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ABSTRACT
Craft beer is a rising trend all around the world at the moment. Especially in the Thai market, prior COVID-19 pandemic craft beer is a major trend for the beer drinker in Thai market. However, there is still a lack of research and information on Thai consumers toward craft beer intention to buy. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has heavily impacted the craft beer industry. It results in dramatically low consumption during the pandemic. This research study has the objective to explore consumer intention to buy craft beer in Thai Bangkok and metropolitan. Packaging, hedonic need, brand attitude, and innovativeness were studied toward the intention to buy craft beer (bottle/can). Besides, demographic and consumer characteristics were studied in this research.

The result represents novelty finding and occasionally buying shows positive influence toward intention to buy craft beer (bottle/can). The recommendation is developing new beer with unique flavour and promote special occasion to buy beer.
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## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pack 1, packaging 1 | Color of packaging of craft beer product (bottle/can) <br> matters to me in purchasing it |
| Pack 2, packaging 2 | Artwork and design of packaging builds a perception in <br> my mind about craft beer product. |
| Pack 3, packaging 3 | Artwork and design of packaging inspires me to purchase. |
| Pack 4, packaging 4 | The quality of packaging material of craft beer product <br> (bottle/can) means the product is better? |
| Pack 5, packaging 5 | I think product information on the package of craft beer <br> product (bottle/can) is important. |
| Pack 6, packaging 6 | I evaluate craft beer product (bottle/can) according to the <br> printed information while purchasing. |
| Pack 7, packaging 7 | I think attractive font styles used on the package of craft <br> beer product (bottle/can) inspires me to purchase. |
| Brand 1 | I buy a craft beer only the brand I know. |
| Brand 2 buy craft beer because I like the brand |  |
| Brand 3 | I think my chosen brand is good buy for money |
| Brand 4 | If my favorite brand launches a new craft beer product, I |
| Brand 5 will buy it. |  |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (cont.)

## Abbreviation

Inno 1, innovative 1

Inno 2, innovative 2

Inno 3, innovative 3

Inno 4, innovative 4
Inno 5, innovative 5
Inno 6, innovative 6
Inno 7, innovative 7

Price 1

Price 2

Price 3

Price 4

ITB

## Definition

In general, I am among the first in my circle of friends to buy a new craft beer when it appears.
If I heard that a new craft beer was available in the store, I would be interested enough to buy it
If a friend has newly released craft beer, I would ask to taste it.

I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer.
I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand.
I know and taste the craft beer before other people do
I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't know about it yet

I tend to buy the lowest-priced of craft beer that will fit my needs.
When buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available.
When it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price.
Price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft beer.
I am tending to buy craft beer within next 3 months

## CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

## Introduction

In recent years, a growing of craft beer market is increasing. Craft beer has been steadily gaining market share from the large national and international beer breweries. Most of the research has been focused on microbreweries and brewpubs. However, the operations offer only their in-house brewed beer. Rising of craft beer drinkers may be due to the trend, brewing technology, and spreading of knowledge of beer. Furthermore, social media has played an important role exploring of craft beer knowledge and leading to the gain of more drinkers over time. It is observed that people are willing to pay for their craft beer for many reasons. Hence, there is an opportunity for craft beer players to understand the consumer and develop the craft beer market by understanding the consumer.

There are many definitions of craft beer. For example, craft beer was defined into two variables which are the type of beer and the size of the production facility. By type of beer, craft beer can mean different varieties of beer-ale, stout, porter, even lager- but never brewed with adjuncts or artificial ingredients. Moreover, the Brewer association defined craft beer as small, independent, and traditional. Small mean brewing less than 6 million barrels per year. Independent means that less than $25 \%$ of the brewery is owned by a non-craft brewer. Traditional refers to a focus on beer that is made entirely or mostly from malt and not diluted with adjuncts like corn or rice. However, the Brewer Association changed its definition to include the limited use of adjuncts such as corn or rice in the brewing process in 2014.

As for Thailand, the craft beer market sector is growing rapidly. Even the law of Thailand is not supporting the brewing of a small volume of beer. However, people tend to find craft beer from the international brand to consumer on many occasions. Moreover, a main Thai players company launched many products into the craft beer market in recent 5 years. Therefore, there is room for opportunities to grow
in this market sector of the beer industry. However, with the outbreak of the COVID19 pandemic and its implicated economic disruption, the beer industry has markedly been impacted; the lockdown of pub bars and restaurants for public consumption make rapidly decreased total beer consumption as a consequence. However, private consumption of beer is still high in demand in the Thai market. It seems that consumer change their channel of buying beer. Therefore, the marketer of a beer company needs to deeply understand customer demand and also factors that can influence consumer intention to buy.

## CHAPTER II PURPOSE OF STUDY

## Purpose of study

The goal of purpose will reflect the statement of the problem and to find out what is known, what is not, and what variables can develop further. There are several purposes of this research study. The first purpose is to explore and take advantage of the research factors that can influence the intention to buy craft beer. It will help to know and understand different attitudes, psychology, and behavior among Thai craft beer drinkers. Second, this study can also give information on the characteristics of Thai craft beer buyers as well as many different characteristics. Lastly, recommendations will be given according to the survey result.

In terms of the benefits of this study, after the survey result is conducted, three benefits will get from this study. First, survey results can give information about customers who can be segmented as target customers and also know characteristics that can be influenced by mentioned constructs toward intention to buy craft beer. Secondly, craft beer marketers can understand more about the customer attitude and behavior during buying craft beer market. So, craft beer marketers can stimulate the intention to buy craft beer from the consumer by understanding constructs in this research. The third benefit is that craft beer marketers can create strategic proposals for craft beer products which is increasing the intention to buy from consumers from the data analysis.

However, this research study is also considered to benefit four parties that might be able to get the benefit. First is the private sector such as entrepreneurs, investors, business owners, and craft beer marketers. The second is the public sector which includes the physiological department, the retailer department, the packaging designer, and also the commercial department. Moreover, this study can also benefit all researchers such as marketing consultants, R\&D, consumer insight analysts, and also anyone who might be interested in the topic.

## CHAPTER III LITERATURE REVIEWS

### 3.1 Craft beer

In the 1990s there was a rise in microbreweries in the U.S. beer industry. It makes consumers demand more variety of beer selection; beer products innovations and opportunities to expand employment (Carroll \& Swaminathan, 2000). There is no clear definition of the characteristics between the mass beer market and the craft beer market. Craft beer is more about the drinking experience, but mass beer consumption is considered utility drinking (Gómez-Corona, Escalona-Buendía, García, Chollet, \& Valentin, 2016). One definition of craft beer perceptions includes six dimensions which are a multi-sensory experience, a focal point of consumption usually at home or special event or activity, a product of high quality and local production, focus on attributes of the product (such as flavor, texture, packaging), consume either alone or very selective co-consumers, low availability, and to non-connoisseurs is expensive and impractical (Gómez-Corona, et al., 2016)

An important characteristic of craft beer perception is its identity. This identity has been expanding by both marketers and consumers. The differentiation of the brand will be one of the key strategies for product differentiation to create its own identity. Differentiation elements can be found in the entrepreneur personality who produces a craft beer.

### 3.2 Packaging

Packaging is one element in the product that is considered in the one marketing mix strategy. Kotler 2017 defines marketing strategies as the set of tactical marketing tools that the firm blends to produce the response it wants in the target market. The tactical marketing tools consist of every activity from the company to connect to consumers and serve value to customers. It can be divided into four major
categories which are product, price, place, and promotion. Kotler (2017) explains that not only offered a tangible object considered as a want or need for the consumer, but also includes services, events, persons, places, organizations, and ideas or a mixture of these. Therefore, marketing activities can also refer to varieties of many elements such as quality of product, design, features, brand name, services, and finally packaging.

In terms of physical products, packaging implies a physical container that is around the item itself, which holds, protects, preserves and identifies the product as well as facilitates its handling and commercialization (Giovannetti, 1995). Packaging can be categorized into three categories which are primary packaging, secondary packaging, and tertiary packaging. Primary packaging could be presented on designed at the selling point as a product unit. It is a directly touching point to consumers, such as in this study is a bottle or can of craft beer. Secondary packaging refers to containing one or more primary packages. It is for either to be sold as a set or to keep many units of products simply together, such as a pack of 2-beer cans. Tertiary packaging contains two primary and secondary packages. It is for managing and transporting several product units in a secure way such as carton boxes containing beer bottles. Silayoi and Speece (2004) state that packaging can be categorized and divided into two types of packaging: visual and information type. Visual elements refer to many visual designs such as colors, layout, typography, and product photography. It combined to create an image, but also to size and shape. These visual types usually enhance more to the affective side of decision-making. Informational elements can be packaging information such as product, brand, and nutritional information, which relate to the cognitive side of decision making.

### 3.3 Hedonic consumption

According to Hirschman \& Holbrook (1982), hedonic consumption defines as various dimensions of consumer behavior that relate to the multi-sensory, fantasy, and emotional experience with products. Multisensory means the perception of experience in various sensory dimensions which include tastes sounds, scents, tactile impressions, and visual images. In addition, emotional arousal is related to another type of response to hedonic consumption. Emotions represent in many factors
motivational phenomena. They can be feelings such as joy, jealousy, fear, rage, and rapture. Emotive responses represent both physiological mind and body action. Furthermore, hedonic motivation can be linked to utilitarian consumption in terms of task orientation. The task is considered hedonic fulfillment, such as experiencing amusement, fun, fantasy, and sensory stimulation (Babin, Darden, \& Griffin, 1994). The hedonic and sensory value of food or product can also be influenced by former experiences, advertising, information, and labels (Botelho et al., 2017; Sester et al., 2013). The expectation of a product can be indicated as hedonic consumption, which is related to the hedonic judgment of the subsequent stimulus (Napolitano et al., 2010; Zellner et al., 2004). The sensory characteristics play an important role in product consumption and product preferences. The sensory attributes of food products that most influence consumers are aroma, flavor, texture, color, and temperature (Daems and Delvaux, 1997).

### 3.4 Brand attitude

Attitude toward a brand of a product plays important role in marketing mix strategies. Attitude can be divided into three stages of dimensions which are affective, cognitive, and conative. The affective dimension refers to emotional response, feeling, and thought about a particular product/service. The cognitive dimension relates to knowledge about a particular product/service. It also influences beliefs on product/service. The conative dimension refers to someone's attitude that can be reflected in the person's action/behavior on a certain product/service.

Wilkie (1986) and Keller (1993) conduct consumer's attitudes toward a particular brand. They measured consumers' overall evaluation of that brand and forms the basis of the brand by the behavior of the consumers. Many studies found that the perception of brand image and experiences are the main factors of attitude toward brand perception (Carpenter and Nakamoto, 1989; Alpert and Kamins, 1995; Martinez and Chernatony, 2004; Ghen and Liu, 2004).
Consumer's brand attitude will influence their intention to buy in many ways. Flahery and Papps(2000) found that attitude toward a core brand is the main factor in decisionmaking on the purchase intention of products.

### 3.5 Innovativeness

Consumers approach products in many ways. Some consumers want to try out new products. For others, it means taking unnecessary risks. The most innovative consumers are most willing to try new products and take a high risk in doing it. In the case of craft beer, innovative craft beer drinkers will likely try new beer. According to the diffusion of innovations theory by Rogers (1995), there are five distinct groups of people that can be identified as innovative they are. The first groups are innovators, which are the most innovative members of the population. The second is called the pioneers who are also very innovative. The third group is called the early majority who are somewhat interested in innovations. The fourth group is called the late majority and consists of people who are not interested in keeping up with innovations. The fifth group is called laggards who are those that are the last to pick on an innovation. Innovative can be determined as innate innovativeness and domainspecific innovativeness. Innate innovativeness is the level of overall innovativeness that a person is born with. Domain-specific innovativeness is the level of innovativeness in a specific product category. Domain-specific innovativeness is usually a better predictor of a consumer's tendency to purchase new products in that category than innate innovativeness (Bartels \& Reinders, 2010). When measuring domain-specific innovativeness, only the innovativeness of the category in question is taken into account, in this study will include only craft beer, no other products or product categories are included or compared.

### 3.6 Price Consciousness

The degree, to which the consumer is willing to pay for a product, or pay more, is largely correlated with their price sensitivity and overall purchase behaviors and characteristics. Lichtenstein et al. (1988) explain the cognitive process of how consumers perceive prices of products and convert them into meaningful thoughts or actions, or how consumers respond to and evaluate price. Consumer individuals encode price and the perceived message uniquely. Prices were translated into more personal and psychological on objective pricing. The individual consumer interprets price and perceives price differently which depends on many factors such as previous
experiences memory, socio-economic, and demographic characteristics. Lichtenstein, et al. (1988) defined price-conscious as price acceptability or the decision-making of price stored in memory. A price consciousness shopper is a person who determines the greater price from the acceptable price in their perception to pay. They may refuse to buy it. Moreover, the price consciousness shopper will refuse to buy for unique features of a product if the higher price for the features is not considered significantly different (Lichtenstein., et al., 1988). A former study found that the more priceconscious a consumer is, then the less willing to buy a product. Therefore, priceconscious is showing a negative relationship with willingness to pay (Johansson, 1985; Lichtenstein et al., 1993).

### 3.7 Conceptual framework

According to the literature review discussion, a conceptual framework has been created. The conceptual framework is presented in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1 theoretical framework of this study

## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 4.1 Sample

The sampling method in this research is using convenience sampling. The sample size of the research is equal to 122 . Survey questionnaires will be sent to craft beer drinkers who have age above 20 years old due to Thai regulation of alcohol drinkers. Survey questionnaires will give via google form as an online survey and distribute the link to access the google form via social media platforms such as Facebook, Line application, and what's app. The respondents have mainly focused on craft beer drinkers in Bangkok metropolitan (Nakhon Pathom, Pathum Thani, Nonthaburi, Samut Prakan, or Samut Sakhon). However, the target population will exclude non-beer drinkers in Bangkok and also beer drinkers who are not living in Bangkok and the metropolitan.

### 4.2 Scale and measures

A quantitative study is conducted for this research. The online surveys are conducted via Google forms. The purpose of the quantitative study is to explore the levels of impact in each variable on the intention to buy craft beer. Collecting data in each variable, five sets of questions were asked based on the literature review. There is a screening question to screen only the target respondents to get the accurate result from real consumers of craft beer. Moreover, general questions were asked to see the characteristic of consumer behaviors toward craft beer consumption. Furthermore, variable questions were asked randomly. In this study, the intention to buy craft beer is set as a dependent construct. There are five independents construct were conducted in this research study. The random question variables are in scope of packaging, brand attitude, innovativeness, hedonic need, and price consciousness. A four-point Likert scale is used to measure the degree of agreement that ranges from 1 (strongly disagree)
to 4 (strongly agree). Moreover, the survey also collects data on demographics which are age group, gender, income, status, education, and occupation, to identify the characteristic that might associate buying craft beer.

### 4.3 Statistic analysis

After results from survey are received, the results are analyzed by SPSS program which show relationship between intention to buy and 5 constructs. These ultimately helped to define some recommendations with regards to consumers' intention to buy craft beer in Bangkok and the metropolitan area.

## CHAPTER V <br> RESULT FINDING

### 5.1 Demographics

For the quantitative of this study, a total of 122 samples were collected. All of the respondents are Thais. The respondent's data were used SPSS system for analysis.

Table 5.1 Demographics of 122 respondents.

| No | Details | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Under 20 | 2 | 1.6 |
|  | $20-22$ | 4 | 3.3 |
|  | $23-27$ | 12 | 9.8 |
|  | $28-35$ | 82 | 67.2 |
|  | $36-45$ | 20 | 16.4 |
|  | $46-55$ | 2 | 1.6 |
| Gender | Male | 80 | 65.6 |
|  | Female | 34 | 27.9 |
|  | LGBTQ+ | 8 | 6.6 |
|  | $0-7,500$ baht | 2 | 1.6 |
|  | $7,501-18,000$ baht | 6 | 4.9 |
|  | $18,001-24,000$ baht | 10 | 8.2 |
|  | $24,001-35,000$ baht | 14 | 11.5 |
|  | $35,001-50,000$ baht | 34 | 27.9 |
|  | $50,001-85,000$ baht | 32 | 26.2 |
|  | $85,001-160,000$ baht | 22 | 18.0 |
|  | More than 160,000 baht | 2 | 1.6 |

Table 5.1 Demographics of $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ respondents (cont.)

| No | Details | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single with no child | 90 | 73.8 |
|  | Single with Child/Children | 4 | 3.3 |
|  | Married with no Child/Children | 14 | 11.5 |
|  | Married with Child/Children | 14 | 11.5 |
| Education | Employee in private company/ state | 106 | 86.9 |
|  | enterprise/Government officer |  |  |
|  | Business Owner | 12 | 9.8 |
|  | Student | 4 | 3.3 |
|  | Hagh school or lower | 2 | 1.6 |
|  | Higher bachelor | 62 | 50.8 |

Table 5.1 shows age ranges from all 122 respondents, 2 respondents $(1.6 \%)$ have age under 20. 4 respondents (3.3\%) have age 20-22. 12 respondents ( $9.8 \%$ ) have age between 23-27. 82 respondents ( $67.2 \%$ ) have age between 28-35. 20 respondents ( $16.4 \%$ ) have age between $36-45$. 2 respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ) have age between 46-55.

Gender from all 122 respondents, 80 respondents are male ( $65.6 \%$ ). 34 respondents are female ( $27.9 \%$ ). 8 respondents are LGBTQ+ (6.6\%).

Income of respondents, 2 respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ) has income lower than 7,500 baht. 6 respondents( $4.9 \%$ ) have income between 7,501-18,000. 10 respondents( $8.2 \%$ ) have income between $18,001-24,000$. 14 respondents( $11.5 \%$ ) have income between $24,001-35,000.34$ respondents( $27.9 \%$ ) have income between 35,001-50,000. 32 respondents( $26.2 \%$ ) have income between 50,001-85,000. 22 respondents( $18.0 \%$ ) have income between $85,001-160,000.2$ respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ) have income more than 160,000 baht.

Marital status from 122 respondents, 90 respondents (73.8\%) are single with no child. 4 respondents ( $3.3 \%$ ) are single with child/children. 14 respondents
(11.5\%) are married with no child. 14 respondents (11.5\%) are married with child/children.

Occupations from 122 respondents, 106 respondents ( $86.9 \%$ ) are employee in private company/ state enterprise. 12 respondents ( $9.8 \%$ ) are business owner. 4 respondents (3.3\%) are students.

Education of 122 respondents, high school or lower level of respondents has 2 respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ). Respondents have bachelor degree with 62 respondents $(50.8 \%)$. Respondents have higher bachelor degree with 58 respondents ( $47.5 \%$ ).


Figure 5.1 Pie graph of percentage of respondent's demographics.

Table 5.2 Descriptive of demographics of $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ respondents

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 122 | 1 | 6 | 3.98 | 0.782 |
| Gender | 122 | 1 | 3 | 1.41 | 0.613 |
| Income | 122 | 1 | 8 | 5.18 | 1.505 |
| Status | 122 | 1 | 4 | 1.61 | 1.080 |
| Occupation | 122 | 1 | 4 | 1.20 | 0.598 |
| Education | 122 | 1 | 3 | 2.46 | 0.532 |

Table 5.2 shows that samples size has characteristic as age mostly 28-35, gender mostly male respondents, income average $35,001-50,000$ baht/ month, status mostly single with no child, occupation mostly employee in private company/ state enterprise/Government officer and education mostly bachelor.

### 5.2 General question

General questions were asked about respondents' behaviors toward drinking craft beer. The result is shown in the bellowed table.

Table 5.3 General questions of $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ respondents' behavior.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| How often do | Everyday | 2 | 1.6 |
| you drink a craft | 4-5 days per week | 8 | 6.6 |
| beer bottle/can? | Once a week | 36 | 29.5 |
|  | twice a month | 30 | 24.6 |
|  | Once a month | 18 | 14.8 |
|  | Less than once a month | 28 | 23.0 |

Table 5.3 General questions of $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ respondents' behavior (cont.)

|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Where do you | Supermarket | 50 | 41.0 |
| usually buy craft | Convenience Store | 14 | 11.5 |
| beer? | Specialty beer store | 44 | 36.1 |
|  | Restaurant | 10 | 8.2 |
|  | Buy online | 4 | 3.3 |
| How much do | Less than 100 baht | 16 | 13.1 |
| you usually | $101-500$ | 74 | 60.7 |
| spend per week | $501-1,000$ | 24 | 19.7 |
| on craft beer | $1,001-1,500$ | 2 | 1.6 |
| bottle/can? | More than 1,500 | 6 | 4.9 |
| What type of | Big bottle (600-650ml or more) | 16 | 13.1 |
| packaging size | Small bottle (300-350ml or less) | 50 | 41.0 |
| do you usually | Big Can (450-500ml or more) | 18 | 14.8 |
| buy craft beer | Small Can (300-350ml or less) | 4 | 3.3 |
| bottle/can? | I do not concern packaging size | 34 | 27.9 |
|  | and packaging type when I buy |  |  |
|  | craft beer. |  |  |
| How many units | 1-3 units | 92 | 75.4 |
| bottle/can do | $4-6$ units | 22 | 18.0 |
| you usually buy | $7-10$ units | 4 | 3.3 |
| craft beer? | More than 13 unit (ex. beer case) | 4 | 3.3 |

Table 5.3 shows drinking frequency from all 122 respondents, 2 respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ) drink craft beer bottle/can every day. 8 respondents ( $6.6 \%$ ) drink craft beer bottle/can 4-5 days per week. 36 respondents ( $29.5 \%$ ) craft beer bottle/can once a week. 30 respondents ( $24.6 \%$ ) craft beer bottle/can twice a month. 18 respondents ( $14.8 \%$ ) craft beer bottle/can once a month. 28 respondents ( $23.0 \%$ ) craft beer bottle/can less than once a month.

Place to buy from all 122 respondents, 50 respondents buy craft beer bottle/can at supermarket $(41 \%) .14$ respondents buy craft beer bottle/can at convenience store ( $11.5 \%$ ). 44 respondents buy craft beer bottle/can at specialty beer store ( $36.1 \%$ ). 10 respondents buy craft beer bottle/can at restaurant ( $8.2 \%$ ). 4 respondents buy craft beer bottle/can from online channel (3.3\%).

Spending for craft beer bottle/can per week of respondents, 16 respondents ( $13.1 \%$ ) spend less than 100 baht per week for craft beer bottle/can. 74 respondents $(60.7 \%)$ spend 101-500 baht per week. 24 respondents ( $19.7 \%$ ) spend $501-1,000$ baht per week. 2 respondents ( $1.6 \%$ ) spend 1,001-1,500 baht per week. 6 respondents $(4.9 \%)$ spend more than 1,500 baht per week.

Package size of craft beer bottle/can from 122 respondents, 16 respondents ( $13.1 \%$ ) buy craft beer in big bottle ( $600-650 \mathrm{ml}$ or more). 50 respondents $(41.0 \%$ ) buy craft beer in small bottle ( $300-350 \mathrm{ml}$ or less). 18 respondents ( $14.8 \%$ ) buy craft beer in big Can ( $450-500 \mathrm{ml}$ or more). 4 respondents ( $3.3 \%$ ) buy craft beer in small Can (300350 ml or less). 34 respondents ( $27.9 \%$ ) do not concern packaging size and packaging type when they buy craft beer.

Amount of unit of craft beer bottle/can from 122 respondents, 92 respondents ( $75.4 \%$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can 1-3 units. 22 respondents (19\%) buy craft beer bottle/can 4-6 units. 4 respondents (3.3\%) buy craft beer bottle/can 7-10 units. 4 respondents ( $3.3 \%$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can more than 13 units.


Figure 5.2 Pie graph of percentage of respondents on general questions

Table 5.4 Descriptive of general questions

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking <br> craft beer <br> frequency | 122 | 1 | 6 | 4.13 | 1.330 |
| Place to buy <br> craft beer | 122 | 1 | 5 | 2.21 | 1.166 |
| Spending per <br> week for | 122 | 1 | 5 | 2.25 | 0.884 |
| craft beer <br> bottle/can | 122 | 1 | 5 | 2.92 | 1.447 |
| Packaging <br> Size | 122 | 1 | 5 | 1.38 | 0.836 |
| Buying unit <br> per one time |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.4 shows the general information for descriptive shows that samples size mostly drink craft beer bottle/can once a week, buy craft beer bottle/can at a supermarket, buying 101-500 THB per week, usually buy craft beer in a small bottle ( $300-350 \mathrm{ml}$ or less) and mostly buy craft beer bottle/can 1-3 units per one time shopping.

### 5.3 Descriptive of survey questionnaire

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward variables for finding trend of consumer agreement

### 5.3.1 Descriptive of packaging variable

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward packaging variable.

Table 5.5 Descriptive of packaging variable

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack5) I think <br> product information <br> on the package of <br> craft beer product <br> (bottle/can) is | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.46 | 0.670 |
| important. <br> (Pack2) Artwork and | 122 |  |  |  |  |
| design of packaging <br> builds a perception <br> in my mind about |  | 1 | 4 | 3.43 | 0.802 |
| craft beer product. <br> (Pack3) Artwork and <br> design of packaging | 122 |  |  |  |  |
| inspires me to |  |  |  |  |  |
| purchase. <br> (Pack1) Color of | 122 |  |  |  |  |
| packaging craft beer |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.5 Descriptive of packaging variable (cont.)

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack7) I think <br> attractive font styles | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.95 | 0.917 |
| used on the package <br> of craft beer product <br> (bottle/can) inspires <br> me to purchase. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack6) I evaluate <br> craft beer product <br> (bottle/can) | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.87 | 0.823 |
| according to the <br> printed information <br> while purchasing. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack4) The quality <br> of packaging <br> material of craft beer <br> product (bottle/can) <br> means the product is <br> better. | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.66 | 1.010 |

Table 5.5 shows in term of packaging, respondents highly agree on question in packaging 5 , packaging 2, packaging 3 and packaging 1. It shows that respondents highly agree on product information on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is important with mean score 3.46. However, respondents slightly disagree in statement on question packaging 7, packaging 6 and packaging 4 which least agree on the quality of packaging material of craft beer product (bottle/can) means the product is better with mean score 2.66 .

### 5.3.2 Descriptive of brand attitude variable

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward brand attitude variable.

Table 5.6 Descriptive of brand attitude variable

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Brand4) If my <br> favorite brand <br> launches a new craft <br> beer product, I will <br> buy it. <br> (Brand3) I think my <br> chosen brand is good <br> buy for money | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.38 | 0.816 |
| (Brand5) I think I <br> will drink my <br> favorite craft brand <br> in the upcoming 1-2 | 122 |  | 1 | 4 | 3.31 | 0.80 .804

In addition, table 5.6 shows brand attitude has highly agreed on question brand 4 , brand 3 and brand 5 . The highest mean score is brand 4 question with mean score 3.38. It shows that respondents highly agree with statement of if my favorite brand launches a new craft beer product, I will buy it. In other hand, the least mean
score is brand 1 question which means that respondent strongly disagree in statement I buy a craft beer only the brand I know. The respondents also slightly disagree on question brand 2 as well.

### 5.3.3 Descriptive of hedonic need variable

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward hedonic need variable.

Table 5.7 Descriptive of hedonic need variable

|  | Number of respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Hedonic 1) I buy | 122 | 2 | 4 | 3.77 | 0.494 |
| craft beer for beer tasting |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic5) I drink | 122 | 2 | 4 | 3.59 | 0.665 |
| craft beer for my |  |  |  |  |  |
| enjoyment |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic4) I buy | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.26 | 0.925 |
| craft beer for finding |  |  |  |  |  |
| a unique beer |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic3) I drink | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.25 | 0.939 |
| craft beer for my |  |  |  |  |  |
| special occasion |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic2) I buy | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.15 | 0.924 |
| craft beer for |  |  |  |  |  |
| learning more about |  |  |  |  |  |
| the beer |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables 5.7 shows in term of hedonic needs, respondent highly agree on every question. The highest mean score of hedonic need is hedonic 1 which state as I buy craft beer for beer tasting.

### 5.3.4 Descriptive of innovation variable

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward innovation variable.

Table 5.8 Descriptive of innovativeness variable

|  | Number of respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Inno3) If a friend | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.26 | 0.907 |
| has newly released |  |  |  |  |  |
| craft beer, I would |  |  |  |  |  |
| ask to taste it. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will buy a | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.23 | 0.860 |
| new craft beer even |  |  |  |  |  |
| if I haven't known |  |  |  |  |  |
| about it yet |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno2) If I heard | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.18 | 0.863 |
| that a new craft beer |  |  |  |  |  |
| was available in the |  |  |  |  |  |
| store, I would be |  |  |  |  |  |
| interested enough to |  |  |  |  |  |
| buy it |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno5) I like to buy | 122 | 1 | 4 | 3.07 | 0.869 |
| a craft beer put out |  |  |  |  |  |
| by new brand. |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno4) I usually | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.93 | 0.869 |
| prefer new craft beer |  |  |  |  |  |
| over classic, oldie |  |  |  |  |  |
| beer. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.8 Descriptive of innovativeness variable (cont.)

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Inno1) In general, I <br> am among the first <br> in my circle of <br> friends to buy a new <br> craft beer when it | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.46 | 0.937 |
| appears. <br> (Inno6) I know and | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.16 | 1.047 |
| taste the craft beer <br> before other people |  |  |  |  |  |
| do |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables 5.8 shows in term of innovativeness, respondents agree on question innovative 3 , innovative 7 , innovative 2 and innovative 5 . The highest mean score is the innovative 3 question with 3.26. However, innovative 4, innovative 1 and innovative 6 are concerned as slightly disagree. The least mean score is 2.16 on the innovative 6 question. It shows that respondents disagree on knowing and tasting the craft beer before other people do.

### 5.3.5 Descriptive of price consciousness variable

The answers from 122 respondents are run for descriptive toward price consciousness variable.

Table 5.9 Descriptive of price consciousness variable

|  | Number of <br> respondents | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Price3) When it <br> comes to buying <br> craft beer, I rely <br> heavily on price. | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.51 | 0.920 |
| (Price4) Price is the <br> most important <br> factor when I am <br> choosing a craft | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.39 | 0.967 |
| beer. <br> (Price 1) I tend to <br> buy the lowest- <br> priced of craft beer <br> that will fit my | 122 | 1 | 4 | 2.36 | 0.873 |
| needs. <br> (Price2) When |  |  |  |  |  |
| buying craft beer, I <br> look for the cheapest <br> brand available. | 122 | 1 | 4 | 1.74 | 0.769 |

Tables 5.9 shows in term of price consciousness, respondents strongly disagree on price 2 question which state as when buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available with mean score 1.74 . Moreover, respondents slightly disagree on question price 3 , price 4 and price 1 .

### 5.4 Reliability analysis

The internal consistency of the constructs used in the questionnaire was assessed through Cronbach's Alpha. The results are presented in Table 5.9

Table 5.10 Reliability analysis

| Variables | Cronbach's Alpha | Items |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Packaging | 0.747 | 7 |
| Brand Attitude | 0.641 | 5 |
| Hedonic need | 0.527 | 5 |
| Innovativeness | 0.826 | 7 |
| Price consciousness | 0.769 | 4 |

Table 5.10 shows Cronbach's alpha was used to check the internal consistency of variables. A reliability coefficient value should be more than 0.40 is an acceptable condition as presented in the table. Therefore, packaging, brand attitude, hedonic need, innovativeness, and price consciousness have Cronbach's alpha value of more than 0.4 which means these questionnaires are appropriate.

### 5.5 Test of differences analysis

In this study, one-way ANOVA was used for finding the differences between variable questions, general questions, and demographics. 26 differences in relations were found among the variable questions, general questions, and demographics in Table 5.11.

Table 5.11 Test of differences analysis

| No | Factors | Variables | Question <br> no. | Sig. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Age | Place to buy |  | Where do you usually <br> buy craft beer <br> (bottle/can)? | 0.012 |

Table 5.11 Test of differences analysis (cont.)

| No | Factors | Variables | Question no. |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | Brand attitude | 3 | I think my chosen brand is good buy for money | 0.003 |
| 3 |  | Innovativeness | 4 | I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer. | 0.003 |
| 4 | Gender | Place to buy |  | Where do you usually buy craft beer (bottle/can)? | 0.027 |
| 5 |  | Spending per week |  | How much do you usually spend per week on craft beer (bottle/can)? | 0.001 |
| 6 |  | Amount of unit |  | How many units (bottle/can) do you usually buy craft beer (bottle/can) per week? | 0.000 |
| 7 |  | Brand attitude | 5 | I think I will drink my favorite craft brand in the upcoming 1-2 month. | 0.001 |
| 8 |  | Price consciousness | 2 | When buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available. | 0.012 |
| 9 |  | Price consciousness | 3 | When it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price. | 0.000 |
| 10 |  | Price consciousness | 4 | Price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft beer. | 0.015 |

Table 5.11 Test of differences analysis (cont.)

| No | Factors | Variables | Question <br> no. |  | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Income | Packaging | 5 | I think product <br> information on the <br> package of craft beer <br> product (bottle/can) is |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.11 Test of differences analysis (cont.)

| No | Factors | Variables | Question no. |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  | Hedonic needs | 4 | I buy craft beer for finding a unique beer | 0.003 |
| 20 |  | Innovativeness | 7 | I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't know about it yet | 0.000 |
| 21 | Education | Packaging | 5 | I think product information on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is important. | 0.011 |
| 22 |  | Brand attitude | 3 | I think my chosen brand is good buy for money | 0.015 |
| 23 |  | Hedonic needs | 1 | I buy craft beer for beer tasting | 0.015 |
| 24 |  | Innovativeness | 5 | I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand. | 0.006 |
| 25 |  | Innovativeness | 7 | I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't know about it yet | 0.000 |
| 26 |  | Price consciousness | 3 | When it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price. | 0.001 |

### 5.5.1 Test of difference among age group

Test of difference in drinking frequency, a place to buy, brand attitude, innovativeness, and price consciousness among age groups (One way-ANOVA).

Table 5.12 ANOVA test of difference among age group

|  |  | Sum of |  | Mean |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Squares | df | Square | F | Sig. |
| Where to Buy | Between Groups | 19.353 | 5 | 3.871 | 3.094 | 0.012 |
|  | Within Groups | 145.106 | 116 | 1.251 |  |  |
|  | Total | 164.459 | 121 |  | 3.766 | 0.003 |
| (Brand3) I think | Between Groups | 10.915 | 5 | 2.183 |  |  |
| my chosen brand | Within Groups | 67.249 | 116 | 0.580 |  |  |
| is good buy for money | Total | 78.164 | 121 |  | 3.762 | 0.003 |
| (Inno4) I usually | Between Groups | 12.765 | 5 | 2.553 |  |  |
| prefer new craft | Within Groups | 78.711 | 116 | 0.679 |  |  |
| beer over classic, oldie beer. | Total | 91.475 | 121 |  |  |  |

Table 5.12 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.13 Descriptive test among age group

|  |  |  | Std. | Std. | Sig. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N |  | Deviation | Error |  |
| Where to Buy | $23-27$ | 12 | 2.83 | 0.937 | 0.271 | 0.022 |
|  | $28-35$ | 82 | 2.34 | 1.229 | 0.136 | 0.047 |
|  | $36-45$ | 20 | 1.50 | 0.688 | 0.154 |  |

Table 5.13 Descriptive test among age group (cont.)

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Brand3) I think | 23-27 | 12 | 4.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| my chosen brand | 28-35 | 82 | 3.22 | 0.786 | 0.087 |  |
| is good buy for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| money |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno4) I usually | 23-27 | 12 | 3.67 | 0.492 | 0.142 | 0.027 |
| prefer new craft | 28-35 | 82 | 2.85 | 0.904 | 0.100 |  |
| beer over classic, | 36-45 | 20 | 2.60 | 0.681 | 0.152 | 0.008 |
| oldie beer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.13 can interpret result place to buy craft beer bottle/can among age group has 2 differences in age group 23-27 (mean=2.83, S.D. $=0.937$ ) usually buy craft beer at specialty beer store when compared to age group 36-45 which buy craft beer at supermarket and convenience store(mean=1.5, S.D. $=0.688$ ). Moreover, age group 28-35 (mean=2.34, S.D. $=1.229$ ) usually buy craft beer at specialty beer store and convenience store when compared to age group $36-45$ which buy craft beer at supermarket and convenience store(mean=1.5, S.D. $=0.688$ ).

In a statement I think my chosen brand is good buy for money, it has 1 difference. Age group 23-27(mean=4.00, S.D. $=0.000$ ) has higher agree on chosen craft beer brand is good for their money when compared to age group 28-35 (mean=3.22, S.D. $=0.786$ ).

In a statement I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer, it has 2 differences. Age group 23-27(mean=3.67, S.D. $=0.492$ ) has higher agree on prefer new craft beer over classic beer when compared to age group 28-35 (mean=2.85, S.D. $=0.904$ ). Moreover, age group 23-27(mean=3.67, S.D. $=0.492$ ) has higher agree on prefer new craft beer over classic beer when compared to age group 36-45 $($ mean $=2.60$, S.D. $=0.681)$

### 5.5.2 Test of difference among gender group

Test of difference of place to buy, spending per week, amount of units, brand attitude, and price consciousness among gender groups (One way-ANOVA).

Table 5.14 ANOVA test of difference among gender group

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean |  |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | df | Square | F |  |
| Where to Buy | Between Groups |  | 9.644 | 2 | 4.822 | 3.707 | 0.027 |
|  | Within Groups | 154.815 | 119 | 1.301 |  |  |
|  | Total | 164.459 | 121 |  | 7.239 | 0.001 |  |
| Spending per week | Between Groups | 10.264 | 2 | 5.132 |  |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 84.359 | 119 | 0.709 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 94.623 | 121 |  |  |  |  |
| Amount Unit | Between Groups | 10.165 | 2 | 5.082 | 8.119 | 0.000 |  |
|  | Within Groups | 74.491 | 119 | 0.626 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 84.656 | 121 |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand5) I think I will | Between Groups | 9.797 | 2 | 4.899 | 7.266 | 0.001 |  |
| drink my favorite craft | Within Groups | 80.235 | 119 | 0.674 |  |  |  |
| brand in the upcoming | Total | 90.033 | 121 |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 month. |  |  |  |  | 4.583 | 0.012 |  |
| (Price2) When buying | Between Groups | 5.121 | 2 | 2.561 |  |  |  |
| craft beer, I look for | Within Groups | 66.485 | 119 | 0.559 |  |  |  |
| the cheapest brand available. | Total | 71.607 | 121 |  | 10.035 | 0.000 |  |
| (Price3) When it | Between Groups | 14.792 | 2 | 7.396 |  |  |  |
| comes to buying craft | Within Groups | 87.700 | 119 | 0.737 |  |  |  |
| beer, I rely heavily on price. | Total | 102.492 | 121 |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.14 ANOVA test of difference among gender group (cont.)

|  |  | Sum of |  | Mean |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Squares | df | Square | F | Sig. |
| (Price4) Price is the | Between Groups | 7.665 | 2 | 3.832 | 4.325 | 0.015 |
| most important factor | Within Groups | 105.450 | 119 | 0.886 |  |  |
| when I am choosing a | Total | 113.115 | 121 |  |  |  |
| craft beer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.14 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate

Table 5.15 Descriptive test among gender group


Table 5.15 Descriptive test among gender group (cont.)

|  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | Sig. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Price3) When it | Male | 80 | 2.65 | 0.943 | 0.105 | 0.001 |
| comes to buying craft | Female | 34 | 2.00 | 0.696 | 0.119 |  |
| beer, I rely heavily on |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| price. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Price4) Price is the | Male | 80 | 2.53 | 1.031 | 0.115 | 0.022 |
| most important factor <br> when I am choosing a | Female | 34 | 2.00 | 0.696 | 0.119 |  |
| craft beer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.15 shows the place to buy among gender group has 1 difference. The male group (mean $=2.08$, S.D. $=1.111$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can at a convenience store when compared to the female group (mean=2.65, S.D. $=1.252$ ) bought craft beer bottle/can both in a convenience store and specialty beer store.

Spending per week among the gender group has 1 difference. . Male group (mean $=2.05$, S.D. $=0.593$ ) usually buy craft beer bottle/can at $101-500$ baht when compared to the female group (mean=2.71, S.D. $=1.292$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can 5011,000 baht.

Amount unit of buying among gender group has 1 difference. . Male group (mean=1.18, S.D. $=0.444$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can 1-3 unit per time when compared to the female group (mean=1.82, S.D. $=1.314$ ) buy craft beer bottle/can both $4-6$ unit per time

In a statement I think I will drink my favorite craft brand in the upcoming 1-2 months, it has 1 difference. The male group (mean=3.00, S.D. $=0.928$ ) has less agree on drinking their favorite craft beer in the upcoming 1-2 months when compared to the female group (mean=3.41, S.D. $=0.609$ ).

In a statement when buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available, it has 1 difference. The male group (mean=1.88, S.D. $=0.817$ ) has a higher
agree on looking for the cheapest craft beer when buying compared to the female group (mean $=1.41$, S.D. $=0.500$ ).

In a statement when it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price, it has 1 difference. The female group (mean=2.00, S.D. $=0.696$ ) has less agree on buying craft beer relying on price when compared to the male group (mean=2.65, S.D. $=0.943$ ).

In a statement price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft beer, it has 1 difference. The male group (mean=2.53, S.D. $=1.031$ ) has a higher agreement on price is the most important factor when buying craft beer compared to the female group ( mean $=2.00$, S.D. $=0.696$ ).

### 5.5.3 Test of difference among income group

Test of difference in packaging, brand attitude, and hedonic needs among income groups (One way-ANOVA).

Table 5.16 ANOVA test of difference among income group

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack5) I think | Between Groups | 7.796 | 7 | 1.114 | 2.731 | 0.012 |
| product information | Within Groups | 46.499 | 114 | 0.408 |  |  |
| on the package of | Total | 54.295 | 121 |  |  |  |
| craft beer product |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (bottle/can) is |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| important. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand1) I buy a craft | Between Groups | 13.025 | 7 | 1.861 | 2.443 | 0.023 |
| beer only the brand I | Within Groups | 86.844 | 114 | 0.762 |  |  |
| know. | Total | 99.869 | 121 |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic2) I buy | Between Groups | 19.661 | 7 | 2.809 | 3.826 | 0.001 |
| craft beer for learning | Within Groups | 83.683 | 114 | 0.734 |  |  |
| more about the beer | Total | 103.344 | 121 |  |  |  |

Table 5.16 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.17 Descriptive test among income group

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack5) I think | 24,001-35,000 | 14 | 3.00 | 0.961 | 0.257 | 0.033 |
| product | 85,001- | 22 | 3.73 | 0.456 | 0.097 |  |
| information on | 160,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| important. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand1) I buy a | 18,001-24,000 | 10 | 1.40 | 0.516 | 0.163 | 0.046 |
| craft beer only the | 35,001-50,000 | 34 | 2.41 | 0.925 | 0.159 | 0.029 |
| brand I know. | 50,001-85,000 | 32 | 1.69 | 0.859 | 0.152 |  |
| (Hedonic2) I buy | 35,001-50,000 | 34 | 2.59 | 0.783 | 0.134 | 0.009 |
| craft beer for | 50,001-85,000 | 32 | 3.38 | 0.942 | 0.166 |  |
| learning more | 85,001- | 22 | 3.36 | 0.790 | 0.168 |  |
| about the beer | 160,000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.17 shows a statement I think product information on the package of craft beer products (bottle/can) is important. It has 1 difference. 85,001-160,000 group (mean $=3.73$, S.D. $=0.456$ ) has higher agree on information of packaging is important compared to 24,001-35,000 group (mean=3.00, S.D. $=0.961$ ).

In a statement I buy a craft beer only the brand I know, it has 2 differences. $35,001-50,000$ group (mean=2.41, S.D. $=0.925$ ) has higher agree on buying craft beer only known brand compared to $18,001-24,000$ group (mean $=1.40$, S.D. $=0.516$ ). $35,001-50,000$ group (mean=2.41, S.D. $=0.925$ ) has higher agree on buying craft beer only known brand compared to 50,001-85,000 group (mean=1.69, S.D. $=0.859$ ).

In a statement I buy craft beer for learning more about the beer, it has 2 differences.35,001-50,000 group ( mean=2.59, S.D. $=0.783$ ) has less agree buying craft beer for learning about beer compared to 50,001-85,000 group (mean=3.38, S.D. $=0.942$ ). 35,001-50,000 group (mean=2.59, S.D. $=0.783$ ) has less agree buying craft beer for learning about beer compared to $85,001-160,000$ group (mean=3.36, S.D. $=0.790$ ).

### 5.5.4 Test of difference among marital status group

Test of difference of spending per week, amount units, packaging, brand attitude, hedonic needs, innovativeness, and price consciousness among marital status groups (One way-ANOVA)

Table 5.18 ANOVA test of difference among marital status group

|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack4) The quality of | Between Groups | 10.541 | 3 | 3.514 | 3.669 | 0.014 |
| packaging material of | Within Groups | 113.000 | 118 | 0.958 |  |  |
| craft beer product | Total | 123.541 | 121 |  |  |  |
| (bottle/can) means the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| product is better. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand3) I think my | Between Groups | 17.085 | 3 | 5.695 | 11.002 | 0.000 |
| chosen brand is good | Within Groups | 61.079 | 118 | 0.518 |  |  |
| buy for money | Total | 78.164 | 121 |  |  |  |
| (Price4) Price is the | Between Groups | 8.607 | 3 | 2.869 | 3.239 | 0.025 |
| most important factor | Within Groups | 104.508 | 118 | 0.886 |  |  |
| when I am choosing a | Total | 113.115 | 121 |  |  |  |
| craft beer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.18 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.19 Descriptive test among marital status group


Table 5.19 shows on a statement the quality of packaging material of craft beer product (bottle/can) means the product is better, it has 1 difference. The married with no child group (mean=2.00, S.D. $=1.109$ ) has less agree on the quality of packaging material reflecting craft beer products compared to the married with child (children) group (mean=3.00, S.D. $=0.784$ ).

In a statement I think my chosen brand is a good buy for the money, it has 2 differences. Single with no child group (mean=3.44, S.D. $=0.689$ ) has a higher agree on chosen brand is a good for the money compared to the married with no child group
(mean=2.57, S.D. $=0.938$ ). The married with no child group (mean=2.57, S.D. $=0.938$ ) has less agree on chosen brand is good for money compared to Married with child (children) (mean=3.57, S.D. $=0.514$ ).

In a statement price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft beer, it has 1 difference. Single with no child group (mean=2.44, S.D. $=0.937$ ) has higher agree on price is the most important factor compared to married with no child group (mean=1.71, S.D. $=0.7264$ ).

### 5.5.5 Test of difference among occupation group

Test of difference in packaging, hedonic needs, and innovativeness among occupation groups (One way-ANOVA).

Table 5.20 ANOVA test of difference among occupation group

|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> df | Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack2) Artwork and | Between Groups | 5.754 | 2 | 2.877 | 4.750 | 0.010 |
| design of packaging | Within Groups | 72.082 | 119 | 0.606 |  |  |
| builds a perception in | Total | 77.836 | 121 |  |  |  |
| my mind about craft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beer product. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pack3) Artwork and | Between Groups | 6.937 | 2 | 3.468 | 4.370 | 0.015 |
| design of packaging | Within Groups | 94.440 | 119 | 0.794 |  |  |
| inspires me to | Total | 101.377 | 121 |  |  |  |
| purchase. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic4) I buy craft | Between Groups | 9.512 | 2 | 4.756 | 6.015 | 0.003 |
| beer for finding a | Within Groups | 94.094 | 119 | 0.791 |  |  |
| unique beer | Total | 103.607 | 121 |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will buy a | Between Groups | 17.530 | 2 | 8.765 | 14.478 | 0.000 |
| new craft beer even if | Within Groups | 72.044 | 119 | 0.605 |  |  |
| I haven't known about | Total | 89.574 | 121 |  |  |  |
| it yet |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.20 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.21 Descriptive test among occupation group

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack2) | Employee in private | 106 | 3.47 | 0.746 | 0.072 | 0.024 |
| Artwork and design of packaging | company/ state enterprise/Governme nt officer |  |  |  |  |  |
| builds a | Business Owner | 12 | 2.83 | 1.115 | 0.322 |  |
| perception in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| my mind about craft beer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| product. <br> (Pack3) | Employee in private | 106 | 3.34 | 0.872 | 0.085 | 0.044 |
| Artwork and | company/ state |  |  |  |  |  |
| design of | enterprise/Governme |  |  |  |  |  |
| packaging | nt officer |  |  |  |  |  |
| inspires me to purchase. | Business Owner | 12 | 2.67 | 1.155 | 0.333 | 0.032 |
| (Hedonic4) I | Employee in private | 106 | 3.32 | 0.890 | 0.086 | 0.009 |
| buy craft beer | company/ state |  |  |  |  |  |
| for finding a | enterprise/Governme |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nt officer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Business Owner | 12 | 2.50 | 1.000 | 0.289 | 0.012 |

Table 5.21 Descriptive test among occupation group (cont.)

|  |  |  | Std. | Std. <br> Deviation | Sig. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Mean |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will <br> buy a new craft <br> beer even if I | Employee in private <br> company/ state <br> enterprise/Governme | 106 | 3.36 | 0.807 | 0.078 | 0.013 |
| haven't known <br> about it yet | Business Owner | 12 | 2.67 | 0.492 | 0.142 | 0.000 |

Table 5.21 shows in statement artwork and design of packaging builds a perception in my mind about craft beer product, it has 1 difference. The business owner group (mean=2.83, S.D. $=1.115$ ) has less agreement on artwork and design of packaging build perception in mind compared to the employee in the private company group (mean=3.47, S.D. $=0.745$ ).

In a statement Artwork and design of packaging inspires me to purchase, it has 1 difference. The business owner group (mean=2.67, S.D. $=1.155$ ) has less agree on artwork and design of packaging inspire to purchase compared to the employee in the private company group (mean=3.34, S.D. $=0.872$ ).

In a statement I buy craft beer for finding a unique beer, it has 1 difference. The business owner group (mean=2.50, S.D. $=1.000$ ) has less agree on buying craft beer for finding unique beer compared to the employee in the private company group (mean $=3.32$, S.D. $=0.890$ ).

In a statement I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't known about it yet, it has 1 difference. The business owner group (mean $=2.67$, S.D. $=0.492$ ) has less agree on buying craft beer without knowing it yet compared to the employee in the private company group (mean=3.36, S.D. $=0.807$ ).

### 5.5.6 Test of difference among education group

Test of difference in packaging, brand attitude, hedonic needs, innovativeness, and price consciousness among education groups (One way-ANOVA).

Table 5.22 ANOVA test of difference among education group

|  |  | Sum of |  | Mean |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squares | df | Square | F | Sig. |  |  |
|  |  | Between Groups | 3.999 | 2 | 2.000 | 4.731 | 0.011

Table 5.22 shows significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.23 Descriptive test among education group

|  |  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Error | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Pack5) I think | Bachelor | 62 | 3.61 | 0.491 | 0.062 | 0.016 |
| product information on the package of craft beer product | Higher <br> bachelor | 58 | 3.28 | 0.790 | 0.104 |  |
| (bottle/can) is important. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand3) I think my | Bachelor | 62 | 3.48 | 0.718 | 0.091 | 0.027 |
| chosen brand is good | Higher | 58 | 3.10 | 0.852 | 0.112 |  |
| buy for money | bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic 1) I buy craft | Bachelor | 62 | 3.65 | 0.603 | 0.077 | 0.015 |
| beer for beer tasting | Higher | 58 | 3.90 | 0.307 | 0.040 |  |
|  | bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno5) I like to buy a | Bachelor | 62 | 2.84 | 0.853 | 0.108 | 0.016 |
| craft beer put out by | Higher | 58 | 3.28 | 0.833 | 0.109 |  |
| new brand. | bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will buy a | Bachelor | 62 | 3.06 | 0.885 | 0.112 | 0.001 |
| new craft beer even if | Higher | 58 | 3.48 | 0.682 | 0.090 |  |
|  | bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |
| it yet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Price3) When it | Bachelor | 62 | 2.26 | 0.886 | 0.113 | 0.013 |
| comes to buying craft | Higher | 58 | 2.72 | 0.874 | 0.115 |  |
| beer, I rely heavily on | bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |
| price. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.23 shows on a statement I think product information on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is important, it has 1 difference. The bachelor group (mean $=3.61$, S.D. $=0.491$ ) has a higher agreement on whether product
information is important compared to the Higher bachelor group (mean=3.28, S.D. $=0.790$ ) .

In a statement I think my chosen brand is a good buy for the money, it has 1 difference. The Bachelor group (mean=3.48, S.D. $=0.718$ ) has a higher agree on chosen brand is good to buy compared to the Higher bachelor group (mean=3.10, S.D. $=0.852$ ).

In a statement I buy craft beer for beer tasting, it has 1 difference. The Bachelor group (mean=3.65, S.D. $=0.603$ ) has a higher agree on buying craft beer for beer tasting compared to the Higher bachelor group (mean=3.90, S.D. $=0.307$ ).

In a statement I like to buy a craft beer put out by a new brand, it has 1 difference. The Bachelor group (mean=2.84, S.D. $=0.853$ ) has less agree on buying craft beer with new brands compared to The Higher bachelor group (mean=3.28, S.D. $=0.833$ ).

In a statement I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't known about it yet, it has 1 difference. The Bachelor group (mean=3.06, S.D. $=0.885$ ) has less agree on buying new craft beer without knowing it compared to the Higher bachelor group $($ mean $=3.48$, S.D. $=0.682)$.

In a statement when it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price, it has 1 difference. The Bachelor group (mean=2.26, S.D. $=0.886$ ) has less agree on buying craft beer rely heavily on price compared to the Higher bachelor group (mean=2.72, S.D. $=0.874$ ).

### 5.6 Factor analysis

In this study, factor analysis was used for reducing the unrelated question and to reorganize into new variables to improve the significance level and develop a more appropriate model.

### 5.6.1 Total Variance Explained on first run

## Table 5.24 Total Variance Explained on first run

|  | Total | Initial Eigenvalues |  | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of | ulative |  | \% f | Cumulative |
|  |  | Variance | \% | Total | Variance | \% |
| 1 | 6.528 | 23.314 | 23.314 | 3.215 | 11.482 | 11.482 |
| 2 | 3.216 | 11.486 | 34.800 | 3.051 | 10.895 | 22.377 |
| 3 | 2.605 | 9.305 | 44.105 | 2.762 | 9.864 | 32.241 |
| 4 | 1.994 | 7.121 | 51.226 | 2.377 | 8.490 | 40.731 |
| 5 | 1.699 | 6.069 | 57.295 | 2.350 | 8.392 | 49.123 |
| 6 | 1.395 | 4.981 | 62.276 | 2.033 | 7.260 | 56.383 |
| 7 | 1.180 | 4.215 | 66.492 | 1.801 | 6.431 | 62.815 |
| 8 | 1.109 | 3.961 | 70.452 | 1.755 | 6.266 | 69.081 |
| 9 | 1.032 | 3.687 | 74.139 | 1.416 | 5.058 | 74.139 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 5.24 shows the total variance Explained table shows components 1, $2,3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 9 have a total of value more than 1.0 which means they can be the potential components for grouping. However, it needs to be confirmed by scree plot and rotated component matrix.

### 5.6.2 Scree plot on first run



Figure 5.3 Scree plot on first run

The scree plot graph unclearly separates the 9 components from each other. Therefore, this graph needs to be confirmed by a rotated component matrix. However, the graph needs to be redoing to have clear separate components.

### 5.6.3 Rotated Component Matrix on first run

Table 5.25 Rotated Component Matrix on first run


Table 5.25 Rotated Component Matrix on first run (cont.)
 friends to buy a new craft beer when it appears.

Table 5.25 Rotated Component Matrix on first run (cont.)

|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| (Brand1) I buy a craft beer only the brand I know. |  |  |  |  | 0.780 |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic1) I buy craft beer for beer tasting |  |  |  |  | -0.745 |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand2) I buy craft beer because I like the brand |  |  |  |  | 0.677 |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack4) The quality of packaging material of craft beer |  |  | 0.401 |  | 0.512 |  |  |  |  |
| product (bottle/can) means the product is better. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic5) I drink craft beer for my enjoyment |  |  |  |  |  | 0.732 |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't | 0.410 |  |  |  |  | 0.552 |  |  |  |
| known about it yet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand3) I think my chosen brand is good buy for |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.726 |  |  |
| money |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Brand5) I think I will drink my favorite craft brand in |  |  |  |  |  | 0.405 | 0.568 |  |  |
| the upcoming 1-2 month. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic3) I drink craft beer for my special occasion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.779 |  |
| (Brand4) If my favorite brand launches a new craft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.576 |  |
| beer product, I will buy it. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack6) I evaluate craft beer product (bottle/can) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.404 | -0.450 | 0.435 |
| according to the printed information while purchasing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.25 Rotated Component Matrix on first run (cont.)

|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| (Pack5) I think product information on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is important. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.762 |

Table 5.25 shows the rotated component matrix $-1^{\text {st }}$ run table shows that there are crossing loads between components and also low scores in some questions. Therefore, some questions need to be cut. However, questions need to be cut one by one and see improvement of graphs and questions. Lastly, non-relevant questions need to be cut from the group. The questions which are cut as following below (cut one by one).

## Cutting question

Packaging 4: The quality of packaging material of craft beer products (bottle/can) means the product is better.
Packaging 5: I think product information on the package of craft beer products (bottle/can) is important.

Packaging 7: I think attractive font styles used on the package of craft beer products (bottle/can) inspire me to purchase.
Brand attitude5: I think I will drink my favorite craft brand in the upcoming 1-2 months.

Hedonic needs 5: I drink craft beer for my enjoyment
Innovativeness 2: If I heard that a new craft beer was available in the store, I would be interested enough to buy it

Price-conscious 2: When buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available.
After cut the questions, factor analysis was run again for further improvement of analysis.

### 5.6.4 Total Variance Explained on final run

Table 5.26 Total Variance Explained on final run

|  |  | tial Eigen | ues | Rotat | Sums of S | red Loadings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of | Cumulative |  | \% f | Cumulative |
|  | Total | Variance | \% | Total | Variance | \% |
| 1 | 4.895 | 23.310 | 23.310 | 2.744 | 13.069 | 13.069 |
| 2 | 2.561 | 12.195 | 35.505 | 2.602 | 12.392 | 25.460 |
| 3 | 2.390 | 11.379 | 46.884 | 2.405 | 11.452 | 36.912 |
| 4 | 1.813 | 8.634 | 55.518 | 2.352 | 11.199 | 48.110 |
| 5 | 1.477 | 7.034 | 62.552 | 2.082 | 9.912 | 58.022 |
| 6 | 1.114 | 5.304 | 67.856 | 2.065 | 9.833 | 67.856 |
| Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.26 shows the total variance explained table shows that components $1,2,3,4,5$, and 6 have a total value of more than 1.0 which means they are significant components for grouping of factor analysis. However, it needs to be checked and confirmed by scree plot and rotated component matrix.

### 5.6.5 Scree plot on final run



Figure 5.49 Scree plot on final run

The scree plot graph shows clearly separates the 6 components from each other. Therefore, this graph can be the one evidence to confirm factor analysis running has a clear view of grouping.

### 5.6.6 Rotated Component Matrix on final run

Table 5.27 Rotated Component Matrix on final run

|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| (Inno5) I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand. | 0.805 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno4) I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer. | 0.749 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno6) I know and taste the craft beer before other people do | 0.718 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic4) I buy craft beer for finding a unique beer | 0.610 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno7) I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't known about it yet | 0.485 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack2) Artwork and design of packaging builds a perception in my mind about craft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beer product. |  | 0.865 |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack3) Artwork and design of packaging inspires me to purchase. |  | 0.844 |  |  |  |  |
| (Pack 1) Color of packaging of craft beer product (bottle/can) matters to me in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| purchasing it |  | 0.832 |  |  |  |  |
| (Price4) Price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft beer. |  |  | 0.848 |  |  |  |
| (Price3) When it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price. |  |  | 0.824 |  |  |  |
| (Price1) I tend to buy the lowest-priced of craft beer that will fit my needs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.700 |  |  |  |

Table 5.27 Rotated Component Matrix on final run (cont.)

|  | Component |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| (Pack6) I evaluate craft beer product (bottle/can) according to the printed |  |  | 0.565 |  |  |  |
| information while purchasing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Hedonic2) I buy craft beer for learning more about the beer |  |  |  | 0.742 |  |  |
| (Inno1) In general, I am among the first in my circle of friends to buy a new craft |  |  |  | 0.726 |  |  |
| beer when it appears. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Inno3) If a friend has newly released craft beer, I would ask to taste it. |  |  |  | 0.683 |  |  |
| (Hedonic3) I drink craft beer for my special occasion |  |  |  |  | 0.801 |  |
| (Brand4) If my favorite brand launches a new craft beer product, I will buy it. |  |  |  |  | 0.716 |  |
| (Brand3) I think my chosen brand is good buy for money |  |  |  |  | 0.605 |  |
| (Brand1) I buy a craft beer only the brand I know. |  |  |  |  |  | 0.818 |
| (Hedonic 1) I buy craft beer for beer tasting |  |  |  |  |  | -0.748 |
| (Brand2) I buy craft beer because I like the brand |  |  |  |  |  | 0.641 |

Table 5.27 shows the rotated component matrix shows the 6 new groups of variables which will be named novelty finding, beer exploring, information influence, packaging design, occasionally buying, and beer preference.

The below table shows groups of questionnaires are built up as new 6 groups variables.

| Group 1 Novelty Finding |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Inno5) I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand. | 0.805 |
| (Inno4) I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer. | 0.749 |
| (Inno6) I know and taste the craft beer before other people do | 0.718 |
| (Hedonic4) I buy craft beer for finding a unique beer | 0.610 |
| (Inno7) I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't | 0.485 |
| known about it yet |  |

## Group 2 Packaging Design

(Pack2) Artwork and design of packaging builds a perception 0.865
in my mind about craft beer product.
(Pack3) Artwork and design of packaging inspires me to purchase. 0.844
(Pack1) Color of packaging of craft beer product (bottle/can) 0.832
matters to me in purchasing it

## Group 3 Information Influence

(Price4) Price is the most important factor when
0.848

I am choosing a craft beer.
(Price3) When it comes to buying craft beer,0.824

I rely heavily on price.
(Price1) I tend to buy the lowest-priced of craft beer
0.700
that will fit my needs.
(Pack6) I evaluate craft beer product (bottle/can)0.565
according to the printed information while purchasing.

| Group 4 Beer Exploring | 0.742 |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Hedonic2) I buy craft beer for learning more about the beer <br> (Inno1) In general, I am among the first in my circle <br> of friends to buy a new craft beer when it appears. <br> (Inno3) If a friend has newly released craft beer, <br> I would ask to taste it. | 0.726 |
| Group 5 Occasionally Buying <br> (Hedonic3) I drink craft beer for my special occasion <br> (Brand4) If my favorite brand launches a new craft beer <br> product, I will buy it. <br> (Brand3) I think my chosen brand is good buy for money <br> Group 6 Beer Preference | 0.683 |
| (Brand1) I buy a craft beer only the brand I know. <br> (Hedonic1) I buy craft beer for beer tasting <br> (Brand2) I buy craft beer because I like the brand | 0.801 |



Figure 5.5 New conceptual framework

Figure 5.5 shows six new variables which novelty finding, beer exploring, information influence, packaging design, occasionally buying, beer preference toward the intention to buy craft beer bottle/can.

After factor analysis generated new 6 variables, all new 6 factors variables were used to find correlation among impulsive buying by using linear regression as follows.

### 5.7 Regression analysis

In this study, regression analysis was used for investigating the positive and negative impact of new variables on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

### 5.7.1 Regression analysis with enter method

First regression was applied with the entering method. The dependent variable is the intention to buy a craft beer bottle/can. Independent variables are novelty finding, packaging design, information influence, beer exploring, occasionally buying, and beer preference.

Table 5.28 Model summary regressions with enter method.

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R <br> Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Change Statistics | F Square Change | Change | df1 | df2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Sig. F |
| :---: |
| Change |

Table 5.28 shows the adjusted R Square of the model is 0.245 which shows that independent variables can explain dependent variables $24.5 \%$.

Table 5.29 ANOVA regressions with enter method.

| Model |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 15.410 | 6 | 2.568 | 7.558 | $.000^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Residual | 39.081 | 115 | 0.340 |  |  |
|  | Total | 54.492 | 121 |  |  |  |

Table 5.29 shows the significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.30 Coefficient regressions with enter method.

|  |  | Unstandardized |  | Standardized |  | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model | Coefficients | Coefficients | t |  |  |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 1.352 | 0.462 |  | 2.928 | 0.004 |
|  | Novelty | 0.225 | 0.096 | 0.228 | 2.339 | 0.021 |
|  | Packdesign | 0.113 | 0.078 | 0.126 | 1.446 | 0.151 |
|  | PriceInfoInflu | 0.001 | 0.082 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.993 |
|  | BeerExplorer | 0.068 | 0.086 | 0.075 | 0.799 | 0.426 |
|  | OccasionBuy | 0.313 | 0.091 | 0.310 | 3.425 | 0.001 |
|  | BeerPrefer | -0.043 | 0.116 | -0.031 | -0.366 | 0.715 |

Table 5.30 shows the significant value of novelty finding, and occasion buying are $0.021,0.001$ as consequence. The significance is lower than 0.05 which mean values are appropriate.

The above table shows the beta value of novelty finding is +0.228 which has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. Moreover, the beta value of occasion buying is +0.310 which means it has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

Furthermore, regression analysis with the backward method was used to improve the result of the enter method.

### 5.7.2 Regression analysis with backward method

Regression was applied with the backward method. Dependent variable: Intention to buy craft beer bottle/can. Independent variables: novelty finding, packaging design, information influence, beer exploring, occasionally buying, beer preference.

Table 5.31 Model summary regressions with backward method.

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Change Statistics <br> R Square Change | F <br> Change | df1 | df2 | Sig. F <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | . $527{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.278 | 0.259 | 0.578 | -0.004 | 0.689 | 1 | 117 | 0.408 |

Table 5.31 shows the adjusted R Square of the model is 0.259 which shows that independent variables can explain dependent variables by $25.9 \%$. The adjusted R square of the backward method is higher which can better explain the model than the enter method.

Table 5.32 ANOVA regressions with backward method.

| Model |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Regression | 15.133 | 3 | 5.044 | 15.123 | $.000^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
|  | Residual | 39.359 | 118 | 0.334 |  |  |
|  | Total | 54.492 | 121 |  |  |  |

Table 5.32 shows the significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.33 Coefficient regressions with backward method.

| Model | Unstandardized <br> Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  |
| 4 | (Constant) | 1.324 | 0.333 |  | 3.977 |
|  | Novelty | 0.261 | 0.085 | 0.265 | 3.059 |
|  | Packdesign | 0.118 | 0.077 | 0.132 | 1.537 |
|  | OccasionBuy | 0.310 | 0.084 | 0.308 | 3.707 |

Table 5.33 shows the significant value of novelty finding and occasion buying are 0.003 , and 0.000 as consequence. The significance is lower than 0.05 which mean values are appropriate.

The above table shows the beta value of novelty finding is +0.265 which has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. Moreover, the beta value of occasion buying is +0.308 which means it has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

Furthermore, the question of novelty findings was further analyzed with linear regression again to find more details on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

### 5.7.3 Regression analysis with backward method toward novelty

## finding

Regression was applied with the backward method. The dependent variable: intention to buy craft beer bottle/can. The Independent variable is novelty findings which consist of questions Hedonic4, Innovativeness7, Innovativeness4, Innovativeness6, and Innovativeness5.

Table 5.34 Model summary regressions with backward method toward novelty finding.


Table 5.34 shows the adjusted $R$ Square of the model is 0.218 which shows that independent variables can explain dependent variables $21.8 \%$.

Table 5.35 ANOVA regressions with backward method toward novelty finding.

| Model |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regression | 13.663 | 5 | 2.733 | 7.763 | $.000^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | Residual | 40.829 | 116 | 0.352 |  |  |
|  | Total | 54.492 | 121 |  |  |  |

Table 5.35 shows the significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.36 Coefficient regressions with backward method toward novelty finding.

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 2.044 | 0.258 |  | 7.917 | 0.000 |
|  | (Inno4) I usually prefer new craft | 0.207 | 0.100 | 0.268 | 2.076 | 0.040 |
|  | beer over classic, oldie beer. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Inno5) I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand. | -0.119 | 0.102 | -0.154 | -1.162 | 0.248 |
|  | (Inno6) I know and taste the craft | -0.073 | 0.062 | -0.114 | -1.173 | 0.243 |
|  | beer before other people do |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Inno7) I will buy a new craft beer | 0.202 | 0.072 | 0.259 | 2.825 | 0.006 |
|  | even if I haven't known about it yet |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Hedonic4) I buy craft beer for | 0.222 | 0.073 | 0.306 | 3.035 | 0.003 |
|  | finding a unique beer |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.36 shows the significant value of innovativeness 4 , innovative 7 and hedonic 4 are $0.040,0.006$, and 0.003 as consequence. The significance is lower than 0.05 which mean values are appropriate.

The above table shows the beta value of innovativeness 4 is +0.268 which has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. Moreover, the beta value of innovative 7 is +0.259 which means it has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. Additionally, the beta value of hedonic4 is +0.306 which means it has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

Moreover, the question of occasionally buying was further analyzed with linear regression again to find more details on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.
5.7.4 Regression analysis with backward method toward occasionally

## buying

Regression was applied with the backward method. Dependent variable: Intention to buy craft beer bottle/can. Independent variables: occasionally buying which consists of questions Hedonic3, Brand3, and Brand4.

Table 5.37 Model summary regressions with backward method toward occasionally buying.

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Change Statistics <br> R Square Change | F <br> Change | df1 | df2 | Sig. F <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | . $433{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.187 | 0.174 | 0.610 | -0.002 | 0.279 | 1 | 118 | 0.598 |

Table 5.37 shows the adjusted R Square of the model is 0.174 which shows that independent variables can explain dependent variables $17.4 \%$.

Table 5.38 ANOVA regressions with backward method toward occasionally buying.

| Model |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Regression | 10.215 | 2 | 5.108 | 13.727 | $.000^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Residual | 44.277 | 119 | 0.372 |  |  |
|  | Total | 54.492 | 121 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.38 shows the significant values are less than 0.05 , the overall model is appropriate.

Table 5.39 Coefficient regressions with backward method toward occasionally buying.

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  |
| 2 | (Constant) | 2.260 | 0.259 |  | 8.740 | 0.000 |
|  | (Hedonic3) I drink craft beer for my special occasion | 0.237 | 0.065 | 0.331 | 3.644 | 0.000 |
|  | (Brand4) If my favorite brand | 0.142 | 0.075 | 0.173 | 1.901 | 0.060 |
|  | launches a new craft beer product, I will buy it. |  |  |  |  |  |

The significant value of Hedonic3 and Brand4 are 0.000 , and 0.060 as consequence. The significance is lower than 0.05 which mean values are appropriate.

The above table shows the beta value of Hedonic3 is +0.331 which has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. Moreover, the beta value of Brand 4 is +0.173 which means it has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

## CHAPTER VI DISCUSSION

### 6.1 Discussion

The main goal of this study was to explore the intention to buy craft beer in the Thai market among Bangkok metropolis and metropolitan consumers. Five variables were studied to see the influence on intention to buy craft beer in the Thai market. They are includes packaging, brand attitude, hedonic needs, innovativeness, and price-conscious. After the result was received, there are 122 respondents as our target group. The result was analyzed by SPSS-software.

### 6.2 Findings and theoretical implications

The over result is appropriate according to the reliability test in which all variables have more than 0.4 value of Cronbach's alpha. Each finding variable will be further discussed below.

### 6.2.1 Packaging

In this study, primary packaging was conducted to measure the influence on intention to buy craft beer bottle/can products. The color, artwork, design, quality of material, and product information of packaging were asked in the survey questionnaire. The test of difference shows that artwork and design of the packaging have different thinking among the occupation demographic of these respondents. The employee is inspired to buy craft beer bottle/can by the artwork and design of packaging more than the business owner. Moreover, packaging information is highly concerned by the high-income group than the lower-income group. In addition, the groups of bachelors also agree that product information is important. It can be applied to the product which targets these segments characteristic that craft beer bottles/cans provide product information on their packaging. Moreover, married with child
(children) agree more about the quality of the material packaging means the product is better. Therefore, product developers should select good quality packaging material and artwork with the design and provide product information packaging will influence on different customer segmentation.

### 6.2.2 Brand attitude

In this study, questionnaires ask respondents about several stages of attitude which is included affective, cognitive, and conative questions. According to the test of difference, single with no child tend to think the chosen brand is a good buy for their money when compared to another marital status. Moreover, the female gender agrees that they will purchase their favorite brand. Therefore, marketers should focus the branding strategy to suit the single female to encourage them to purchase more craft beer bottle/can products. The interesting income perspective is that low and high income does not rely much on brand. They are willing to purchase on new brand without knowing it. However, for the middle income, they prefer to buy a known brand which means they are much more considerate about the brand when buying craft beer bottles/cans. Additionally, the bachelor's degree group and young age agree that the brand of craft beer that they buy is good for the money.

### 6.2.3 Hedonic needs

Hedonic needs questionnaires were asked mainly about sensory and emotional motivation toward craft beer bottles/cans. According to the test of difference, as mentioned the income group high-income group highly agreed on buying craft beer for beer learning. Moreover, the employee group agreed with buying craft beer for finding a unique beer. Both bachelors and higher bachelors have highly agreed on buying craft beer for beer tasting. Therefore, companies need to produce an innovative craft beer for the market to capture the need of customers.

### 6.2.4 Innovativeness

In this study, innovativeness was measured only domain-specific innovativeness which is a better predictor of consumer tendency to purchase new products in a particular category which includes only craft beer. According to the test
of difference, younger age agreed that they buy special beer more than a classic beer. Moreover, higher bachelor highly agrees to buy craft beer out on by new brand. In addition, the employee and the higher bachelors buy craft beer even not knowing about it.

### 6.2.5 Price consciousness

This study found that the female group and married with no child group strongly disagree that price is the most important factor when buying craft beer. Moreover, the female group disagrees with buying craft beer from the cheapest brand than male respondents. Additionally, the bachelors disagree with relying on price when by craft beer. It can imply that the bachelor group and the female group without a child to take care of might be potential target customers for craft beer

### 6.2.6 Demographics

This study found that the young age group prefers to buy craft beer at the specialty beer store. Middle age prefers to buy craft beer in supermarket and convenience store. Moreover, younger age prefers to try craft beer over oldie beer. Females prefer to buy craft beer at specialty beer stores more than males. Females tend to buy more units of craft beer per shopping time than male consumers. As a consequence female customers tend to spend on craft beer more than male customers. Moreover, they are not sensitive to price when buying craft beer compares to the male group. They are more easily influenced by brands than the male group. The male group also prefers to buy craft beer at supermarkets and convenience stores. Highincome and low-income groups tend to buy a craft beer without knowing the brand. In contrast, the middle income cares about the brand when compared with the high and the low-income groups. Single with no child group agrees that price is important for buying craft beer. Therefore, they need to sure a chosen craft beer is good for money to buy. For higher bachelor's degree groups, they buy craft beer because of beer tasting. However, they are still concerned about the price when they buy craft beer. In contrast with the bachelor's degree group, they are more concerned about the worth to buy a craft beer. The product information can help this group to understand the beer worth buying. However, they do not concern about price when buying craft beer. The
business owner group does not rely on packaging design and artwork. They are less interested in trying the new unique beer. On the other hand, the employee is influenced by packaging design and artwork. They are willing to try a new beer and taste unique beer. They agree on buying new beer without knowing it.

### 6.2.7 Factor analysis and regression analysis

Since $1^{\text {st }}$ run of factor analysis shows an insufficient model. Therefore, 7 questions were cut out and run factor analysis again. The model is appropriate which comes with new variables group including novelty finding, packaging design, information influence, beer exploring, occasionally buying, and beer preference. New six variables were run linear regression analysis toward intention to buy craft beer bottle/can. Novelties finding and occasionally buying have a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottle/can as result.

The novelties finding in this study is a mixture of components of innovativeness and hedonic needs. It is one of the motivations which have a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer with a beta value of +0.265 . Consumers are interested in new craft beer with a unique beer taste according to the survey questionnaires. Therefore, companies need to do new product development launching in the Thai craft beer market.

The occasionally buying in this study is a mixture of components of brand attitude and hedonic needs. It has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer with a beta value of +0.310 . The questionnaire from occasionally buying confirms that if the brand launches a new product, the consumer tends to buy it. Moreover, consumers tend to buy craft beer for special occasions. Therefore, companies need to do a new product with the essence of special customer occasions which links to craft beer products.

## CHAPTER VII CONCLUSION

## Conclusion

Previous research has acknowledged the intention to buy craft beer in many dimensions. However, there is little market research on the Thai craft beer market about the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans. This study has provided an exploration of the factors which influence intention to buy craft beer bottle/can, and identified the characteristics associated with consumers who are craft beer drinker in Bangkok and its metropolitan. The study focuses on quantitative approaches by using questionnaire surveys and analyzed by SPSS software. The initial five variables were conducted toward the intention to buy craft beer bottle/can which includes packaging, brand attitude, innovativeness, hedonic needs, and price consciousness. However, after running factor analysis to test its significant levels by using SPSS, a new set of variables was used to better analyze the data. The new six variables include novelty finding, packaging design, information influence, beer exploring, occasionally buying, and beer preference.

The result of linear regression analysis among six factors toward intention to buy craft beer bottle/can is that only two variables have significant levels which are novelty finding and occasionally buying. Whereas packaging design, information influence, beer exploration, and beer preference have no significance with the intention to buy a craft beer bottle/can. The finding shows novelty finding and occasionally buying has a positive influence on intention to buy craft beer bottle/can among Bangkok metropolitan and metropolitan.

Moreover, the finding indicates the differences in craft beer buying among demographic groups. Young consumers tend to try a new brand and new beer without influence from the craft brand. The young age and female group usually buy craft beer at specialty beer stores; whereas middle age and male consumers would like to buy craft beer at convenience stores and supermarkets. The female group is a potential
craft beer consumer in this study research. They buy craft beer more units and spend more as a consequence. Female is not priced sensitive when they buy craft beer. Therefore, launching a new product with a unique beer flavor is a key strategy for the Thai craft beer market.

Lastly, this study can provide better insight into the intention to buy craft beer of Bangkok consumers. It could be a benefit for marketing implications and any academicians. Moreover, understanding novelty finding and occasionally buying help marketers to better do strategic planning to increase the sale of craft beer in the Thai market.

# CHAPTER VIII RECOMMENDATION 

### 8.1 Marketers for Craft Beer Company Sector

Novelty finding has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottle/can in Bangkok and metropolitan. Marketers should focus on developing the uniqueness of the flavor of craft beer. A marketing strategy should relate to launching a new product every quarter of the year. Consumers have a craft beer for beer tasting and their new experience. The unique taste of craft beer plays an important role in the intention to buy craft beer. Moreover, the packaging also plays an important role in consumers perceiving overall craft beer quality. However, the brand attitude of craft beer is not an important factor in the intention to buy craft beer. Therefore, marketers might less focus on branding activities and focus on new product development.

Occasionally buying also has a positive influence on the intention to buy craft beer bottle/can in Bangkok and metropolitan. Marketers should communicate through an advertisement for a specific occasion such as a celebration, or party. Moreover, marketers can also communicate how their product suite to consumers on special occasions. In addition, marketers can also enhance the craft beer intention to buy by creating an event with the restaurants to encourage consumers to drink and buy more craft beer. These strategies will help to enhance the intention to buy craft beer bottles/cans.

### 8.2 Future researcher for intention to buy craft beer bottle/can in

## Thai market

Since the quantitative approach was conducted in this research, it is necessary to dig deeper into the qualitative approach with an interview from many aspects such as consumers, craft beer marketers, beer product specialists, and craft beer sellers. The interview question should develop from the survey questionnaires.

Novelty finding and occasionally buying need to be asked to get more manydimension of information from all aspects.

Moreover, the quantitative survey might expand out from Bangkok to other areas to understand more characteristics and motivation to buy craft beer from many regions in Thailand.

## CHAPTER IX <br> LIMITATION

## Limitation

This study has some limitations. Therefore, finding interpretation needs to be done carefully. During the collection of data, there was a spreading of the Covid-19 pandemic; therefore, an offline survey is hardly collected. Since the survey was posted on craft beer lovers on the Facebook page, it will be only online respondents which might limit offline respondents. Since the quantitative survey was collected by online survey, if respondents need more explanation to the survey questionnaire, it might not possible which might lead to misunderstanding to answer the questionnaire. Moreover, the result of this study might not broad in terms of demographic which results in an undiversified age group, occupation group, and education group. The majority of the age group is $28-35$ years old. The majority group of occupations is employees in private companies/ state enterprises/Government officers. The majority group of education is in bachelors and higher bachelors. This study was conducted by a student during the period of study for a master's degree at Mahidol University with a limited time.
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APPENDICES

## Appendix A

Introduction of survey
Dear participants, thank you for accepting our invitation. We appreciate your participation in our online survey. It will only last for 15 minutes.

## Appendix B

## Screening questions

1. Do you live in Bangkok and Metropolitan? (Bangkok, Nakhon Pathom, Pathum Thani, Nonthaburi, Samut Prakan, or Samut Sakhon)
a. Yes
b. No (Thank you for your time, end of question)
2. Have you brought craft beer (can or bottle) (according to the below) in past 3 months? Craft beer in this study means that beer contain in bottle or can which has perceptions includes six dimensions which are multi-sensory experience, focal point of consumption usually at home or special event or activity, product of high quality and local production, focus on attributes of product (such as flavor, texture, packaging), consume either alone or very selective coconsumers, low availability, and to non-connoisseurs is expensive and impractical.
a. Yes
b. No (Thank you for your time, end of question)

## Appendix C

General questions
3. How often do you drink a craft beer (bottle/can)?
a. Everyday
b. 4-5 days per week
c. 2-3 days per week
d. Once a week
e. Twice a month
f. Once a month
g. Less than once packa month
4. Where do you usually buy craft beer (bottle/can)?
a. Supermarket
b. Convenience store (ex. 7-11)
c. Specialty beer store (craft beer bar, bottle/can shop)
d. Restaurant
e. Buy online
5. How much do you usually spend per week on craft beer (bottle/can)?
a. Less than 100 baht
b. 101-500 baht
c. 501-1,000 baht
d. 1,001-1,5000 baht
e. More than 1,500 baht
6. What type of packaging size do you usually buy craft beer (bottle/can)?
a. Big bottle ( $600-650 \mathrm{ml}$ or more)
b. Small bottle ( $300-350 \mathrm{ml}$ or less)
c. Big Can ( $450-500 \mathrm{ml}$ or more)
d. Small Can ( $300-350 \mathrm{ml}$ or less)
e. I do not concern packaging size and packaging type when I buy craft beer.
7. How many units (bottle/can) do you usually buy craft beer (bottle/can) per week?
a. 1-3 units
b. 4-6 units
c. 7-10 units
d. 11-13 units
e. More than 13 unit (ex. beer case)

## Appendix D

Variable question
Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree
2-Disagree 3-Agree
4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.1 Survey questionnaire with packaging variable

| Packaging | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8. Color of packaging of craft beer product (bottle/can) matters to me in purchasing it
9. Artwork and design of packaging builds a perception in my mind about craft beer product.
10. Artwork and design of packaging inspires me to purchase.
11. The quality of packaging material of craft beer product (bottle/can) means the product is better?
12. I think product information on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) is important.
13. I evaluate craft beer product (bottle/can) according to the printed information while purchasing.
14. I think attractive font styles used on the package of craft beer product (bottle/can) inspires me to purchase

Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Agree 4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.2 Survey questionnaire with brand Attitude variable

| Brand Attitude | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

14. I buy a craft beer only the brand I know.

Table 11.2 Survey questionnaire with brand Attitude variable (cont.)

| Brand Attitude | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

15. I buy craft beer because I like the brand
16. I think my chosen brand is good buy for money
17. If my favorite brand launches a new craft beer product, I will buy it.
18. I think I will drink my favorite craft brand in the upcoming 1-2 month.

Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Agree 4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.3 Survey questionnaire with hedonic needs variable

| Hedonic Needs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

19. I buy craft beer for beer tasting
20. I buy craft beer for learning more about the beer
21. I drink craft beer for my special occasion
22. I buy craft beer for finding a unique beer
23. I drink craft beer for my enjoyment

Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Agree 4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.4 Survey questionnaire with innovativeness variable

| Innovativeness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

24. In general, I am among the first in my circle of friends to buy a new craft beer when it appears.
25. If I heard that a new craft beer was available in the store, I would be interested enough to buy it

Table 11.4 Survey questionnaire with innovativeness variable (cont.)

| Innovativeness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

26. If a friend has newly released craft beer, I would ask to taste it.
27. I usually prefer new craft beer over classic, oldie beer.
28. I like to buy a craft beer put out by new brand.
29. I know and taste the craft beer before other people do
30. I will buy a new craft beer even if I haven't know about it yet

Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Agree 4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.5 Survey questionnaire with Price consciousness variable

| Price consciousness | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

31. I tend to buy the lowest-priced of craft beer that will fit my needs.
32. When buying craft beer, I look for the cheapest brand available.
33. When it comes to buying craft beer, I rely heavily on price.
34. Price is the most important factor when I am choosing a craft
beer.
35. I tend to buy the lowest-priced of craft beer that will fit my needs.

Rank your level of agreement with each statement
1-Strongly Disagree
2-Disagree 3-Agree
4-Strongly Agree

Table 11.6 Survey questionnaire with Intention to buy variable

| Intention to buy | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

36. I am tending to buy craft beer within next 3 months

## Appendix E

Demographic question
37. How old are you?
a. Under 18
b. 18-22
c. 23-27
d. 28-35
e. $36-45$
f. 46-55
g. More than 55
38. What is your gender?
a. Male
b. Female
c. LGBTQ+
39. What is your average monthly income?
a. $0-7,500$ baht
b. 7,501-18,000 baht
c. 18,001-24,000 baht
d. 24,001-35,000 baht
e. 35,001-50,000 baht
f. $50,001-85,000$ baht
g. 85,001-160,000 baht
h. More than 160,000
40. What is your current marital status?
a. Single
b. Married with no child
c. $\quad$ Married with child (children)
41. What is your occupation?
a. Employee in private company/ state enterprise/Government officer
b. Business Owner
c. Retired
d. Student
e. Unemployed
42. What is your education level?
a. High school or lower
b. Bachelor
c. Higher bachelor

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. We truly value the information you have provided. Your responses will contribute to our analyses of exploring research for Mahidol University. We are extremely grateful for your contributing your valuable time, your honest information,

