	High school	Middle school or	-1.000	.778	1.000	-3.09	1.09
expected.		below					
		Bachelor	770	.562	1.000	-2.28	.74
		Master or	863	.574	.816	-2.41	.68
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	230	.562	1.000	-1.74	1.28
		school or below					
		High school	.770	.562	1.000	74	2.28
		Master or	093	.199	1.000	63	.44
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	137	.574	1.000	-1.68	1.41
	Above	school or					
		below	067	571	016	60	2 41
		nigii school Bachelor	.003	.374 100	.810	08 44	2.41 63
		Dacheloi	.095	.177	1.000	++	.05

	-					95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Chinese	Middle	High school	1.667	.703	.117	22	3.56
electronic brand	school or	Bachelor	- 019	507	1 000	-1 38	1 35
is well priced.	below	Master or	020	518	1 000	1.41	1 37
		Above	020	.510	1.000	-1.41	1.57
		Above	1.445	500	117	2.54	
	High school	Middle	-1.00/	.703	.117	-3.56	.22
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.685*	.507	.007	-3.05	32
		Master or	-1.686*	.518	.009	-3.08	29
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	.019	.507	1.000	-1.35	1.38
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.685*	.507	.007	.32	3.05
		Master or	001	.180	1.000	48	.48
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	.020	.518	1.000	-1.37	1.41
	Above	school or	Ne -				
		High school	1.686*	518	000	20	3.08
		Bachelor	.001	.180	1.000	48	.48
I think Chinese	Middle	High school	1.000	.750	1.000	-1.01	3.01
brands have the	school or	Bachelor	.728	.541	1.000	73	2.18
expertise in	below	Master or	.392	.553	1.000	-1.09	1.88
producing the	II. 1 1 1	Above	1.000	750	1.000	2.01	1.01
product.	High school	school or	-1.000	.750	1.000	-3.01	1.01
producti		below					
		Bachelor	272	.541	1.000	-1.73	1.18
		Master or	608	.553	1.000	-2.09	.88
		Above	700	7.41	1.000	0.10	70
	Bachelor	Middle school or	728	.541	1.000	-2.18	./3
		below					
		High school	.272	.541	1.000	-1.18	1.73
		Master or	336	.191	.495	85	.18
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	392	.553	1.000	-1.88	1.09
	Above	school or					
		below					
		High school	.608	.553	1.000	88	2.09
		Bachelor	.336	.191	.495	18	.85

	-	-		[95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I buy/use the	Middle	High school	1.667	1.057	.706	-1.17	4.51
electronic	school or	Bachelor	1.239	.763	.643	81	3.29
product because	below	Master or	1 206	770	748	- 80	3 30
it's a Chinese			1.200	.11)	.740	07	5.50
brand		Above					
brand.	High school	Middle	-1.667	1.057	.706	-4.51	1.17
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	427	.763	1.000	-2.48	1.62
		Master or	461	.779	1.000	-2.56	1.63
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	-1.239	.763	.643	-3.29	.81
		school or					
		below					
		High school	427	763	1.000	-1.62	2 48
		Master or	.427	.705	1.000	76	2.40
		Master or	034	.270	1.000	/0	.09
	2	Above	91				
	Master or	Middle	-1.206	.779	.748	-3.30	.89
	Above	below					
		High school	.461	.779	1.000	-1.63	2.56
		Bachelor	.034	.270	1.000	69	.76
I can get the	Middle	High school	.333	.898	1.000	-2.08	2.75
same benefit	school or	Bachelor	286	.648	1.000	-2.03	1.46
from Chinese	below	Master or	431	.663	1.000	-2.21	1.35
brand when	High school	Above	333	808	1.000	2 75	2.08
compared to	riigii school	school or	555	.070	1.000	-2.75	2.08
another brand		below					
unother orang.		Bachelor	620	.648	1.000	-2.36	1.12
		Master or	765	.663	1.000	-2.55	1.02
		Above	206	640	1.000	1.46	2.02
	Bachelor	Middle school or	.286	.648	1.000	-1.46	2.03
		below					
		High school	.620	.648	1.000	-1.12	2.36
		Master or	145	.229	1.000	76	.47
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	.431	.663	1.000	-1.35	2.21
	Above	school or below					
		High school	.765	.663	1.000	-1.02	2.55
		Bachelor	.145	.229	1.000	47	.76

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I believe that	Middle	High school	.333	.914	1.000	-2.12	2.79
Chinese	school or	Bachelor	751	.660	1.000	-2.52	1.02
electronic brand	below	Master or	608	.674	1.000	-2.42	1.20
is contributing		Above					
to the society.	Llich school	Middle	222	014	1.000	2 70	2.12
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	High school		355	.914	1.000	-2.19	2.12
		school of					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.085	.660	.619	-2.86	.69
		Master or	941	.674	.993	-2.75	.87
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	.751	.660	1.000	-1.02	2.52
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.085	. <mark>66</mark> 0	.619	69	2.86
		Master or	.143	.233	1.000	48	.77
		Above	14.				
	Master or	Middle	.608	.674	1.000	-1.20	2.42
	Above	school or					
		below					
		High school	.941	.674	.993	87	2.75
		Bachelor	143	.233	1.000	77	.48
I buy/use the	Middle	High school	-1.000	.775	1.000	-3.08	1.08
electronic	below	Master or	-1.512	.500	.048	-3.02	01
product because		Above	-1.000	.572	.055	-5.15	07
its function or	High school	Middle	1.000	.775	1.000	-1.08	3.08
design.		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	512	.560	1.000	-2.02	.99
		Master or Above	608	.572	1.000	-2.15	.93
	Bachelor	Middle	1.512*	.560	.048	.01	3.02
		school or					
		below					
		High school	.512	.560	1.000	99	2.02
		Master or	096	.198	1.000	63	.44
	Master or	Middle	1 608*	570	035	07	3 15
	Above	school or	1.000	.572	.055	.07	5.15
		below					
		High school	.608	.572	1.000	93	2.15
		Bachelor	.096	.198	1.000	44	.63

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I Choose	Middle	High school	-1.000	.708	.966	-2.90	.90
Chinese	school or	Bachalor	2 206*	511		3.67	02
electronic	below	Mastanan	-2.290	.511	.000	-3.07	72
because its	UCIO W	Master or	-2.355	.525	.000	-3.70	95
recause its		Above					
neiss	High school	Middle	1.000	.708	.966	90	2.90
price.		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.296	.511	.076	-2.67	.08
		Master or	-1.353	.523	.066	-2.76	.05
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	2.296*	.511	.000	.92	3.67
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.296	.511	.076	08	2.67
		Master or	057	.181	1.000	54	.43
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	2.353*	.523	.000	.95	3.76
	Above	school or	Ne				
		below	1.1		. //		
		High school	1.353	.523	.066	05	2.76
		Bachelor	.057	.181	1.000	43	.54
I like to choose	Middle	High school	333	.865	1.000	-2.66	1.99
electronic	school or	Bachelor	-1.531	.624	.095	-3.21	.15
product that	below	Master or	-1.098	.638	.528	-2.81	.62
made in China.	High school	Middle	.333	.865	1.000	-1.99	2.66
	0	school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.197	.624	.347	-2.88	.48
		Master or Above	765	.638	1.000	-2.48	.95
	Bachelor	Middle	1.531	.624	.095	15	3.21
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.197	.624	.347	48	2.88
		Master or	.432	.221	.317	16	1.03
	Master or	Middle	1.098	.638	.528	62	2.81
	Above	school or	1.070		.520	.02	2.01
		below					
		High school	.765	.638	1.000	95	2.48
		Bachelor	432	.221	.317	-1.03	.16

	-	_		[95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I think Chinese	Middle	High school	-1.333	.917	.894	-3.80	1.13
electronic is	school or	Bachelor	-2 038*	662	016	-3.82	- 26
new.	below	Master or	_2 127*	677	013	-3.95	- 31
innovative		Abovo	-2.127	.077	.015	-3.75	51
inito vali ve.		Above	1 222	017	904	1.12	2.00
	High school		1.555	.917	.894	-1.15	5.80
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	704	.662	1.000	-2.48	1.08
		Master or	794	.677	1.000	-2.61	1.02
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	2.038*	.662	.016	.26	3.82
		school or		10			
		below					
		High school	.704	.662	1.000	-1.08	2.48
		Master or	090	.234	1.000	72	.54
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	2.127*	.677	.013	.31	3.95
	Above	school or	NG				
		below	100				
		High school	794	677	1.000	-1.02	2.61
		Bachelor	.090	.234	1.000	54	.72
Chinese	Middle	High school	667	.845	1.000	-2.94	1.60
electronic	school or	Bachelor	-1.272	.610	.236	-2.91	.37
product is good	below	Master or	843	.623	1.000	-2.52	.83
match to my	High school	Above	667	8/15	1.000	-1.60	2.94
image and	Tingii senoor	school or	.007	.045	1.000	-1.00	2.74
figure.		below					
6		Bachelor	606	.610	1.000	-2.25	1.03
		Master or	176	.623	1.000	-1.85	1.50
	Bachelor	Above	1 272	610	236	- 37	2.91
	Duchelor	school or	1.272	.010	.230	.57	2.71
		below					
		High school	.606	.610	1.000	-1.03	2.25
		Master or	.429	.216	.296	15	1.01
	Master or	Middle	8/13	623	1.000	- 83	2 52
	Above	school or	.0+3	.023	1.000	05	2.32
		below					
		High school	.176	.623	1.000	-1.50	1.85
		Bachelor	429	.216	.296	-1.01	.15

				Ĩ		95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I would	Middle	High school	.000	.733	1.000	-1.97	1.97
recommend to	school or	Bachelor	-1 258	529	115	-2.68	16
others to use	below	Master or	1.250	541	101	2.00	.10
Chinese	below	Master or	-1.514	.341	.101	-2.17	.14
		Above					
electronic	High school	Middle	.000	.733	1.000	-1.97	1.97
product.		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.258	.529	.115	-2.68	.16
		Master or	-1.314	.541	.101	-2.77	.14
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	1.258	.529	.115	16	2.68
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.258	.529	.115	16	2.68
		Master or	056	.187	1.000	56	.45
		Above	5		6		
	Master or	Middle	1.314	.541	.101	14	2.77
	Above	school or					
		below	1 A A				
		High school	1 314	541	101	- 14	2 77
		Bachelor	.056	.187	1.000	45	.56
I feeling I am	Middle	High school	1.000	.689	.898	85	2.85
satisfied with	school or	Bachelor	399	.497	1.000	-1.74	.94
using Chinese	below	Master or	637	.508	1.000	-2.00	.73
electronic		Above					
product	High school	Middle	-1.000	.689	.898	-2.85	.85
product.		below					
		Bachelor	-1.399*	.497	.035	-2.74	06
		Master or	-1.637*	.508	.010	-3.00	27
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	.399	.497	1.000	94	1.74
		school or					
		High school	1 300*	497	035	06	2 74
		Master or	- 238	.176	1.000	.00	2.74
		Above	.235		1.000	., 1	.25
	Master or	Middle	.637	.508	1.000	73	2.00
	Above	school or					
		below	*		01-	~=	
		High school	1.637*	.508	.010	.27	3.00
		Bachelor	.238	.176	1.000	23	./1

	-	-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	rval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I would like to	Middle	High school	1.000	.727	1.000	95	2.95
continue use	school or	Bachelor	399	.525	1.000	-1.81	1.01
Chinese	below	Master or	- 461	.536	1.000	-1.90	.98
electronic		Above					
product.	Lich school	Middle	1 000	707	1.000	2.05	05
r	High school	Midule	-1.000	.121	1.000	-2.95	.95
		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.399	.525	.053	-2.81	.01
		Master or	-1.461*	.536	.045	-2.90	02
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	.399	.525	1.000	-1.01	1.81
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.399	.525	.053	01	2.81
		Master or	062	.186	1.000	56	.44
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	.461	.536	1.000	98	1.90
	Above	school or	N				
	10010	below	1.1				
			1 461*	526	045	02	2.00
		Bachelor	062	.330	1 000	- 44	2.90
I am satisfied	Middle	High school	1.667	.744	.163	33	3.67
with the quality	school or	Bachelor	.408	.537	1.000	-1.04	1.85
of the product	below	Master or	.294	.549	1.000	-1.18	1.77
or service of the		Above					
Chinasa	High school	Middle	-1.667	.744	.163	-3.67	.33
Chinese		scnool or below					
electronic		Bachelor	-1.258	.537	.126	-2.70	.19
brand.		Master or	-1.373	.549	.084	-2.85	.10
		Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	408	.537	1.000	-1.85	1.04
		school or					
		High school	1 258	537	126	- 19	2 70
		Master or	114	.190	1.000	63	.40
		Above					
	Master or	Middle	294	.549	1.000	-1.77	1.18
	Above	school or					
		below	1 272	540	09.4	10	0.05
		nigii school Bachelor	1.373	.349 190	.084 1.000	10 - 40	2.85 63
		Ducheion	.114	.170	1.000	+0	.05

		-				95% Co	nfidence
			Mean			Inte	erval
Dependent			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Education	(J) Education	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
Compared with	Middle	High school	1.333	.853	.726	96	3.63
other electronic	school or	Bachelor	.207	.616	1.000	-1.45	1.86
brands, I am	below	Master or	.186	.629	1.000	-1.51	1.88
more satisfied		Above					
with China	High school	Middle	-1.333	.853	.726	-3.63	.96
Electronics.		school or					
		below					
		Bachelor	-1.127	.616	.420	-2.78	.53
		Master or	-1.147	.629	.427	-2.84	.54
	122	Above					
	Bachelor	Middle	207	.616	1.000	-1.86	1.45
		school or					
		below					
		High school	1.127	.616	.420	53	2.78
		Master or	020	.2 <mark>18</mark>	1.000	61	.57
		Above	2)				
	Master or	Middle	186	.6 <mark>2</mark> 9	1.000	-1.88	1.51
	Above	school or					
		below		/ 4	-//		
		High school	1.147	.629	.427	54	2.84
		Bachelor	.020	.218	1.000	57	.61
*. The mean differe	ence is significant a	at the 0.05 level.	4.15	1			

Table G ANOVA analysis based on Age

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I intend to keep using	Between	3.359	2	1.679	2.132	.124
the Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	85.073	108	.788		
	Total	88.432	110			
I use Chinese electronic	Between	.631	2	.315	.274	.761
product because it is the	Groups					
best choice for me.	Within Groups	124.144	108	1.149		
	Total	124.775	110			
I intend to keep	Between	2.459	2	1.230	1.363	.260
purchasing the Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	97.397	108	.902		
	Total	99.856	110			
I say positive things	Between	1.089	2	.544	.683	.507
about Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	86.010	108	.796		
	Total	87.099	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	1.591	2	.796	.876	.419
product is different	Groups					
from other brands.	Within Groups	98.102	108	.908		
	Total	99.694	110	S //		
The quality of the	Between	5.871	2	2.935	4.381	.015
product is as expected.	Groups		25			
	Within Groups	72.364	108	.670		
	Total	78.234	110			
I feeling the same	Between	2.157	2	1.078	1.465	.236
before and after I	Groups					
purchase the product.	Within Groups	79.483	108	.736		
	Total	81.640	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	4.403	2	2.201	2.001	.140
product could fulfill all	Groups					
of my needs.	Within Groups	118.840	108	1.100		
	Total	123.243	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	1.683	2	.842	.929	.398
product is reliability as	Groups					
expected.	Within Groups	97.794	108	.906		
	Total	99.477	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	7.394	2	3.697	4.979	.009
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	80.192	108	.743		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	1.882	2	.941	1.099	.337
have the expertise in	Groups					
producing the product.	Within Groups	92.461	108	.856		
	Total	94.342	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	3.551	2	1.776	1.061	.350
product because it's a	Groups	7121.				
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	180.683	108	1.673		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	4.142	2	2.071	1.755	.178
benefit from Chinese	Groups					
brand when compared	Within Groups	127.444	108	1.180		
to another brand.	Total	131.586	110			
I believe that Chinese	Between	1.199	2	.600	.470	.626
electronic brand is	Groups					
contributing to the	Within Groups	137.792	108	1.276		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	3.029	2	1.515	1.614	.204
product because its	Groups	N. 9.1				
function or design.	Within Groups	101.367	108	.939		
	Total	104.396	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between	7.101	2	3.550	4.092	.019
electronic because its	Groups	190				
reasonable price.	Within Groups	93.710	108	.868		
	Total	100.811	110			
I like to choose	Between	1.381	2	.691	.567	.569
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	131.610	108	1.219		
	Total	132.991	110			
I think Chinese	Between	3.979	2	1.990	1.482	.232
electronic is new,	Groups					
innovative.	Within Groups	145.012	108	1.343		
	Total	148.991	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	4.295	2	2.148	1.952	.147
product is good match	Groups					
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	118.804	108	1.100		
	Total	123.099	110			
I would recommend to	Between	2.273	2	1.137	1.316	.273
others to use Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	93.294	108	.864		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	2.284	2	1.142	1.502	.227
with using Chinese	Groups	71/1				
electronic product.	Within Groups	82.148	108	.761		
	Total	84.432	110			
I would like to continue	Between	2.646	2	1.323	1.613	.204
use Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	88.544	108	.820		
	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	3.264	2	1.632	1.930	.150
quality of the product	Groups					
or service of the	Within Groups	91.295	108	.845		
Chinese electronic	Total	94.559	110			
brand.				101		
Compared with other	Between	.105	2	.052	.047	.954
electronic brands, I am	Groups					
more satisfied with	Within Groups	120.598	108	1.117		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110			

1.14

Table H Multiple Comparisons based on Age group with Bonferroni Theory Multiple Comparisons

95% Confidence Interval Mean Difference Dependent (J) Std. Lower Upper Variable (I-J) (I) Age Error Sig. Bound Bound Age I intend to keep 18-24 25-40 .322 .205 .358 -.18 .82 using the Chinese 41-60 .538 .272 .151 -.12 1.20 electronic product. .358 25-40 18-24 -.322 .205 -.82 .18 41-60 .216 .236 1.000 -.36 .79 41-60 -.538 .272 .151 -1.20 .12 18-24 25-40 -.216 1.000 -.79 .236 .36 I use Chinese 18-24 25-40 .169 .248 1.000 -.43 .77 electronic product 1.000 41-60 .201 .329 -.60 1.00 because it is the 25-40 18-24 -.169 .248 1.000 -.77 .43 best choice for me. 41-60 .032 .285 1.000 -.66 .72 41-60 18-24 -.201 .329 1.000 -1.00 .60 25-40 -.032 .285 1.000 -.72 .66 I intend to keep **18-24** 25-40 .303 .219 .512 .84 -.23 purchasing the 41-60 .440 .291 .401 -.27 1.15 Chinese electronic 25-40 18-24 -.303 .219 .512 .23 -.84 product. 41-60 .252 1.000 -.48 .138 .75 41-60 18-24 -.440 .291 .401 -1.15 .27 25-40 -.138 .252 1.000 -.75 .48 I say positive 18-24 25-40 .206 1.000 .70 .195 -.31 things about 41-60 -.017 .274 1.000 .65 -.68 Chinese electronic 25-40 -.70 18-24 -.195 .206 1.000 .31 product. 41-60 -.212 .237 1.000 -.79 .36 41-60 18-24 .017 .274 1.000 -.65 .68 25-40 .212 .237 1.000 -.36 .79 Chinese electronic 18-24 25-40 .289 .220 .575 -.25 .82 product is different 41-60 .248 .292 1.000 -.46 .96 from other brands. -.289 18-24 .575 .25 25-40 .220 -.82 41-60 -.041 .253 1.000 .57 -.66 41-60 18-24 -.248 .292 1.000 -.96 .46 25-40 .041 .253 1.000 -.57 .66

Bonferroni

	-	-	Mean			95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent		(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Age	Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
The quality of the	18-24	25-40	.096	.189	1.000	36	.56
product is as		41-60	.684*	.251	.023	.07	1.29
expected.	25-40	18-24	096	.189	1.000	56	.36
		41-60	.588*	.217	.024	.06	1.12
	41-60	18-24	684*	.251	.023	-1.29	07
		25-40	588*	.217	.024	-1.12	06
I feeling the same	18-24	25-40	073	.198	1.000	56	.41
before and after I		41-60	.316	.263	.696	32	.96
purchase the	25-40	18-24	.073	.198	1.000	41	.56
product.		41-60	.390	.228	.270	16	.94
	41-60	18-24	316	.263	.696	96	.32
		25-40	390	.228	.270	94	.16
Chinese electronic	18-24	25-40	.445	.242	.206	14	1.03
product could		41-60	.534	.322	.299	25	1.32
fulfill all of <mark>m</mark> y	25-40	18-24	445	.242	.206	-1.03	.14
needs.		41-60	.089	.278	1.000	59	.77
	<mark>41-</mark> 60	18-24	534	.322	<mark>.29</mark> 9	-1.32	.25
		25-40	089	.278	1.000	77	.59
Chinese electronic	1 <mark>8-24</mark>	25-40	.236	.220	.857	30	.77
product is		41-60	.376	.292	.601	33	1.09
reliability as	25-40	18-24	236	.220	.857	77	.30
expected.		41-60	.140	.253	1.000	47	.75
	41-60	18-24	376	.292	.601	-1.09	.33
		25-40	140	.253	1.000	75	.47
Chinese electronic	18-24	25-40	.059	.199	1.000	43	.54
brand is well		41-60	.739*	.264	.018	.10	1.38
priced.	25-40	18-24	059	.199	1.000	54	.43
		41-60	.681*	.229	.011	.12	1.24
	41-60	18-24	739*	.264	.018	-1.38	10
		25-40	681*	.229	.011	-1.24	12
I think Chinese	18-24	25-40	114	.214	1.000	63	.41
brands have the		41-60	.248	.284	1.000	44	.94
expertise in	25-40	18-24	.114	.214	1.000	41	.63
producing the		41-60	.362	.246	.432	24	.96
product.	41-60	18-24	248	.284	1.000	94	.44
		25-40	362	.246	.432	96	.24

	-	-	Mean			95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent		(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Age	Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I buy/use the	18-24	25-40	.429	.299	.463	30	1.16
electronic product		41-60	.393	.397	.971	57	1.36
because it's a	25-40	18-24	429	.299	.463	-1.16	.30
Chinese brand.		41-60	036	.343	1.000	87	.80
	41-60	18-24	393	.397	.971	-1.36	.57
		25-40	.036	.343	1.000	80	.87
I can get the same	18-24	25-40	146	.251	1.000	76	.46
benefit from		41-60	.393	.333	.721	42	1.20
Chinese brand	25-40	18-24	.146	.251	1.000	46	.76
when compared to	1.	41-60	.539	.288	.193	16	1.24
another brand.	41-60	18-24	393	.333	.721	-1.20	.42
	2.	25-40	539	.288	.193	-1.24	.16
I believe that	18-24	25-40	.243	.261	1.000	39	.88
Chinese electronic		41-60	.098	.346	1.000	74	.94
brand is	<mark>25-</mark> 40	18-24	243	.261	1.000	88	.39
contributing to the		41-60	145	.300	1.000	87	.58
society.	41-60	18-24	098	.346	1.000	94	.74
		25-40	.145	.300	1.000	58	.87
I buy/use the	18-24	25-40	011	.224	1.000	56	.53
electronic product	2	41-60	.440	.297	.424	28	1.16
because its	25-40	18-24	.011	.224	1.000	53	.56
function or design.	$\langle \rangle$	41-60	.451	.257	.247	17	1.08
	41-60	18-24	440	.297	.424	-1.16	.28
		25-40	451	.257	.247	-1.08	.17
I Choose Chinese	18-24	25-40	181	.215	1.000	70	.34
electronic because		41-60	.526	.286	.205	17	1.22
its reasonable	25-40	18-24	.181	.215	1.000	34	.70
price.		41-60	.706*	.247	.015	.11	1.31
	41-60	18-24	526	.286	.205	-1.22	.17
		25-40	706*	.247	.015	-1.31	11
I like to choose	18-24	25-40	.267	.255	.893	35	.89
electronic product		41-60	.248	.338	1.000	58	1.07
that made in	25-40	18-24	267	.255	.893	89	.35
China.		41-60	019	.293	1.000	73	.69
	41-60	18-24	248	.338	1.000	-1.07	.58
		25-40	.019	.293	1.000	69	.73

	-	-	Mean			95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent		(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Variable	(I) Age	Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I think Chinese	18-24	25-40	.448	.268	.292	20	1.10
electronic is new,		41-60	.444	.355	.641	42	1.31
innovative.	25-40	18-24	448	.268	.292	-1.10	.20
		41-60	003	.308	1.000	75	.74
	41-60	18-24	444	.355	.641	-1.31	.42
		25-40	.003	.308	1.000	74	.75
Chinese electronic	18-24	25-40	.343	.242	.481	25	.93
product is good		41-60	.620	.322	.170	16	1.40
match to my image	25-40	18-24	343	.242	.481	93	.25
and figure.		41-60	.277	.278	.966	40	.95
	41-60	18-24	620	.322	.170	-1.40	.16
		25-40	277	.278	.966	95	.40
I would	18-24	25-40	.072	.215	1.000	45	.59
recommend to		41-60	.432	.285	.398	26	1.12
others to use	25-40	18-24	072	.215	1.000	59	.45
Chinese electronic		41-60	.360	.247	. <mark>44</mark> 3	24	.96
product.	<mark>41-</mark> 60	18-24	432	.285	.398	-1.12	.26
		25-40	360	.247	.443	96	.24
I feeling I am	1 <mark>8-24</mark>	25-40	.215	.202	.863	27	.71
satisfied with using		41-60	.462	.267	.262	19	1.11
Chinese electronic	25-40	18-24	215	.202	.863	71	.27
product.		41-60	.246	.232	.870	32	.81
	41-60	18-24	462	.267	.262	-1.11	.19
		25-40	246	.232	.870	81	.32
I would like to	18-24	25-40	.168	.209	1.000	34	.68
continue use		41-60	.496	.278	.231	18	1.17
Chinese electronic	25-40	18-24	168	.209	1.000	68	.34
product.		41-60	.328	.240	.528	26	.91
	41-60	18-24	496	.278	.231	-1.17	.18
		25-40	328	.240	.528	91	.26
I am satisfied with	18-24	25-40	086	.212	1.000	60	.43
the quality of the		41-60	.393	.282	.498	29	1.08
product or service	25-40	18-24	.086	.212	1.000	43	.60
of the Chinese		41-60	.479	.244	.156	11	1.07
electronic brand.	41-60	18-24	393	.282	.498	-1.08	.29
		25-40	479	.244	.156	-1.07	.11

	-	-	Mean			95% Confidence Interval		
Dependent		(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper	
Variable	(I) Age	Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound	
Compared with	18-24	25-40	.049	.244	1.000	54	.64	
other electronic		41-60	.098	.324	1.000	69	.89	
brands, I am more	25-40	18-24	049	.244	1.000	64	.54	
satisfied with China Electronics.		41-60	.049	.281	1.000	63	.73	
	41-60	18-24	098	.324	1.000	89	.69	
		25-40	049	.281	1.000	73	.63	

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.



Table I ANOVA analysis based on Marital status

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I intend to keep using	Between	2.554	2	1.277	1.606	.205
the Chinese electronic	Groups					
product.	Within Groups	85.879	108	.795		
	Total	88.432	110			
I use Chinese electronic	Between	3.925	2	1.963	1.754	.178
product because it is the	Groups					
best choice for me.	Within Groups	120.849	108	1.119		
	Total	124.775	110			
I intend to keep	Between	2.994	2	1.497	1.669	.193
purchasing the Chinese	Groups			0		
electronic product.	Within Groups	96.862	108	.897		
	Total	99.856	110	1		
I say positive things	Between	1.350	2	.675	.850	.430
about Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	85.749	108	.794		
	Total	87.099	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	.053	2	.027	.029	.972
product is different	Groups	N 63		/ . //		
from other brands.	Within Groups	99.641	108	.923		
G	Total	99.694	110	5//		
The quality of the	Between	2.902	2	1.451	2.080	.130
product is as expected.	Groups	- 4 A	22			
	Within Groups	75.332	108	.698		
	Total	78.234	110			
I feeling the same	Between	2.868	2	1.434	1.966	.145
before and after I	Groups					
purchase the product.	Within Groups	78.772	108	.729		
	Total	81.640	110			
Chinese electronic	Between	2.968	2	1.484	1.332	.268
product could fulfill all	Groups					
of my needs.	Within Groups	120.276	108	1.114		
	Total	123.243	110			İ
Chinese electronic	Between	2.244	2	1.122	1.246	.292
product is reliability as	Groups Within Crosses	07 024	100	000		
expected.	winn Groups	97.234	108	.900		ļ
	Total	99.477	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	8.359	2	4.179	5.697	.004
brand is well priced.	Groups					
	Within Groups	79.227	108	.734		
	Total	87.586	110			
I think Chinese brands	Between	.818	2	.409	.472	.625
have the expertise in	Groups					
producing the product.	Within Groups	93.524	108	.866		
	Total	94.342	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	2.972	2	1.486	.885	.415
product because it's a	Groups	21.11				
Chinese brand.	Within Groups	181.262	108	1.678		
	Total	184.234	110			
I can get the same	Between	6.324	2	3.162	2.726	.070
benefit from Chinese	Groups			SA		
brand when compared	Within Groups	125.262	108	1.160		
to another brand.	Total	131.586	110			
I believe that Chinese	Between	.538	2	.269	.210	.811
electronic brand is	Groups	2020				
contributing to the	Within Groups	138.453	108	1.282		
society.	Total	138.991	110			
I buy/use the electronic	Between	3.320	2	1.660	1.774	.175
product because its	Groups					
function or design.	Within Groups	101.076	108	.936		
	Total	104.396	110			
I Choose Chinese	Between	3.563	2	1.781	1.978	.143
electronic because its	Groups	19.6				
reasonable price.	Within Groups	97.248	108	.900		
	Total	100.811	110			
I like to choose	Between	.791	2	.396	.323	.725
electronic product that	Groups					
made in China.	Within Groups	132.200	108	1.224		
	Total	132.991	110			
I think Chinese	Between	3.749	2	1.875	1.394	.253
electronic is new,	Groups					
innovative.	Within Groups	145.242	108	1.345		
	Total	148.991	110			

		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
Chinese electronic	Between	3.285	2	1.642	1.480	.232
product is good match	Groups					
to my image and figure.	Within Groups	119.814	108	1.109		
	Total	123.099	110			
I would recommend to	Between	1.008	2	.504	.576	.564
others to use Chinese	Groups					
electronic product.	Within Groups	94.560	108	.876		
	Total	95.568	110			
I feeling I am satisfied	Between	1.670	2	.835	1.090	.340
with using Chinese	Groups	00 7 (0	100	7.66		
electronic product.	Total	82.762	108	./00		
I would like to continue	Between	5 839	2	2 919	3 694	028
use Chinese electronic	Groups	5.057	-	2.919	5.071	.020
product	Within Groups	85.350	108	.790		
product.	Total	91.189	110			
I am satisfied with the	Between	2.805	2	1.403	1.651	.197
quality of the product	Groups Within Groups	91 753	108	850		
or service of the	Total	94.559	110	.050		
Chinese electronic						
brand.		2 1 1 T				
Compared with other	Between	3.946	2	1.973	1.825	.166
electronic brands, I am	Groups					
more satisfied with	Within Groups	116.756	108	1.081		
China Electronics.	Total	120.703	110	~//		
	100	าสัย	y			



Table J Multiple Comparisons based on Material status with Bonferroni TheoryMultiple Comparisons

Bonferroni

						95% Co	nfidence	
			Mean			Inte	rval	
	(I)	(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper	
Dependent Variable	Status	Status	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound	
I intend to keep	Single	Married	.281	.209	.549	23	.79	
using the Chinese		Others	698	.638	.829	-2.25	.85	
electronic product.	Married	Single	281	.209	.549	79	.23	
		Others	978	.657	.419	-2.58	.62	
	Others	Single	.698	.638	.829	85	2.25	
		Married	.978	.657	.419	62	2.58	
I use Chinese	Single	Married	.227	.248	1.000	38	.83	
electronic product		Others	-1.186	.757	.360	-3.03	.65	
because it is the	Married	Single	227	.248	1.000	83	.38	
best choice for me.		Others	-1.413	.780	.218	-3.31	.48	
	Others	Single	1.186	.757	.360	65	3.03	
		Married	1.413	.780	.218	48	3.31	
I intend to keep	Single	Married	.283	.222	.617	26	.82	
purchasing the		Others	826	.677	.677	-2.47	.82	
Chinese electronic	Married	Single	283	.222	.617	82	.26	
product.		Others	-1.109	.698	.346	-2.81	.59	
	Others	Single	.826	.677	.677	82	2.47	
	\sim	Married	1.109	.698	.346	59	2.81	
I say positive things	Single	Married	.193	.209	1.000	32	.70	
about Chinese		Others	547	.637	1.000	-2.10	1.00	
electronic product.	Married	Single	193	.209	1.000	70	.32	
		Others	739	.657	.789	-2.34	.86	
	Others	Single	.547	.637	1.000	-1.00	2.10	
		Married	.739	.657	.789	86	2.34	
Chinese electronic	Single	Married	.054	.225	1.000	49	.60	
product is different		Others	012	.687	1.000	-1.68	1.66	
from other brands.	Married	Single	054	.225	1.000	60	.49	
		Others	065	.708	1.000	-1.79	1.66	
	Others	Single	.012	.687	1.000	-1.66	1.68	
		Married	.065	.708	1.000	-1.66	1.79	
The quality of the	Single	Married	.394	.196	.141	08	.87	
product is as		Others	128	.597	1.000	-1.58	1.32	
expected.	Married	Single	394	.196	.141	87	.08	
		Others	522	.616	1.000	-2.02	.98	
	Others	Single	.128	.597	1.000	-1.32	1.58	
		Married	.522	.616	1.000	98	2.02	
	-	-				95% Confidence		
--	---------	---------	------------	-------	-------	----------------	----------	--
			Mean			Inte	Interval	
	(I)	(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper	
Dependent Variable	Status	Status	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound	
I feeling the same	Single	Married	.355	.200	.237	13	.84	
before and after I		Others	.616	.611	.946	87	2.10	
purchase the	Married	Single	355	.200	.237	84	.13	
product.		Others	.261	.630	1.000	-1.27	1.79	
	Others	Single	616	.611	.946	-2.10	.87	
		Married	261	.630	1.000	-1.79	1.27	
Chinese electronic	Single	Married	.257	.248	.907	35	.86	
product could fulfill all of my needs.		Others	895	.755	.715	-2.73	.94	
	Married	Single	257	.248	.907	86	.35	
		Others	-1.152	.778	.425	-3.04	.74	
	Others	Single	.895	.755	.715	94	2.73	
		Married	1.152	.778	.425	74	3.04	
Chinese electronic	Single	Married	005	.223	1.000	55	.54	
product is reliability		Others	-1.070	.679	.354	-2.72	.58	
as expected.	Married	Single	.005	.223	1.000	54	.55	
		Others	-1.065	.699	.392	-2.77	.64	
	Others	Single	1.070	.679	.354	58	2.72	
		Married	1.065	.699	.392	64	2.77	
Chinese electronic	Single	Married	.671*	.201	.003	.18	1.16	
brand is well priced.		Others	.453	.613	1.000	-1.04	1.94	
	Married	Single	671*	.201	.003	-1.16	18	
		Others	217	.631	1.000	-1.75	1.32	
	Others	Single	453	.613	1.000	-1.94	1.04	
		Married	.217	.631	1.000	-1.32	1.75	
I think Chinese	Single	Married	.191	.218	1.000	34	.72	
brands have the		Others	244	.666	1.000	-1.86	1.37	
expertise in	Married	Single	191	.218	1.000	72	.34	
producing the		Others	435	.686	1.000	-2.10	1.23	
product.	Others	Single	.244	.666	1.000	-1.37	1.86	
		Married	.435	.686	1.000	-1.23	2.10	
I buy/use the	Single	Married	015	.304	1.000	75	.72	
electronic product		Others	-1.233	.927	.559	-3.49	1.02	
because it's a	Married	Single	.015	.304	1.000	72	.75	
Chinese brand.		Others	-1.217	.955	.615	-3.54	1.11	
	Others	Single	1.233	.927	.559	-1.02	3.49	
		Married	1.217	.955	.615	-1.11	3.54	

	-	-				95% Confidence	
			Mean			Interval	
	(I)	(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Dependent Variable	Status	Status	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I can get the same	Single	Married	.515	.253	.132	10	1.13
benefit from		Others	767	.770	.964	-2.64	1.11
Chinese brand when	Married	Single	515	.253	.132	-1.13	.10
compared to		Others	-1.283	.794	.327	-3.21	.65
another brand.	Others	Single	.767	.770	.964	-1.11	2.64
		Married	1.283	.794	.327	65	3.21
I believe that	Single	Married	023	.266	1.000	67	.62
Chinese electronic		Others	523	.810	1.000	-2.49	1.45
brand is	Married	Single	.023	.266	1.000	62	.67
contributing to the		Others	500	.835	1.000	-2.53	1.53
society.	Others	Single	.523	.810	1.000	-1.45	2.49
		Married	.500	.835	1.000	-1.53	2.53
I buy/use the	Single	Married	.362	.227	.342	19	.91
electronic product		Others	616	.692	1.000	-2.30	1.07
because its function	Married	Single	362	.227	.342	91	.19
or design.		Others	978	.713	.519	-2.71	.76
	Others	Single	.616	.692	1.000	-1.07	2.30
		Married	.978	.713	.519	76	2.71
I Choose Chinese	Single	Married	.433	.223	.164	11	.97
electronic because		Others	198	.679	1.000	-1.85	1.45
its reasonable price.	Married	Single	433	.223	.164	97	.11
		Others	630	.700	1.000	-2.33	1.07
	Others	Single	.198	.679	1.000	-1.45	1.85
		Married	.630	.700	1.000	-1.07	2.33
I like to choose	Single	Married	.209	.260	1.000	42	.84
electronic product		Others	.035	.791	1.000	-1.89	1.96
that made in China.	Married	Single	209	.260	1.000	84	.42
		Others	174	.816	1.000	-2.16	1.81
	Others	Single	035	.791	1.000	-1.96	1.89
		Married	.174	.816	1.000	-1.81	2.16
I think Chinese	Single	Married	.440	.272	.327	22	1.10
electronic is new,		Others	256	.829	1.000	-2.27	1.76
innovative.	Married	Single	440	.272	.327	-1.10	.22
		Others	696	.855	1.000	-2.77	1.38
	Others	Single	.256	.829	1.000	-1.76	2.27
		Married	.696	.855	1.000	-1.38	2.77

MeanMeanMeanImageImage(I)(J)DifferenceStd.LowerUpperDependent VariableStatusStatus(I-J)ErrorSig.BoundBoundChinese electronicSingleMarried.425.247.266.181.03product is good-Others.012.7531.000-1.821.84match to my imageMarriedSingle425.247.266-1.03.1.84and figure.OthersOthers413.7761.000-2.301.48I would recommendSingleMarried.178.2201.000-1.482.30I would recommendSingleMarried.178.2201.000366.71		_	-				95% Confidence	
				Mean			Interval	
Dependent VariableStatusStatus(I-J)ErrorSig.BoundBoundChinese electronicSingleMarried.425.247.266181.03product is goodOthers.012.7531.000-1.821.84match to my imageMarriedSingle425.247.266-1.031.84and figure.OthersOthers413.7761.000-2.301.48OthersSingle012.7531.000-1.841.82OthersSingle012.7531.000-1.482.30I would recommendSingleMarried.178.2201.000366.71to others to useOthersOthers430.6691.000-2.061.20		(I)	(J)	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Chinese electronic Single Married .425 .247 .266 18 1.03 product is good Others .012 .753 1.000 -1.82 1.84 match to my image Married Single 425 .247 .266 -1.03 1.84 and figure. Others Others 413 .776 1.000 -2.30 1.48 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -2.30 1.48 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 I would recommend Single Married .413 .776 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	Dependent Variable	Status	Status	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
product is good match to my image and figure. Married Single .012 .753 1.000 -1.82 1.84 Others Married Single 425 .247 .266 -1.03 .18 Others Others 413 .776 1.000 -2.30 1.48 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	Chinese electronic	Single	Married	.425	.247	.266	18	1.03
match to my image and figure. Married Single 425 .247 .266 -1.03 .18 Others Others 413 .776 1.000 -2.30 1.48 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 Married .413 .776 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	product is good		Others	.012	.753	1.000	-1.82	1.84
and figure. Others 413 .776 1.000 -2.30 1.48 Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 Married .413 .776 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	match to my image	Married	Single	425	.247	.266	-1.03	.18
Others Single 012 .753 1.000 -1.84 1.82 Married .413 .776 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	and figure.		Others	413	.776	1.000	-2.30	1.48
Married .413 .776 1.000 -1.48 2.30 I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20		Others	Single	012	.753	1.000	-1.84	1.82
I would recommend Single Married .178 .220 1.000 36 .71 to others to use Others 430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20			Married	.413	.776	1.000	-1.48	2.30
to others to use Others430 .669 1.000 -2.06 1.20	I would recommend	Single	Married	.178	.220	1.000	36	.71
	to others to use		Others	430	.669	1.000	-2.06	1.20
Chinese electronic Married Single178 .220 1.00071 .36	Chinese electronic	Married	Single	178	.220	1.000	71	.36
product. Others609 .690 1.000 -2.29 1.07	product.		Others	609	.690	1.000	-2.29	1.07
Others Single .430 .669 1.000 -1.20 2.06		Others	Single	.430	.669	1.000	-1.20	2.06
Married .609 .690 1.000 -1.07 2.29			Married	.609	.690	1.000	-1.07	2.29
I feeling I am Single Married .292 .205 .47421 .79	I feeling I am	Single	Married	.292	.205	.474	21	.79
satisfied with using Others186 .626 1.000 -1.71 1.34	satisfied with using		Others	186	.626	1.000	-1.71	1.34
Chinese electronic Married Single292 .205 .47479 .21	Chinese electronic	Married	Single	292	.205	.474	79	.21
product. Others478 .645 1.000 -2.05 1.09	product.		Others	478	.645	1.000	-2.05	1.09
Others Single .186 .626 1.000 -1.34 1.71		Others	Single	.186	.626	1.000	-1.34	1.71
Married .478 .645 1.000 -1.09 2.05			Married	.478	.645	1.000	-1.09	2.05
I would like to Single Married .365 .209 .25114 .87	I would like to	Single	Married	.365	.209	.251	14	.87
continue use Others -1.244 .636 .159 -2.79 .30	continue use Chinese electronic		Others	-1.244	.636	.159	-2.79	.30
Chinese electronic Married Single365 .209 .25187 .14		Married	Single	365	.209	.251	87	.14
product. Others -1.609* .655 .047 -3.2001	product.		Others	-1.609*	.655	.047	-3.20	01
Others Single 1.244 .636 .159 30 2.79		Others	Single	1.244	.636	.159	30	2.79
Married 1.609 [*] .655 .047 .01 3.20			Married	1.609*	.655	.047	.01	3.20
I am satisfied with Single Married .370 .216 .27016 .90	I am satisfied with	Single	Married	.370	.216	.270	16	.90
the quality of the Others 326 .659 1.000 -1.93 1.28	the quality of the		Others	326	.659	1.000	-1.93	1.28
product or service Married Single370 .216 .27090 .16	product or service	Married	Single	370	.216	.270	90	.16
of the Chinese Others 696 .680 .925 -2.35 .96	of the Chinese		Others	696	.680	.925	-2.35	.96
electronic brand. Others Single .326 .659 1.000 -1.28 1.93	electronic brand.	Others	Single	.326	.659	1.000	-1.28	1.93
Married .696 .680 .92596 2.35			Married	.696	.680	.925	96	2.35
Compared with Single Married006 .244 1.00060 .59	Compared with	Single	Married	006	.244	1.000	60	.59
other electronic Others -1.419 .744 .177 -3.23 .39	other electronic		Others	-1.419	.744	.177	-3.23	.39
brands, I am more Married Single .006 .244 1.00059 .60	brands, I am more	Married	Single	.006	.244	1.000	59	.60
satisfied with China $\underbrace{\text{Others}}_{\text{Others}}$ $\underbrace{-1.413}_{1.410}$ $\underbrace{./6/}_{7.44}$ $\underbrace{.204}_{1.77}$ $\underbrace{-3.28}_{2.0}$ $\underbrace{.45}_{2.22}$	satisfied with China	Others	Others Single	-1.413	.767	.204	-3.28	.45
Electronics. Married 1.413 767 204 - 45 3.28	Electronics.	Oulers	Married	1.419	.744	.177	39 45	3.23 3.28
Electronics. $Married 1413 767 204 - 45 328$	Electronics.	Others	Single Married	1.419 1.413	.744	.177	39 - 45	3.23

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.